

\mathcal{U} -proper set representation $((f(S), \underline{G}, f)$ of (S, \underline{c}) ⁽²⁾ $f(c)$ is a point closure.

N. 2. A BRIEF REVIEW OF PREORDERED SETS.

Let S be a set and \lesssim a preorder relation for S (i.e. \lesssim exhibits the transitive and reflexive properties). All the most important notions about a poset can be extended to a preordered set (e.g. upper bound, lower bound, maximum, minimum, ℓ .u.b., g . ℓ .b., etc.); thus a right tail of a preordered set (S, \lesssim) will be every $Y \subseteq S$ such that $\forall x, y \in S: x \in Y$ and $x \lesssim y \implies y \in Y$.

We observe that if $Y_1 \subseteq S$ then the set $r(Y_1) = \{x \in S : x \text{ is an upper bound of } Y_1\}$ is a right tail of (S, \lesssim) ; in particular the principal filter $r(y) = r(\{y\})$ generated by $y \in S$ is a right tail of (S, \lesssim) . Moreover

$$(ii) \quad r(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X} r(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{if } X \text{ is a right tail then } X = \bigcup_{x \in X} r(x).$$

Now let \mathcal{E} be a subset of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ (the power set of S), x an element of S and $\mathcal{E}_x = \{X \in \mathcal{E} : x \in X\}$. Then we define, for every $x, y \in S$

$$(j) \quad x \lesssim y(\mathcal{E}) \text{ iff } \mathcal{E}_x \subseteq \mathcal{E}_y.$$

Clearly the defined relation is a preorder relation. Moreover if \mathcal{E}' is the set of set complements of the elements of \mathcal{E} it follows, since

$$\mathcal{E}_x \subseteq \mathcal{E}_y \text{ iff } \mathcal{E}'_y \subseteq \mathcal{E}'_x,$$

$$(jj) \quad x \lesssim y(\mathcal{E}) \text{ iff } y \lesssim x(\mathcal{E}').$$

⁽²⁾ We shall prove that there exists at least a \mathcal{U} -proper set representation of (S, \underline{c}) .

One can easily verify that every element of \mathcal{C} is a right tail of S preordered with respect to relation defined in (j). On the other hand if (S, \leq) is a preordered set and \mathcal{R} is the set of the right tails of (S, \leq) then for every $x, y \in S$ the following properties hold

$$(e) \quad x \leq y \text{ iff } x \leq y(\mathcal{R}) \text{ according to (j), and } x \leq y \text{ iff } x \leq y(\mathcal{R}_0),$$

where \mathcal{R}_0 is the set of the principal filters of (S, \leq) generated by an element of S . And hence, as a consequence of (jj), the following property holds:

$$(ee) \quad x \leq y \text{ iff } y \leq x(\mathcal{R}'_0),$$

where \mathcal{R}'_0 is the set of all set complements in S of the elements of \mathcal{R}_0 .

Now we can give the following

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ be, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $y \in Y$. Then Y is a point closure in \mathcal{C} with respect to y iff y is minimum in Y with respect to relation defined in (j).

PROOF. In fact: y is minimum in $Y \iff \forall x \in Y : \mathcal{C}_y \subseteq \mathcal{C}_x \iff \forall x \in Y, \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}_y : Z \in \mathcal{C}_x \iff \forall x \in Y, \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}_y : x \in Z \iff \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}_y : Y \subseteq Z$.

Q.E.D.

N. 3. A CHARACTERIZATION OF V-PRIME AND STRONGLY V-PRIME ELEMENTS OF A POSET.

Henceforth let (S, \leq) be a poset. Then we can consider the function $g : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ mapping an element $x \in S$ into the set $g(x) = \{y \in S : x \nmid y\} = S - r(x)$. Clearly f is an injective function; moreover $\forall x, y \in S \quad x \leq y \iff g(x) \subseteq$