For brevity, we omit the statements of dual propositions, but if we must refer to them, we denote them by *.

1) Properties of regular and completely regular functions.

DEFINITION 1.- Let S be a topological space, x a point of S, G a finite directed graph and f: S \rightarrow G a function from S to G. We call image-envelope of x by f, and we denote by $\langle f(x) \rangle$, the set of vertices, such that the closures of their f-counter images include the point, i.e. $v \in \langle f(x) \rangle \Rightarrow x \in V^{\overline{f}}$.

PROPOSITION 1. - Let S be a topological space, x a point of S, G a finite directed graph and f: $S \rightarrow G$ a function from S to G. Then the image-envelope of x coincides with the intersection of the images of the neighbourhoods of x, i.e. $\langle f(x) \rangle = \cap \{f(U_x) \mid U_y \text{ is a neighbourhood of } x\}.$

$$Proof. - v \in \langle f(x) \rangle \Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{V^f} \Leftrightarrow (\forall U_x, U_x \cap V^f \neq \phi) \Leftrightarrow (\forall U_x, v \in f(U_x)) \Leftrightarrow v \in \cap f(U_x).$$

PROPOSITION 2. - Let S be a topological space, G a finite directed graph and f: $S \rightarrow G$ a function from S to G. Then f is an o-regular function, iff, for all $x \in S$, f(x) is a head of $\langle f(x) \rangle$, i.e. $f(x) \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$.

Proof. - i) Let f be an o-regular function, x a point of S, and v = f(x). Then,

for all $w \in \langle f(x) \rangle$, i.e. $x \in W^{\overline{f}}$, we have $v^{\overline{f}} \cap W^{\overline{f}} \neq \phi$. Hence $v \rightarrow w$, i.e. $v \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$.

ii) For all $x \in S$, let $f(x) \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$ be. We have to prove that, for all $v, w \in G$, such that $v \neq w$ and $v \neq w$, it results that $v^{f} \cap w^{f} = \phi$. If we assume $x \in v^{f} \cap w^{f}$, it follows f(x) = v, $v \in H(\langle (f(x) \rangle) \text{ and } w \in \langle f(x) \rangle$, hence $v \neq w$. Contradiction.

PROPOSITION 3. - Let S be a topological space, G a finite directed graph and f: $S \rightarrow G$ a function from S to G. Then f is a c.o-regular function, iff, for all $x \in S$, it is:

i) f(x) is a head of $\langle f(x) \rangle$, i.e. $f(x) \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$;

ii) $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is a totally headed subset of G.

Proof. - By Proposition 2, we have only to prove that an o-regular function is c.o-regular iff ii) is true.

Then let f be a c.o-regular function. Since each subset $X = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of $\langle f(x) \rangle$ such that $\overline{v_1^f} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{v_n^f} \neq \phi$ can not be a singularity of f, X must be headed.

Conversely, let $\langle f(x) \rangle$ be totally headed for all $x \in S$. Then if we assume that $X = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a singularity of f, there exists a point $x \in \overline{v_1^f} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{v_n^f}$. Hence the non-headed subset X is included in $\langle f(x) \rangle$. Contradiction.

REMARK. - Consequently, if G is an undirected graph, a function $f:S \rightarrow G$ is strongly regular i.e. c.regular iff, for all $x \in S$, $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is a totally headed subset of G. In this case, indeed, we have that " $\langle f(x) \rangle$ totally headed" is equivalent to $H(\langle f(x) \rangle) = \langle f(x) \rangle$.

2) Patterns of a function.

DEFINITION 2. - Let $f: S \rightarrow G$ be a function from a topological space S to a finite directed graph G. A function g: $S \rightarrow G$ is called an o-pattern (resp. o^{*}-

pattern) of f, if, for all $x \in S$, it holds $g(x) \in H(\langle (f(x) \rangle) | (resp. g(x) \in T(\langle f(x) \rangle) \rangle)$.

REMARK. - In general there is no pattern of a given function, because the sets $\langle f(x) \rangle$ may be non-headed for some $x \in S$.

DEFINITION 3. - A function f: $S \rightarrow G$ from a topological space S to a finite directed graph G is called quasi o-regular (resp. quasi o"-regular), or simply q.o-regular (resp. q.o^{*}-regular) if the image-envelope $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is headed (resp. tailed) for all $x \in S$.

Moreover, the function f is called completely quasi regular, or simply c.a. regular, if $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is totally headed.

REMARK 1. - Consequently, if G is an undirected graph, a q. regular function is also regular and a c.q. regular function is also completely regular, i.e. strongly regular.

REMARK 2. - We consider only c.q. regular functions, since by R_{a} each c.q.oregular function is also c.q.o*-regular.

PROPOSITION 4. - An o-regular function is q.o-regular. A c.o-regular function is c.q.regular.

Proof. - It follows from Propositions 2, 3.

PROPOSITION 5. - A function f: $S \rightarrow G$ is q.o-regular iff there exists an opattern of f.

Proof. - i) Let g be an o-pattern of f. Since, for all $x \in S$, $g(x) \in H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$, $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is headed.

ii) Let $\langle f(x) \rangle$ be headed. In order to construct an o-pattern goof f, we number

the vertices of the finite graph G by v_1, \ldots, v_n . Then, for all $x \in S$, we choose

as g(x) the vertex with the lowest index among the vertices of $H(\langle f(x) \rangle)$.

REMARK. - We note that a c.q. regular function is q.o-regular and q.o*-regular. Hence, there exist both o-patterns and o*-patterns for a c.o-regular function.

PROPOSITION 6. - Let f: $S \rightarrow G$ be a q.o-regular function. Then:

i) all its o-patterns are o-regular functions;

ii) two o-patterns of f are o-homotopic to each other.

Proof. - i) Let $g: S \rightarrow G$ be an o-pattern of f. At first, we prove that $v^{g} \subset$ V^{f} , for each $v \in G$. We have, indeed, $x \in V^{g} \Rightarrow g(x) = v \Rightarrow v \in \langle f(x) \rangle \Rightarrow x \in V^{f}$. Hence it results $v^g \subseteq v^{\overline{f}}$ and also $v^g \subseteq v^{\overline{f}}$. Consequently, $\langle g(x) \rangle \subseteq \langle f(x) \rangle$, for all $x \in S$. Now, since g(x) is a head of $\langle f(x) \rangle$, it is also a head of $\langle g(x) \rangle$. Then, by Proposition 2, g is an o-regular function.

ii) Let g,h be two o-patterns of f. The function $F: S \times I \Rightarrow G$, given by:

$$F(x,t) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } t=0 \\ h(x) & \forall t \in [0,1], \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy between g and h. Besides, for all $(x,t) \in S \times I$, it is:

$$\langle F(x,t) \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle g(x) \rangle \cup \langle h(x) \rangle \subseteq \langle f(x) \rangle & \text{for } t = 0, \\ \langle h(x) \rangle & \forall t \in [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

Then, since g(x) and h(x) are heads of $\langle f(x) \rangle$, they are also, respectively, a head of $\langle g(x) \rangle \cup \langle h(x) \rangle$ and a head of $\langle h(x) \rangle$. Consequently, F is an o-regular function.

DEFINITION 4. - Let S be a topological space and G a finite directed graph. Two c.o-regular (resp. c.o*-regular) functions f,g: $S \Rightarrow G$ are called completely o-homotopic (resp. completely o*-homotopic) or simply c.o-homotopic (resp. c.o*-homotopic) if there exists a homotopy F between f and g, which is a c.o-regular (resp. c.o*regular) function. F is called a complete o-homotopy (resp. complete o*-homotopy), or simply a c.o-homotopy (resp. c.o*-homotopy).

PROPOSITION 7. - Let $f: S \rightarrow G$ be a c.q.regular function. Then:

i) all its o-patterns are c.o-regular functions;

ii) any two o-patterns of f are c.o-homotopic to each other.

Proof. - i) Like in Proposition 6, we prove that $\langle g(x) \rangle \subseteq \langle f(x) \rangle$, for all $x \in S$. Consequently, since $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is totally headed, also $\langle g(x) \rangle$ is totally headed.

Hence, by i) of Proposition 6 and by Proposition 3, g is c.o-regular. ii) We define the homotopy like in Proposition 6. Since, $\forall x \in S, f(x)$ is totally headed, the subsets $\langle g(x) \rangle \cup \langle h(x) \rangle$ and $\langle h(x) \rangle$ are also totally headed. Hence, \forall $(x,t) \in S \times I$, F(x,t) is totally headed and so is a c.o-homotopy between g and h, by Proposition 3.

3) Duality Theorem for complete homotopy classes.

We see it is possible to construct homotopy classes, by considering only c. regular functions and c.regular homotopies.

PROPOSITION 8. - The c.o-homotopy is an equivalence relation in the set of

c.o-regular functions from S to G.

Proof. - The relation obviously satisfies the reflexive and symmetric properties. (See [2], Remark to Definition 5). Also the transitive property is true. In fact, let F (resp. J) be a c. o-homotopy between the c. o-regular functions fand g (resp. g and k). Then the function $K: S \times I \rightarrow G$, given by:

$$K(x,t) = \begin{cases} F(x,3t) & \forall x \in S, \quad \forall t \in [0,\frac{1}{3}] \\ g(x) & \forall x \in S, \quad \forall t \in [\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}] \\ J(x,3t-2) & \forall x \in S, \quad \forall t \in [\frac{2}{3},1] \end{cases},$$

is an o-homotopy between f and k.

We have to prove that k is a c.o-regular function. Let us assume that the imageenvelope of the point (x,t) is non-totally headed. Then, if $t \leq \frac{1}{3}$, also the imageenvelope of (x, 3t) is non-totally headed for the function F. If $t \ge \frac{2}{3}$, also the

image-envelope of (x, 3t-2) is non-totally headed for the function J. If $\frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{2}{3}$,

also the image-envelope of the point x is non-totally headed for the function g.

Anyhow, we obtain a non-totally headed image-envelope for a c.o-regular function.

This contradicts to Proposition 3.

REMARK. - By considering as homotopy between f and g that given by the sum (see [2], Remark to Definition 5), we obtain only an o-regular function, in general.

DEFINITION 5. - Let S be a topological space and G a finite directed graph. We denote by $Q_{C}(S,G)$ (resp. $Q_{C}^{*}(S,G)$) the set of c.o-homotopy (resp. c.o^{*}-homotopy) classes.

REMARK. - We note that $Q_{c}^{*}(S,G)$ coincides with $Q_{c}(S,G^{*})$, and $Q_{c}^{*}(S,G^{*})$ with $Q_{c}(S,G)$.

THEOREM 9. - Let S be a topological space and G a finite directed graph. Then there exists a natural bijection from the set of complete o-homotopy classes $Q_C(S,G)$ to the one of complete o^{*}-homotopy classes $Q_C^*(S,G)$.

Proof. - We denote by $F_c(S,G)$ (resp. $F_c^*(S,G)$) the set of all the c.o-regular (resp. c.o^{*}-regular) functions. We define a relation $\phi: F_c(S,G) \rightarrow F_c^*(S,G)$ which sends each $f \in F_c(S,G)$ in any its o^{*}-pattern $\phi(f)$ and similarly a relation $\psi: F_c^*(S,G)$ $\rightarrow F_c(S,G)$ which sends each $h \in F_c^*(S,G)$ in any its o-pattern $\psi(h)$.

i)
$$\phi$$
 induces a function ϕ from $Q_c(S,G)$ to $Q_c^*(S,G)$.
By the Remark to Proposition 5 and by i) of Proposition 7 the relation ϕ is defined
on all the set $F_c(S,G)$ and by ii) of Proposition 7 every o^{*}-pattern of f is o^{*}-homo
topic to $\phi(f)$. Then we define a function $\overline{\phi}: F_c^*(S,G) \rightarrow Q_c(S,G)$ by putting:
 $\forall f \in F_c(S,G), \quad \overline{\phi}(f) = \{\phi(f)\}.$

Now let g be a function c.o-homotopic to f by the homotopy H, and let $\phi(g)$ be an

o^{*}-pattern of g. We construct the c.o-homotopy:

$$F(x,t) = \begin{cases} f(x) & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{3}, \\ H(x, 3t-1) & \frac{1}{3} \le t \le \frac{2}{3}, \\ g(x) & \frac{2}{3} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Let \hat{F} be an o^{*}-pattern of F, it follows from Proposition 7^{*} that \hat{F} is a c.o^{*}-homotopy

between the restrictions
$$\hat{f} = \hat{F}/_{S \times \{0\}}$$
 and $\hat{g} = \hat{F}/_{S \times \{1\}}$. Since $f = F/_{S \times \{0\}}$ and H does

not interfere in the construction of f, f is an o"-pattern of f. Similarly, g is an o"-

Dattern of g. Then by Proposition 7^{*}, $\phi(f)$ and \hat{f} are c.o^{*}-homotopic, and the same happens for $\phi(f)$ and \hat{g} . For the relation is transitive, $\phi(f)$ is c.o^{*}-homotopic to $\phi(g)$.

Since the function $\overline{\phi}$ is compatible with the c.o-homotopy relation in $F_c(S,G)$, ϕ induces a function ϕ from $Q_c(S,G)$ to $Q_c^*(S,G)$ given by: $\forall \alpha \in Q_c(S,G), \quad \phi(\alpha) = \{\phi(f)\}, \text{ where } f \text{ is a representative of } \alpha.$

ii) ψ induces a function Ψ from $Q_c^*(S,G)$ to $Q_c(S,G)$. By dual arguments we can prove that the required function Ψ is individualized by putting:

 $\forall \beta \in Q^*(S,G), \forall (\beta) = \{\psi(h)\}, \text{ where } h \text{ is a representative of } \beta.$

iii) ϕ and Ψ are bijective functions.

We have only to prove that $\Psi\Phi$ is the identity in $Q_{\mathcal{C}}(S,G)$ and $\Phi\Psi$ the one in $Q_{\mathcal{C}}^*(S,G)$. Let α be a class of $Q_{\mathcal{C}}(S,G)$ and $f \in \alpha$ a c.o-regular function. We have $\Phi(\alpha) = \{\phi(f)\}$, and, successively, $\Psi\Phi(\alpha) = \{\psi\phi(f)\}$. We observe that the function $\psi\phi(f)$ is c.oregular by Propositions 7, 7^{*}. Following i) of the proof of Proposition 6, it results, $\Psi v \in G$, $\overline{v^{\psi\phi(f)}} \subseteq \overline{v^{\phi(f)}} \subseteq \overline{v^{f}}$, then like ii) of the same proof, we can construct a c.o-homotopy between f and $\psi\phi(f)$. Consequently, $\Psi\Phi(\alpha) = \{\psi\phi(f)\} = \{f\} = \alpha$. Similarly, it results, $\Psi \beta \in Q_{\mathcal{C}}^*(S,G)$, $\Phi\Psi(\beta) = \beta$.

4) Duality theorem for homotopy classes.

By the two Normalization Theorems R_b , R_e , the duality can be extended to the homotopy classes Q(S,G) and $Q^*(S,G)$.

PROPOSITION 10. - Let $S \times I$ be a normal topological space and G a finite directed graph. Then there exists a natural bijection from the set of c.o-homotopy classes $Q_{c}(S,G)$ to the one of o-homotopy classes Q(S,G).

Proof. - Let F(S,G) and $F_c(S,G)$ be the sets of o-regular and c.o-regular functions from S to G and $j: F_c(S,G) \rightarrow F(S,G)$ the identical embedding. Obviously, j

is compatible with the c.o-homotopy relation in $F_c(S,G)$ and with the o-homotopy relation in F(S,G), hence j induces a function J from $Q_c(S,G)$ to Q(S,G). Moreover, J is onto by R_b , and it is one to one by R_c .

Finally, by Propositions 10, 10" and Theorem 9 we obtain:

THEOREM 11. - Let S be a countably paracompact normal space and G a finite directed graph. Then there exists a natural bijection from the set of o-homotopy classes O(S,G) to the one of o^{*}-homotopy classes $O^*(S,G)$.

Proof. In fact the assumption on S is equivalent to suppose that S and $S \times I$ are normal spaces. (See Introduction).

REMARK 1. - In general the previous result does not hold for any topological space. (See Example 13.5).

REMARK 2. - In the foregoing conditions it follows that the sets Q(S,G), $Q(S,G^*)$, $Q^*(S,G), Q^*(S,G^*)$ can be identified.

PART TWO. DUALITY THEOREM FOR REGULAR FUNCTIONS BETWEEN PAIRS.

5) Balanced functions.

We can characterize the regular functions between pairs, similarly to Propositions 2, 3, by the following:

PROPOSITION 12. - Let $f: S, S' \rightarrow G, G'$ be a function from a pair of topological spaces S,S' to a pair of finite directed graphs G,G' and f': S' \rightarrow G' the restriction of f: $S \rightarrow G$ to S'. Then f is an o-regular function, iff f(x) is a head of

 $\langle f(x) \rangle$ in G, for all $x \in S$; while f'(x) is a head of $\langle f'(x) \rangle$ in G', for all $x \in S'$.

Moreover, f is c.o-regular, iff also the subsets (x) are totally headed in G

and all the subsets $\langle f'(x) \rangle$ are totally headed in G'.

REMARK. - Consequently, if G is an undirected graph, a function $f: S, S' \rightarrow G, G'$