CHAPTER III. SOME REGULARITY CONDITIONS IN FINITE PLANAR SPACES.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

The generalized projective spaces of dimension > 2 (endowed with all their planes) may be defined as the non-trivial planar spaces $S$ satisfying the following condition :
(*) for every pair of planes $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ intersecting in a line and for every point $x \notin \Pi \cup \Pi^{\prime}$ such that there is a line through $x$ intersecting $\pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ in two distinct points, every line through $x$ intersecting $\pi$ intersects $\pi^{\prime}$.

Indeed, let $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ be two lines intersecting in some point $x$ and let $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ be two lines not passing through $x$ and intersecting each of the lines $L$ and $L$ '. Suppose that $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are disjoint. Since $S$ is a non-trivial planar space, there is a point $y$ outside $\left\langle L, L^{\prime}\right\rangle$. The planes $\pi=\langle y, L\rangle$ and $\alpha=\langle y, A\rangle$ intersect in a line. The line $L^{\prime}$ intersects the planes $\pi$ and $\alpha$ in two distinct points and contains a third point $x^{\prime} \in L^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime}$. Therefore, by condition (*), every line passing through $x^{\prime}$ and intersecting $\pi$ intersects $\alpha$. In particular, the line $A^{\prime}$ which intersects $L \subset \Pi$ intersects $\alpha$. Hence $A^{\prime}$, which is contained in $\left.<L, L^{\prime}\right\rangle$, intersects $A=\alpha \cap<L, L '\rangle, a$ contradiction.Hence Pasch's axiom is satisfied.

In particular, the 3-dimensional generalized projective spaces are the non-trivial planar spaces satisfying
(I) for every pair of planes $\pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ intersecting in a line, every line intersecting $\Pi$ intersects $\Pi^{\prime}$.

Indeed, any non-trivial planar space $S$ satisfying condition (I) satisfies also condition (*), and so is a generalized projective space; moreover, since $S$ is necessarily the smallest linear subspace containing two planes intersecting in a line, $S$ is 3-dimensional.

Note that the condition obtained from (I) by deleting the words "intersecting in a line", though apparently stronger than (I) is equivalent to (I).

Two problems arise now in a natural way : is it possible to classify the non-trivial planar spaces which satisfy the condition obtained from (I) by replacing "intersecting in a line" by "intersecting in a point" (resp. by "having an empty intersection") ? This is the subject of the following two theorems, concerning finite planar spaces.
points would allow us to rule out the rather uninteresting cases (c) and (d), and to shorten the proof a little bit.

Proof of the theorem
The proof is divided into a series of lemmas. The planar space $\operatorname{PG}(4,1)$ obviously satisfies the hypotheses and we shall always assume in what follows that $S \neq P G(4,1)$

Lemma 9.1. If each of the two planes $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ intersects a third plane $\Pi$ in exactly one point, then $\Pi \Pi^{\prime}=\Pi \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}=\Pi^{\prime} \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\Pi \cap \Pi^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\pi \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ where the points $x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ are distinct. By condition (II.), every line of $\pi^{\prime}$ passing through $x^{\prime}$ intersects $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ in a point, and so $\Pi^{\prime} \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}$ is a line L. Condition (II), applied to the pair of planes $\left\{\pi, \Pi^{\prime}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{\pi, \Pi^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ ), shows that any line of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $\pi^{\prime}$ ) intersecting $L$ passes through $x^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $x^{\prime}$ ), which implies that $\pi^{\prime}=L U\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}=L \cup\left\{x^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. If there is a point $x \notin \pi \cup L$, the line $\left\langle x, x^{\prime \prime}>\right.$ must intersect $\Pi^{\prime}=L \cup\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $S=\Pi \cup L$.

Let $y$ be a point of $L$ and let $A$ be a line of $\pi$ passing through $x^{\prime \prime}$ and distinct from $\left\langle x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$. Since $\pi \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, the lines $A$ and $L$ are not coplanar and the plane $\alpha=<y, A>$ intersects $\pi^{\prime}$ in the point $y$ only. By condition (II), any line of $\pi$ intersecting $A$ (hence $\alpha$ ) must intersect $\Pi^{\prime}$, and so must contain $x^{\prime}$; it follows that $\pi=A \cup\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$. Similarly, $\pi=B \cup\left\{x^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for any line $B$ of $\pi$ passing through $x^{\prime}$ and distinct from < $\left.x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$. Therefore $I$ contains only three points $x, x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$. If $L$ has at least three points $y, y^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$, then the line $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ intersects the plane $\left\{x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$ but not the plane $\left\{x^{\prime \prime}, x, y\right\}$, and condition (II) is not satisfied. Therefore $L$ has size 2 and $S=P G(4,1)$ contradicting the initial assumption.

This proves that $x^{\prime}=x^{\prime \prime}$. By condition (II), any line of $\pi^{\prime}$ intersecting $\Pi^{\prime} \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}$ must intersect $\pi$, which implies that $\Pi^{\prime} \cap \Pi^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$.

A maximal set of planes having the property that any two of them intersect in the point $x$ only will be called a direction of planes with top $x$. It follows from Lemma 9.1. that any plane $\pi$ belongs to at most one direction, denoted by dir $\pi$. The top of dir $\pi$ will also be called the top of $\pi$ and a top in S .

Corollary 9.1. If dir $\Pi$ contains at least three planes with top $x$, then all the lines passing through x and belonging to a plane of dir $I \mathrm{l}$ have the same size.

Proof. If $\pi, \Pi^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ are three distinct planes of dir $\pi$ and if $L$ (resp. L') is a line of $\Pi$ (resp. $\Pi^{\prime}$ ) passing through $x$, Lemma 9.1. implies that the plane <L, L'> intersects $\mathrm{II}^{\prime \prime}$ in a line L". By condition (II), any line intersecting L" and L (resp. L" and L') in two distinct points must intersect L' (resp. L), and so $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ have the same size. The corollary follows easily.

Lerma 9.2. For any point $x$ of $S$, the residue $S_{x}$ of $x$ is one of the following (i) a projective plane (possibly degenerate)
(ii) a punctured projective plane
(iii) an affine plane with one point at infinity
(iv) an affine plane.

Proof. Two planes of $S$ intersect in $x$ (and in $x$ only) iff the corresponding lines of $S_{x}$ are disjoint. Therefore Lemma 9.1. implies that if $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are two disjoint lines in $S_{x}$, any line of $S_{x}$ intersecting $L$ in one point must also intersect $L^{\prime}$ in one point. In other words, the linear space $S_{x}$ is a semi-affine plane. Since $S$ is assumed to be finite, $S_{x}$ is finite and we know by (I6) that $S_{x}$ is either an affine plane, or an affine plane with one point at infinity, or a punctured projective plane, or a (possibly degenerate) projective plane.

The finiteness assumption is essential here : indeed, Dembowski has constructed infinite semi-affine planes which are not of the four types described above [30].
Note that $S_{x}$ is always an affino-projective plane, except if $S_{x}$ is a degenerate projective plane. Note also that $S_{x}$ is a (possibly degenerate) projective plane iff $x$ is not a top in $S$.

Corollary 9.2. If $S_{X}$ is an affino-projective plane of order $k$, then $x$ has degree $k$ in every plane with top $x$.

Proof. It suffices to observe that a plane with top $x$ corresponds to a line of $S_{x}$ having at least one disjoint line in $S_{x}$, that is a line of size $k$ in $S_{x}$.

Lemma 9.3. If $S$ contains a point $x$ such that $S_{X}$ is a degenerate projective plone, then $S$ is of type (c).

Proof. The hypothesis implies that $S$ is the union of a plane $\pi$ and of a line $A$ intersecting $\pi$ in $x$. Let $z$ be a point on $A$, distinct from $x$. Since $S=\Pi \cup A$, every line passing through $z$ intersects $\pi$. Therefore the plane $\pi$ is isomorphic to $S_{z}$ and, by Lenma 9.2 , $I$ is a semi-affine plane.

Suppose that there are two points $z$ and $z^{\prime}$, distinct from $x$, on the line A. The plane $\pi$ contains two intersecting lines $L$ and $L$ ' not passing through $x$
(except if $\pi$ is a degenerate projective plane in which all lines through $x$ have size 2 , but in this case $S$ is a 3-dimensional generalized projective space and condition (II') is not satisfied). If $\pi$ contains either a point $y \notin L \cup L^{\prime} U\{x\}$ or a line $L^{\prime \prime}$ intersecting $L^{\prime}$ but not $L$, then the planes $<L, z>$ and $<L^{\prime}, z^{\prime}>$ intersect in the point $L \cap L^{\prime}$ only, and either the line $\left\langle y, z^{\prime}\right\rangle$ or the line $L^{\prime \prime}$ intersects $\left\langle L^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\rangle$ but not $\langle L, z\rangle$, in contradiction with (II). Therefore the semi-affine plane $\pi$ has no such point $y$ and no such line $L^{\prime \prime}$, and so $\Pi$ is necessarily a degenerate projective plane with 4 points, in which $x$ is of degree 2. Denote by $B$ the line of size 3 in $\Pi$ and by $x^{\prime}$ the point of degree 3 in $\pi$. Then $S=\langle A, B\rangle U\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ and $S_{x^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to $\langle A, B\rangle$. It follows that $\langle A, B\rangle$ is an affine plane of order 2 with the point $x$ at infinity, and so $S$ is of type (c).

Therefore we may assume that $A$ is a line $\{x, z\}$ of size 2 . Then $S=\Pi \cup\{z\}$ and all lines through $z$ have size 2. If $\Pi$ is a (possibly degenerate) projective plane, then $S$ is a 3 -dimensional generalized projective space and condition (II') is not satisfied. Therefore the semi-affine plane $\pi$ is either a punctured projective plane, or an affine plane with one point at infinity, or an affine plane, and the Lemma is proved.

From now on, we shall always assume that there is no point $x \in S$ such that $S_{X}$ is a degenerate projective plane.

Lemma 9.4. If $S$ contains a point $x$ such that $S_{x}$ is an affine plane of order $k$ with one point at infinity, then $S$ is obtained from $\operatorname{PG}(3, k)$ by deleting an affino-projective plane which is neither projective nor punctured profective.

Proof. Denote by $L_{\infty}$ the line of $S$ corresponding to the point at infinity of $S_{x}$, by $y$ any point of $L_{\infty}$ distinct from $x$, and by $\pi$ any plane passing through $x$ and not containing $L_{\infty}$. $S$ is the union of $L_{\infty}$ and of all planes of dir $\pi$. Therefore any line through $y$ intersects at least one (hence every) plane of dir $\pi$, and so we define an isomorphism between $S_{y}$ and $I I$ by mapping any line passing through $y$ onto its point of intersection with $\pi$. Therefore $\pi$ is a semi-affine plane (distinct from a degenerate projective plane). By Corollary 9.2 , $x$ has degree $k$ in $\pi$, and so either $\Pi$ has order $k-1$ or $\Pi$ is an affine plane of order $k$ with the point $x$ at infinity.

If $I$ is a projective plane of order $k-1$, then all lines of $S$ distinct from $L_{\infty}$ and passing through $x$ have size $k$. Let $\Pi_{\infty}$ be a plane of $S$ containing $L_{\infty}$ and let $\Pi_{\infty}^{*}$ denote the linear space induced on $\Pi_{\infty}-\left(L_{\infty}-\{x\}\right)$ by the linear
structure of $\pi_{\infty}$. Since $\Pi_{\infty}$ intersects every plane of dir $\Pi$ in a line through $x$ and since every line of $\pi_{\infty}$ not passing through $x$ intersects each of the $k$ planes of dir $\pi$ in a point, all the lines of the linear space $\pi_{\infty}^{*}$ have size $k$. The degree of $x$ in $\pi_{\infty}^{*}$ is $k \doteq|\operatorname{dir} \pi|$, and so $\pi_{\infty}^{*}$ is a projective plane. On the other hand, the lines of $\Pi_{\infty}$ passing through $y$ induce pairwise disjoint lines in $\pi_{\infty}^{*}$, a contradiction.

If the semi-affine plane $\pi$ has order $k-1$ and is not a projective plane, then $\pi$ contains a line $L$ of size $k-1$ not passing through $x$. Let $\pi_{1}=<L, y>$ where $y \neq x$ is a point of $L_{\infty}$. The intersections of $\Pi_{1}$ with the planes of dir $\pi$ form a partition $\Delta_{1}$ of $\Pi_{1}-\{y\}$ into $k$ lines of size $k-1=|L|$. On the other hand, the lines of $\pi_{1}$ passing through $y$ define a partition $\Delta_{2}$ of $\pi_{1}-\{y\}$ into $k-1$ lines of size $k$. Let $L^{\prime} \notin \Delta_{1} U . \Delta_{2}$ be a line of $\Pi_{1}$. By condition (II), $L^{\prime}$ intersects each of the lines of $\Delta_{1}$, which is impossible since $L^{\prime} \notin \Delta_{2}$.

Therefore every plane $\Pi$ containing $x$ but not $L_{\infty}$ is an affine plane of order $k$ with the point $x$ at infinity. Since any line of $S$ distinct from $L_{\infty}$ is either contained in some plane of dir $\pi$ or intersects every plane of dir $\pi$ in a point, the lines of $S$ distinct from $L_{\infty}$ have size $k+1$ or $k$ according as they intersect $L_{\infty}$ or not. Moreover, the planes of $S$ containing $x$ have exactly $k^{2}$ points outside $L_{\infty}$ and the planes not containing $x$ intersect the planes of dir $\pi$ in $k$ pairwise disjoint lines of size $k$. Therefore, in the planar space of $k^{3}$ points induced on $S-L_{\infty}$, all lines have $k$ points and all planes have $k^{2}$ points. In other words, $S-L_{\infty}$ is a planar space of $k^{3}$ points in which all planes are affine planes of order $k$. If $k=2, S-L_{\infty}$ is the unique Steiner system $S(3,4,8)$, that is the affine space $A G(3,2)$. If $k=3, S-L_{\infty}$ is the unique $H a l l$ triple system of 27 points [36], that is the affine space $A G(3,3)$. If $k \geqslant 4$, then by (I5), $S-L_{\infty}$ is the affine space $A G(3, k)$.

It follows that $S$ is obtained from an affine space $A G(3, k)$ by adding a line at infinity $L_{\infty}$ to a direction of parallel planes. Using the classical process of completion by points at infinity we conclude easily that $S$ is obtained by deleting from $\mathrm{PG}(3, k)$ an affino-projective plane (which is neither projective nor punctured projective since $L_{\infty}$ contains at least 2 points).

Corollary 9.4. (i) If $S$ contains a point $x$ such that $S_{x}$ is an affine plane with one point at infinity, then for any top $y$ in $S, S_{y}$ is also an affine plane with one point at infinity.
(ii) If $S$ contains a point $x$ such that $S_{x}$ is an affine plane, then x is the only top in S .

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 9.4. In order to prove (ii), suppose on the contrary that there is a top $y \neq x$ in $S$. By (i), $S_{y}$ is not an affine plane with one point at infinity, and so, by Lemma 9.2., $S_{y}$ is either an affine plane or a punctured projective plane. In both cases, the line $<x, y$ > is contained in a plane $I I$ with top $y$. On the other hand, there is in $S_{x}$ a line disjoint from the line $\Pi_{x}$ of $S_{x}$ corresponding to $\pi$, and so $\Pi$ is a plane with top $x$. Therefore $\pi$ has two distinct tops $x$ and $y$, in contradiction with Lemma 9.1.

Lemma 9.5. If $S$ contains a point $x$ such that $S_{x}$ is a punctured projective plan of order $k$ or an affine plane of order $k$, then every plane $I I$ with top x is an affine plane of order $k$ with the point $x$ at infinity.

Proof. Let $\Pi^{\prime} \neq \pi$ be a plane of dir $\pi$ and let $y \neq x$ be a point of $\pi^{\prime}$. By condition.(II), all the lines passing through $y$ and disjoint from $\pi$ are included in $\Pi^{\prime}$. Therefore if we map each line of $S$ passing through $y$ and intersecting $\pi$ onto its point of intersection with $\Pi$, we define an isomorphism between $\pi$ and the linear space induced by $S_{y}$ on $S_{y}-\left(\pi_{y}^{\prime}-L_{y}\right)$ where $\pi_{y}^{\prime}$ is the line of $S_{y}$ corresponding to the plane $\pi^{\prime}$ and $L_{y}$ is the point of $S_{y}$ corresponding to the line $L=\langle x, y\rangle$. Thanks to Corollary 9.4., we know that $S_{y}$ is either a projective plane or a punctured projective plane. If $\mid$ dir $\pi \mid>2$, then all lines of II passing through $x$ have the same size by Corollary 9.1. If $\mid$ dir $\pi \mid=2$, then $S_{x}$ must be an affine plane of order 2 and Corollary 9.4. implies that $S_{y}$ is a projective plane. Therefore, in any case, $I I$ is an affine plane with the point $x$ at infinity and, by Corollary 9.2., the order of $\pi$ is $k$.

Lemma 9.6. If $S$ contains a point $x$ such that $S_{x}$ is an affine plane of order $k$, then $S$ is obtained from $\mathrm{PG}(3, k)$ by deleting a punctured projective plane of order $k$.

Proof. By Lemma 9.5. and condition (II), the lines of $S$ have $k+1$ or $k$ points according as they contain $x$ or not, and the planes of $S$ have $k^{2}+1$ or $k^{2}$ points according as they contain $x$ or not. Therefore $S-\{x\}$ is a planar space of $k^{3}$ points in which all lines have $k$ points and all planes have $k^{2}$ points. By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 9.4., we conclude that $S-\{x\}$ is an affine space $A G(3, k)$ and that $S$ is obtained from the projective space $P G(3, k)$ by deleting a punctured projective plane of order $k$.
affine planes of order 3 or planes of 13 points consisting of three concurrent lines of size 5 (all the other lines having size 3 ). We denote by $S_{H}^{\prime}$ the linear space obtained from $S_{H}$ by replacing every line of size 5 by 10 lines of size 2. Let $F_{9}$ be the affine plane induced on $S_{H}^{\prime}$ by a plane intersecting $S_{H}^{\prime}$ in 9 points and let $x$ be a point of $S_{H}^{\prime}-F_{g}$ which is on at least one line of size 3 intersecting $F_{9}$. The smallest linear subspace of $S_{H}^{\prime}$ containing $F_{g}$ and $x$ has exactly 18 points and is a Fischer space, denoted by $\mathrm{F}_{18} \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{18}$ is the planar space induced by $\mathrm{PG}(3,4)$ on the set of points of $\mathrm{F}_{18}$.

In order to define $S_{36}$, let $H^{\prime}$ be a Hermitian quadric in $P G(3,4)$ having exactly one singular point $s$ (for instance, the quadric of equation $x \bar{y}+\bar{x} y+z \bar{z}=0)$. The planar space induced on $H^{\prime}$ by $P G(3,4)$ has lines of size 3 or 5, and its planes are either affine planes of order 3 not passing through $s$ or planes of 13 points consisting of 3 lines of size 5 concurrent in s (all the other lines having size 3 ). $S_{36}$ is the planar space induced by $\operatorname{PG}(3,4)$ on $H^{\prime}-\{s\}$ and $F_{36}$ is obtained from $S_{36}$ by replacing every line of size 4 by 6 lines of size 2.

We still need a notation for five small spaces satisfying (III) and (III'). The space $K_{7}^{7}$ is obtained from $P G(2,2)$ by taking as points the points of $P G(2,2)$, as lines the pairs of points and as planes the lines of $\operatorname{PG}(2,2)$ and their complements. The planar space of 6 points in which all lines have size 2 and which contains $0,1,2$ or 3 planes of 4 points (all the other planes having 3 points) will be denoted by $K_{6}^{0}, K_{6}^{1}, K_{6}^{2}$ and $K_{6}^{3}$. It is easy to check that these spaces are uniquely determined by the above properties.

## Statement of the theorem.

We shall first prove two fundamental lemmas. In what follows, $S$ denotes always a finite planar space satisfying (III) and (II').

Lemma 10.1. For any plane $\Pi$ of $S$ and for any point $x \in S-\Pi$, there is at most one plane passing through x and disjoint from I .

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $x$ is on two distinct planes $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ disjoint from $\pi$. Let $L$ be a line passing through $x$, contained in $\Pi^{\prime}$ but not in $\pi^{\prime \prime}$. Since $L$ intersects $\pi^{\prime \prime}$, condition (III) implies that $L$ must intersect $\pi$, a contradiction.

A maximal set of pairwise disjoint planes will be called a direction of planes, provided there are at least two planes in it. By Lemma 10.1, a plane $\pi$ either intersects any other plane or is in exactly one direction, denoted by dir $\Pi$.

We can now state our main result :

Theorem 10 [25] If $S$ is a finite planar space such that
(III) for any two disjoint planes $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$, every line intersecting $\Pi$ intersects $\Pi^{\prime}$
and (III') there are at least two disjoint planes
then one of the following occurs :
(a) S is obtained from $\mathrm{PG}(3, k)$ by deleting a line,
(b) S is obtained from $\mathrm{PG}(3, k)$ by deleting an affino-projective (but not affine) plane of order $k$,
(c) $S=S_{36}$,
(d) $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{18}$,
(e) $S$ is a space $S_{7}$ of 7 points lying on 3 concurrent lines of size 3 , all the other lines having size 2, in which the plones either have only 3 points or are unions of two lines of size 3 ,
(f) $S=K_{7}^{7}, K_{6}^{0}, K_{6}^{1}, K_{6}^{2}$ or $K_{6}^{3}$,
(g) S has only one direction of planes and $S-S^{*}$ contains at least four noncoplanar points.

We do not know whether there is a finite planar space of type (g).
The proof will be divided into three main parts : we shall first handle some small exceptional spaces (types (e) and (f)), then we shall classify the spaces having at least two directions of planes (types (b) and (c)) and finally we shall examine the spaces having exactly one direction of planes (types (a), (d) and (g)).

### 3.1. Small exceptional spaces

Lemma 10.3. If $S$ contains two disjoint planes $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ such that $S=\pi \cup \Pi^{\prime}$, then $S$ is the union of any two disjoint planes (in particular, every direction has exactly two planes).

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ are two disjoint planes of $S$ such that there is a point $x \notin \Pi_{1} \cup \pi_{j}^{\prime}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $x \in \pi$. Then for any point $y \in \pi_{\eta} \cap \Pi^{\prime}$, the line $\langle x, y\rangle$ has size 2 , and so $\left\langle x, y>\right.$ is disjoint from $\Pi_{l}^{\prime}$, in contradiction with (III).

Proposition 10.1. If $S$ contains two disjoint planes $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ such that $S=\Pi \cup \Pi^{\prime}$, then $S$ is the affine space $A G(3,2), K_{7}^{7}, K_{6}^{0}, K_{6}^{1}, K_{6}^{2}$ or $K_{6}^{3}$.
1.3) Suppose finally that $\pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}$ is a point $t$ and that $\pi_{1}^{1} \cap \pi_{2}^{1}$ is a point $z$. Then $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{2}, \Pi_{2}^{\prime}$ are degenerate projective planes and $\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}^{\prime}=X$, $\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}=Y$ are lines. Suppose that $X$ has at least three points $x, x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}$ and let $y, y^{\prime}$ be two points of $Y$. If there is a transversal plane $\pi=\{x, y, z\}$ not containing $t$, then the planes $\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, t\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, t\right\rangle$ must intersect $\pi$ by Lemma 10.3, and so $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z, t\right\}$ and $\left\{x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, z, t\right\}$ are distinct planes, a contradiction since they have three non-collinear points in common. Therefore, any transversal plane contains $t$ and $\langle x, y, z\rangle=\langle x, z, t\rangle=\left\langle x, z, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$, a contradiction. This shows that $X$ (and similarly $Y$ ) has size 2 . Hence $S$ has exactly 6 points and all lines have size 2. Since the union of two disjoint planes contains at least 6 points, S will automatically be the union of any two disjoint planes. It is a trivial exercise to check that there are exactly 4 non-isomorphic planar spaces of 6 points in which all lines have size 2 and which satisfy conditions (III) and (III') (they have respectively 0, 1, 2 or 3 planes of 4 points).
2) In order to complete the proof, it remains to show that the case where $S$ has only one pair of disjoint planes $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ such that $S=\Pi \cup \Pi^{\prime}$ leads to a contradiction.

Suppose first that $\pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ are two projective planes (possibly degenerate). If there is a plane intersecting $\Pi$ in a line $A$ and $\Pi$ ' in a line $A^{\prime}$, let a $\in \Pi-A$ and $a^{\prime} \in \Pi^{\prime}-A^{\prime}$. The planes $\left\langle A, a^{\prime}\right\rangle=A \cup\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\langle A^{\prime}, a\right\rangle=A^{\prime} \cup\{a\}$ are disjoint, a contradiction. Hence there is no plane intersecting both $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ in a line. Let $L$ (resp. $L^{\prime}$ ) be a line of $\pi$ (resp. $\pi^{\prime}$ ) and let $x \in \pi-L, x^{\prime} \in \pi^{\prime}-L^{\prime}$. The planes $\left\langle L, x^{\prime}\right\rangle=L U\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\langle L^{\prime}, x\right\rangle=L^{\prime} U\{x\}$ are disjoint, a contradiction.

Therefore we may assume that $\Pi$ contains two disjoint lines $A$ and $B$. If there is a plane $\alpha$ containing $A$ and intersecting $\pi^{\prime}$ in only one point $x^{\prime}$, then every plane $\beta \neq \Pi$ containing $B$ must intersect $\alpha$, and so must contain $x^{\prime}$, $a$ contradiction because two such planes $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ would have three non-collinear points in common. Therefore the planes $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \neq \pi$ containing $A$ intersect $\pi^{\prime}$ in lines $A_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}$ partitioning $\Pi^{\prime}$. Similarly, the planes $\beta_{1}, \ldots, B_{m} \neq \mathbb{K}$ containing $B$ intersect $\Pi^{\prime}$ in lines $B_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{m}^{\prime}$ partitioning $\pi^{\prime}$. Since $\pi^{\prime}$ ard $\pi^{\prime}$ are the only two disjoint planes of $S$, any line $A_{j}^{\prime}$ intersectseach line $B_{j}^{\prime}$, and so there is no line in $\Pi^{\prime}$ which is coplanar with $A$ and also coplanar with B. For the same reason, there is no line in $\Pi$ which is coplanar with $A_{j}^{\prime}$ and also coplanar with $A_{2}^{\prime}$, a contradiction since $A$ is coplanar with $A_{j}^{\prime}$ and with $A_{2}^{\prime}$.

From now on, we shall assume that $S$ is not the union of two disjoint planes.

Proposition 10.2. If there is a plane $\Pi \notin$ dir $\Pi_{1}$ intersecting $\Pi_{1}$ in only one point $x$, then $S=S_{7}$.

Proof. Let $\Pi_{1}^{\prime} \neq \Pi_{1}$ be any other plane of dir $\Pi_{1}$. By Lemma 10.2 , $\Pi$ is contained in $\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{1}^{\prime}$ (which implies that $\left.\operatorname{dir} \Pi_{\eta}=\left\{\Pi_{\eta}, \Pi_{j}^{1}\right\}\right)$, and so $\Pi$ is a degenerate projective plane. Let $y$ be a point outside $\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{1}^{\prime}$ (hence outside $\pi$ ). By condition (III), the line $<x, y>$ intersects $\Pi_{1}^{\prime}$ in a point $x^{\prime} \notin L^{\prime}=\pi \cap \Pi_{j}^{\prime}$, and, by Lemma 10.2, the plane <L',y> intersects $\pi_{1}$ in a line $L$ not containing $x$. Thus the plane $\Pi^{\prime}=\left\langle x^{\prime}, L\right\rangle$ is disjoint from $\pi$ and is isomorphic to $\pi$ by Corollary 10.2.1. If there is a line $L^{\prime \prime}$ disjoint from $\Pi \cup \Pi^{\prime}$, then Lemma 10.2 implies that the planes through $L^{\prime \prime}$ which are not disjoint from $\Pi$ intersect $\pi$ in disjoint lines, which is impossible since $\Pi$ is a degenerate projective plane. Therefore all lines passing through $y$ intersect $\pi$ or $\Pi^{\prime}$, and so, by (III), intersect $\pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$.

If $L$ contains at least three points $u, v, w$, let $u^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \cap\langle u, y\rangle$, $v^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \cap\langle v, y\rangle, w^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \cap\langle w, y\rangle$. The planes $\alpha=\left\langle x, u, w^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=\left\langle x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v\right\rangle$ are two disjoint planes of 3 points and the line $\left\langle v, v^{\prime}\right\rangle$ intersects $\alpha^{\prime}$ but not a, so that condition (III) is not satisfied. Therefore the lines L and L' have size 2 and $y$ is on exactly 3 lines.

If the line $\langle x, y\rangle$ contains a fourth point $x^{\prime \prime}$, then the planes $\left\langle L^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ and $<L ', x\rangle=\pi$ have a line in common and are both disjoint from $\Pi^{\prime}=\left\langle L, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$, contradicting Lemma 10.1. The same argument shows that the 3 lines passing through $y$ have size 3, and so $S=S_{7}$.

From now on, we shall assume that $S \neq S_{7}$ so that, by Proposition 10.2, any plane not belonging to a direction dir $\pi$ intersects all planes of dir $\pi$ in a line.
3.2. Suppose that there are at least two directions of planes dir $\Pi_{1}$ and dir $\Pi_{2}$.

By Lemma 10.2 and Corollary 10.2.2, the set of lines $\pi_{1}^{i} \cap \pi_{2}^{j}$, where $\pi_{1}^{i} \in \operatorname{dir} \pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}^{j} \in \operatorname{dir} \pi_{2}$, is a partition of $S^{*}$ and will be denoted by $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 10.4. If a plane $\Pi$ intersects a line of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}\right)$ in a single point, then $\Pi$ intersects every line of $\delta\left(\pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$ in a single point and $\Pi^{*}=\pi \cap S^{*}$ is an affine plane of order $k=\left|\operatorname{dir} \Pi_{1}\right|=\left|\operatorname{dir} \Pi_{2}\right|$.

Proof. The intersections of the planes of dir $\pi_{1}$ (resp. dir $\pi_{2}$ ) with $\pi^{*}$ define a partition $\delta_{1}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \delta_{2}\right)$ of $\pi^{*}$ into lines of $S$. Note that $\delta_{1} \neq \delta_{2}$, otherwise
$\pi^{*}$ would not intersect a line of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}\right)$ in a single point. If $L^{*}$ is any line of $\pi^{*}$ not in $\delta_{1}$ (resp. not in $\delta_{2}$ ), condition (III) implies that $L^{*}$ intersects every line of $\delta_{1}$ (resp. $\delta_{2}$ ). There is a line of $\delta_{1}$ which is not in $\delta_{2}$; since this line must intersect every line of $\delta_{2}, \delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ have no line in common. Therefore, if $L_{i}$ is any line of $\delta_{i}(i=1,2)$ and if $L^{*}$ is any line of $\Pi^{*}$ not in $\delta_{1} \cup \delta_{2}$, we have

$$
\left|L_{1}\right|=\left|\delta_{2}\right|=\left|L^{*}\right|=\left|\delta_{1}\right|=\left|L_{2}\right|
$$

and so all lines of $\pi^{*}$ have the same size

$$
k=\left|\delta_{1}\right|=\left|\operatorname{dir} \Pi_{1}\right|=\left|\delta_{2}\right|=\left|\operatorname{dir} \Pi_{2}\right|
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left|\pi^{*}\right|=\left|\delta_{1}\right| \cdot\left|L_{1}\right|=k^{2}
$$

and so $\Pi^{*}$ is an affine plane of order $k$.
Since every plane of dir $\pi_{1}$ is partitioned into $k=\mid$ dir $\pi_{2} \mid$ lines of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$, since $\Pi^{*}$ intersects such a plane in a line of size $k$ and since $\pi^{*}$ contains no line of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}\right)$ (because $\left.\delta_{1} \cap \delta_{2}=\emptyset\right)$, we conclude that $\pi^{*}$ intersects every line of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$ in a single point.

The planes of $S$ (or $S^{*}$ ) intersecting every line of $\delta\left(\pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}\right)$ will be called transversal and those containing a line of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$ will be called non-transversal. Note that any plane of $S$ is either transversal or non-transversal. For any triple of non-coplanar lines $L, L^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime} \in \delta\left(\pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}\right)$, the product $|L| .\left|L^{\prime}\right| .\left|L^{\prime \prime}\right|$ counts the total number of transversal planes in $S$. It follows that all lines of. $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$ have the same size $\ell$. Since $\Pi_{1}$ (resp. $\Pi_{2}$ ) is partitioned into $k$ lines of size $\ell$ by its intersections with the planes of $\operatorname{dir} \Pi_{2}$ (resp. dir $\Pi_{1}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\pi_{1}\right|=\left|\pi_{2}\right|=k \ell \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|S^{*}\right|=\left|\operatorname{dir} \pi_{1}\right| \cdot\left|\pi_{1}\right|=k_{\ell}^{2}$
Lemma 10.5. $S-S^{*}$ is a linear subspace of $S$, and any transversal plane $\Pi$ of $S$ has at most $\mathrm{k}-1$ points outside $\mathrm{S}^{*}$.

Proof. By condition (III), any line intersecting a plane of dir $\Pi_{1}$ intersects every plane of dir $\Pi_{j}$. It follows that, for any point $x \in \pi-\pi^{*}$, the set of all lines passing through $x$ and intersecting $\pi^{*}$ determines a partition of $\pi^{*}$ into lines, i.e. a parallel class in the affineplane $\pi^{*}$. Therefore, if $x$ and
$y$ are any two points of $S-S^{*}$, the line $\left\langle x, y>\right.$ must be disjoint from $S^{*}$. This proves that $S-S^{*}$ is a linear subspace of $S$. Since there are $k+1$ parallel classes in $\Pi^{*}$ and since at least two (induced by dir $\Pi_{1}$ and dir $\Pi_{2}$ ) are also classes of pairwise disjoint lines in $\Pi$, there are at most $k-1$ points in $\pi-\Pi^{*}$.

Note that the planes of $S$ are not necessarily the smallest linear subspaces containing three non-collinear points. On the contrary, if $x, y, z$ are non-collinear points in $S-S^{*}$, it follows from Lemma 10.2 that the plane $\langle x, y, z\rangle$ of $S$ intersects $S^{*}$ in a plane of $S^{*}$.

Proposition 10.3. If $\ell=k$, then $S$ is obtained from a 3-dimensional projective space $\operatorname{PG}(3, k)$ by deleting an affino-projective (but not affine) plane of order k.

Proof. If $\ell=k$, then $S^{*}$ is a planar space of $k^{3}$ points in which all lines have $k$ points and all planes have $k^{2}$ points, hence $S^{*}$ is the 3 -dimensional affine space $A G(3 ; k)$. Indeed, by (I 5 ) if $k=2, S^{*}$ is the unique Steiner system $S(3,4,8)$, that is the affine space $A G(3,2)$; if $k=3, S^{*}$ is the unique Hall triple system of 27 points, that is the affine space $A G(3,3)$; if $k \geqslant 4, S^{*}$ is the affine space $\operatorname{AG}(3, k)$.

On the other hand, if the linear subspace $S-S^{*}$ contains three noncollinear points $x, y, z$, then the planes containing $\langle x, y\rangle$ and those containing $<x, z>$ induce two distinct partitions of the affine space $S^{*}$ into classes of parallel planes, but these partitions have the plane $\left\langle x, y, z>\cap S^{*}\right.$ in common, a contradiction. Therefore $S-S^{*}$ is either empty, or a point, or a line of size at most $k-1$, and the lemma is proved.

From now on, we shall assume $\ell \neq k$, so that any transversal plane $\pi$ intersects all the other planes of $S$ (otherwise II would belong to a direction dir II of planes of $S$ with $|\operatorname{dir} \Pi|=\ell$ and, by applying to dir $\Pi$ and dir $\Pi_{1}$ the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 10.4, we would get $\ell=k$ ).

Lerma 10.6. For every transversal plane $\Pi$, the number of planes of $S$ whose intersection with $\Pi$ is disjoint from $S^{*}$ is a constant $c$ independent from $\Pi$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =(\ell-1)\left[\ell^{2}+\ell+1-\left(k^{2}+k\right)-k^{2}(\ell-k)\right] \\
& =(\ell-1)\left[b^{\prime}+v^{\prime}(\ell+1-k)-\sum_{x \in \Pi-\Pi^{*}} r_{x}^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) denotes the number of points (resp. the number of lines) of the linear subspace $\pi-\pi^{*}$ and $r_{x}^{\prime}$ denotes the degree of $x$ in $\pi-\pi^{*}$.

Proof. Since every non-transversal plane intersects $\pi^{*}$ in a line, any plane of $S$ whose intersection with $\Pi$ is disjoint from $S^{*}$ is necessarily a transversal plane. The total number of transversal planes distinct from $\pi$ is $\ell^{3}-1$. The number of transversal planes intersecting $\pi^{*}$ in one line (resp. one point) is $\left(k^{2}+k\right)(\ell-1)$ (resp. $\left.k^{2}(\ell-1)(\ell-k)\right)$, since any line (resp. any point) of $\pi^{*}$ is in exactly $\ell-1$ (resp. $\ell^{2}-1$ ) transversal planes distinct from $\pi$. Hence the number of planes of $S$ intersecting $\Pi$ outside $S^{*}$ is equal to

$$
c=(\ell-1)\left[\left(\ell^{2}+\ell+1\right)-\left(k^{2}+k\right)-k^{2}(\ell-k)\right],
$$

which is clearly independent of the choice of the transversal plane $\pi$.
Let $L$ be a line of $\pi-\Pi^{*}$ (if there is one). Since the planes containing $L$ intersect $\Pi_{1}$ in pairwise disjoint lines, the number of planes intersecting $\Pi$ in $L$ is $\ell-1$. Therefore the number of planes intersecting $\pi$ in a line outside $\Pi^{*}$ is equal to $(\ell-1) b^{\prime}$.

Now let $x$ be a point of $\pi-\Pi^{*}$ (if there is one). Any plane of $S$ disjoint from $\Pi^{*}$ intersects $\Pi_{1}$ in a line disjoint from $\Pi \cap \Pi_{1}$ and, for any line $A$ of $\Pi_{1}$ disjoint from $\Pi \cap \Pi_{1}$, the plane $\langle A, x\rangle$ is disjoint from $\Pi^{*}$ (otherwise it would intersect $\pi$ in a line intersecting $\Pi \cap \Pi_{1}$, a contradiction). Hence the number of planes through $x$ which are disjoint from $\pi^{*}$ is equal to the number of lines of $\Pi_{1}$ which are disjoint from $\Pi \cap \Pi_{1}$, that is $(\ell-1)(\ell+1-k)$. Therefore the number of planes whose intersection with $\Pi$ is the point $x$ is equal to $(\ell-1)\left(\ell+1-k-r_{x}^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that

$$
c=(\ell-1)\left(b^{\prime}+v^{\prime}(\ell+1-k)-\sum_{x \in \Pi-\Pi^{*}}^{\varepsilon} r_{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Corollary 10.6. If some transversal plane $\Pi$ contains at least one line of $S-S^{*}$, then every transversal plone of $S$ contains at least one line of $S-S^{*}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \Pi-\Pi^{*}$. We have seen that the number of planes of $S$ passing through $x$ (resp. y) and disjoint from $\pi^{*}$ is equal to ( $\left.\ell-1\right)(\ell+1-k)$, and that the number of planes of $S$ intersecting if in the line $\langle x, y\rangle$ is $\ell-1$. Therefore

$$
c \geqslant 2(\ell-1)(\ell+1-k)-(\ell-1)>(\ell+1)(\ell+1-k)
$$

since $\ell>k$. The existence of a transversal plane of $S$ contained in $S^{*}$ or having a single point outside $S^{*}$ would imply $c=0$ or $c=(\ell+1)(\ell+l-k)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 10.7. Any non-transversal plane $\Pi_{\dot{j}}^{*}$ of $S$ belongs to a unique partition dir $\Pi_{i}^{*}$ of $S^{*}$ into non-transversal planes. The set of all plones of $S$ whose intersections with $S^{*}$ are the planes of dir $\Pi_{i}^{*}$ will be called the pseudodirection $\operatorname{dir}^{*} \Pi_{i}$.

Proof. Let $\Pi^{*}$ be a transversal plane of $S^{*}$. If $\Pi_{\mathfrak{j}}^{*} \in \operatorname{dir} \Pi_{1}$, the lemma is obvious. If $\Pi_{i}^{*} \notin \operatorname{dir} \Pi_{1}, \Pi_{i}^{*}$ is partitioned by its intersections with the planes of dir $\Pi_{l}$ into $k$ lines belonging to $\delta\left(\pi_{1} \cap \pi_{2}\right)$. Since all these lines intersect $\Pi^{*}, \Pi_{j}^{*} \cap \pi^{*}$ is a line of $\Pi^{*}$. On the other hand, every line of $\pi^{*}$ is in a unique plane containing a line of $\delta\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$, that is in a unique non-transversal plane. Therefore $\Pi_{\dot{j}}^{*}$ belongs to a partition of $S^{*}$ into $k$ pairwise disjoint non-transversal planes, each of which contains one of the $k$ parallels to $\Pi_{i}^{*} \cap \pi^{*}$ in the affine plane $\pi^{*}$. Such a partition is clearly unique.

Proposition 10.1. If $\ell \neq k$, then $S=S^{*}=S_{36}$.
Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $S-S^{*}$ is non-empty. A point $x \in S-S^{*}$ cannot belong to two non-transversal planes $\Pi_{i}$ and $\Pi_{j}$ whose pseudo-directions are distinct, because $\Pi_{\mathfrak{i}} \cap \Pi_{j}$ is a line of $\delta\left(\pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$ included in $S^{*}$. On the other hand, any line through $x$ intersecting $S^{*}$ is contained in a unique non-transversal plane. Therefore $x$ belongs to the planes of exactly one pseudodirection dir* $\Pi_{i}$. We shall say that $x$ and dir $^{*} \Pi_{i}$ are associated. Obviously, all the points of $S-S^{*}$ associated with a given pseudo-direction are collinear.

Suppose first that all points of $S-S^{*}$ are associated with the same pseudodirection $\operatorname{dir}^{*} \pi_{i}$. Then the points of $S-S^{*}$ are collinear (this includes the case where $\left|S-S^{*}\right|=1$ ), and so there is a transversal plane $\pi$ having exactly one point outside $S^{*}$. Hence, by Lemma 10.6, $c=(\ell-1)(\ell+1-k)$. Since $k \neq \ell+1$ (because $c \neq 0$ ), there is a line $A$ of $\Pi_{1}$ disjoint from $\Pi \cap \Pi_{1}$. The number of transversal planes through $A$ is $\left|\Pi_{1}\right| / k=\ell$ and the number of transversal planes through $A$ intersecting $\pi$ in a line is $k-1$. Therefore, since any two transversal planes have a non-empty intersection, the number of transversal planes through A intersecting $\Pi$ in exactly one point is $\ell-(k-1)$. By counting in two ways the number of pairs $(y, \alpha)$ where $y$ is a point of $\Pi$ and $\alpha=\langle y, A\rangle$ we get

$$
k^{2}+1=k+(k-1) k+\ell-k+1
$$

which implies $\ell=k$, a contradiction.
This proves that $S-S^{*}$ contains two points associated with distinct pseudo-directions. If all the points of a line $L_{i}$ of $S-S^{*}$ are associated with a pseudo-direction dir $^{*} \Pi_{i}$, let $x$ be a point of $S-S^{*}$ associated with
another pseudo-direction $\operatorname{dir}^{*} \Pi_{j}$. Then $L_{i}$ is the intersection of the plane $\left\langle x, L_{i}>\right.$ with each plane of $\operatorname{dir} r^{*} \Pi_{j}$. Since the planes of dir* $\Pi_{i}$ induce a partition of $S^{*}$, the plane $\left\langle x, L_{i}\right\rangle$ must be disjoint from $S^{*}$, a contradiction. Therefore any pseudo-direction of planes is associated with at most one point outside $S^{*}$. Since there are $k+1$ pseudo-directions and since dir $\Pi_{1}$ and dir $\pi_{2}$ are not associated with any point of $S-S^{*}$, we have $\left|S-S^{*}\right| \leqslant k-1$. Since $S-S^{*}$ contains at least one line (all of whose points are associated with distinct pseudo-directions), there is a transversal plane having at least one line outside $S^{*}$. Therefore, by Corollary 10.6, every transversal plane has at least one line outside $S^{*}$. It follows that the number $n$ of pairs ( $\pi, L$ ), where $\Pi$ is a transversal plane and $L$ a line of $\pi-\Pi^{*}$, is not less than the number $\ell^{3}$ of transversal planes and is equal to $\ell$ times the number of lines in $S-S^{*}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{3} \leqslant n \leqslant \ell(k-1)(k-2) / 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the degree $\ell+1$ of a point in $\Pi_{1}$ cannot be less than the size $k$ of some of the lines of $\Pi_{1}$, and so $\ell^{2} \geqslant(k-1)^{2}$, contradicting (3).

We have proved that $S=S^{*}$. Therefore $c=0$ and, using Lerma 10.6 , we get

$$
\ell=\left(k^{2}-1 \pm(k-1) \sqrt{k^{2}-2 k-3}\right) / 2
$$

Obviously, $k=2$ is excluded and, for $k>3$

$$
(k-2)^{2}<k^{2}-2 k-3<(k-1)^{2}
$$

shows that $k^{2}-2 k-3$ is not a perfect square. Therefore $k=3$ and $\ell=4$.
Thus every transversal plane is an affine plane of order 3 and every non-transversal plane consists of 3 pairwise disjoint lines of size 4, all the other lines having size 3. If we replace each line of size 4 by 6 lines of size 2, we get a linear space $F$ of 36 points consisting of lines of sizes 2 and 3 and in which the smallest linear subspaces containing three non-collinear points are degenerate projective planes of 3 points, punctured projective planes of order 2 or affine planes of order 3, and so $F$ is a Fischer space of 36 points. Let dir $\pi_{1}=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{1}^{\prime}, \Pi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and let $F_{6}$ be a linear subspace of 6 points of $F$ contained in the plane $\Pi_{1}$ of $S$. If $x \in \Pi_{j}, x$ is joined to every point of $F_{6}$ by a line of size 3 , and the smallest lineaf subspace of $F$ containing $x$ and $F_{6}$ has obviously at least 6 points in each of the planes $\pi_{1}, \pi_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{j}^{\prime \prime}$. Buekenhout [10] has proved that a Fischer space having at least 18 points and generated by a plane $\alpha$ isomorphic to $F_{6}$ and by a point joined by a line of size 3 to at least one point of $\alpha$ is necessarily either $F_{18}$ or $F_{36}$. Since $x$
is joined to every point of $F_{6}$ by a line of size 3, a situation which does not occur in $\mathrm{F}_{18}$, F is isomorphic to $\mathrm{F}_{36}$. There is a unique way to construct 9 mutually disjoint lines of size 4 from the lines of size 2 of $F_{36}$ and to provide this new linear space with planes isomorphic to those of $S$. The planar space $S_{36}$ constructed in this way from $F_{36}$ has the required properties.

### 3.3. Suppose that $S$ contains only one direction $\Delta$ of planes

The planes of $\Delta$ will be called $\Delta$-planes, the lines contained in a $\Delta$-plane will be called $\Delta$-lines, and the lines intersecting all $\Delta$-planes will be called transversal lines (by condition (III), a line intersecting a $\Delta$-plane must intersect all $\Delta$-planes).

Lerma 10.8. Every $\Delta$-line $L$ contained in a $\Delta$-plane II belongs to at least one partition of II into lines which are coplanar with the same line of a $\Delta$-plane $\Pi^{\prime} \neq \pi$.

Proof. Let $\Pi^{\prime} \neq \pi$ be a $\Delta$-plane and let $L^{\prime}$ be a line of $\Pi^{\prime}$ coplanar with $L$. The set of intersections of $I$ with the planes passing through $L^{\prime}$ (and distinct from $\Pi^{\prime}$ ) is clearly a partition of $\Pi$ into lines, and $L$ belongs to this partition.

Since we have assumed that $S$ is not the union of two disjoint planes, all $\Delta$-planes are isomorphic by Corollary 10.2.1. Let $v$ ' denote the number of points of any $\Delta$-plane.

Lemma 10.9. If $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}^{*}$, then
(i) all transversal lines have size $\ell=|\Delta| \geqslant 3$
(ii) any two coplanar $\Delta$-lines contained in two distinct $\Delta-p$ lanes have the same size
(iii) the number $p_{L}$ of planes containing a $\Delta$-line $L$ is $1+v^{\prime} /|L|$.

Proof. $S=S^{*}$ is partitioned by the $\Delta$-planes. Moreover, $|\Delta| \geqslant 3$ because $S$ is not the union of two disjoint planes. This proves (i).
(ii) is a consequence of (i) and of Lemma 10.2.

Let $\Pi$ be a $\Delta$-plane not containing $L$. The planes not belonging to $\Delta$ and containing $L$ intersect $\Pi$ in lines of size $|\mathrm{L}|$ by (ii). This proves (iii).

Lema 10.10. If $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}^{*}$, then any two disjoint $\Delta$-lines contained in the some $\Delta$-plane $I$ have the same size.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $I I$ contains two disjoint lines $A$ of size $a$ and $B$ of size $b$ with $a>b$. Let $\alpha$ be a plane intersecting $\pi$ in $A$. Any line $C$ of $\alpha$ distinct from $A$ and coplanar with $B$ is disjoint from $A$, hence $C$ is a $\Delta-1$ ine and, by Lemma 10.9, $C$ has size a (because $C$ is coplanar with $A$ ) and $C$ has size b (because C is coplanar with B), a contradiction. Therefore $A$ is the only line of a coplanar with $B$, and so any plane containing $B$ and distinct from $\Pi$ intersects $\alpha$ in exactly one point. This, together with Lemma 10.9, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{B}-1=v^{\prime} / b=a(\ell-1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemmas 10.8 and 10.9 , $I$ contains at least one line $B '$ disjoint from $B$ and having size $b$. Let $\beta \neq \pi$ be a plane containing $B$ and let $n$ be the number of lines of $B$ which are distinct from $B$ and coplanar with $B^{\prime}$ (such a line being necessarily a $\Delta$-line, $0 \leqslant n \leqslant \ell-1$ ). Moreover, since a plane containing $B^{\prime}$ must intersect $\beta$ in a line or in a point, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{B^{\prime}}-1=v^{\prime} / b=n+b(\ell-1-n) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) and (5) yield

$$
a(\ell-1)+n(b-1)=b(\ell-1) \quad \text { where } n \geqslant 0 \text {, }
$$

contradicting the assumption $\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$.
Proposition 10.5. If $S=S^{*}$ contains two $\Delta$-lines of different sizes, then $S=S_{18}$.
Proof.r ${ }_{-}^{\text {Let }} \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$ be two sizes of $\Delta$-lines and let $\pi$ be a $\Delta$-plane. Since all $\Delta$-planes are isomorphic, $\Pi$ contains a line of size $a$ and a line of size $b$. By Lemmas 10.8 and 10.9 , there is a partition of $\pi$ into lines of size a and a partition of $\Pi$ into lines of size $b$. Since $a>b$, any line $L$ of $\pi$ which does not belong to any of these two partitions is necessarily disjoint from at least one line of size $b$ in the second partition, and so $L$ has size $b$ by Lemma 10.10. Moreover, by Lemma 10.10 again, in the plane $\pi$, every line of size $b$ must intersect every line of size $a$. Therefore $\Pi$ contains exactly $v^{\prime}=a b$ points, and every point of $\pi$ is on exactly one $\Delta$-line of size $a$, on exactly a $\Delta$-lines of size $b$ and has degree $r^{\prime}=a+1$ in $\pi$. It follows that the $\Delta-l$ ines of size a partition $S$ and are pairwise coplanar.

Let $A$ be a line of size a in $\Pi$. By Lemma 10.9, the number $p_{A}$ of planes containing $A$ is given by

$$
p_{A}=1+v^{\prime} / a=1+b
$$

Let $L$ be a transversal line disjoint from $A$. Each of the $\ell$ points of $L$ is on a unique $\Delta$-line of size a and the union of these $\ell$ lines is a plane $\lambda$. Since $\Delta$ is the only direction of planes in $S$, every plane containing A intersects the .plane $\lambda$ and this intersection is necessarily a $\Delta$-line of size a. Thus every plane containing $A$ intersects the line $L$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{A}=1+v^{\prime} / a=1+b=\ell \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x$ be a point of $\pi$ and let $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) be a plane containing $x$ and intersecting $\Pi$ in a line $A(r e s p . B)$ of size a (resp. b). We shall count the number $n(x, \alpha)$ (resp. $n(x, \beta)$ ) of planes intersecting $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) in the point $x$ only. Let $\Pi^{\prime} \neq \Pi$ be a $\Delta-p l a n e$ and let $A^{\prime}=\Pi^{\prime} \cap \alpha, B^{\prime}=\Pi^{\prime} \cap \beta$. Since any plane intersecting $\alpha$ in the point $x$ only intersects $\pi^{\prime}$ in a line disjoint from $A^{\prime}$ and since all lines of $\Pi^{\prime}$ which are disjoint from $A^{\prime}$ have size a and are coplanar with $A$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x, \alpha)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the number of planes (distinct from $\pi$ ) containing $B$ is $v^{\prime} / b=a$, the number of planes intersecting $\beta$ in a transversal line passing through $x$ is $\left|B^{\prime}\right|\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)=b a$, and the total number planes (distinct from $\pi$ ) passing through $x$ is equal to the number $a^{2}+b$ of lines in $\pi^{\prime}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x, \beta)=a^{2}+b-b a-a \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since any plane of $S$ belongs to $\Delta$ or is a (resp. $\beta$ ) or intersects a (resp. $\beta$ ) in a $\Delta$-line or intersects $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) in a transversal line or intersects $\alpha$ (resp. $B$ ) in a single point, the total number $p$ of planes of $S$ is given respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =|\Delta|+1+|\Delta|\left(v^{\prime} / a-1\right)+a^{2}\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)+|\alpha| n(x, \alpha) \\
& =|\Delta|+1+|\Delta|\left(v^{\prime} / b-1\right)+b^{2}\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)+|\beta| n(x, \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows, by (7) and (8), that

$$
\ell(b-1)+a^{3}=\ell(a-1)+b^{2} a+b \ell\left(a^{2}+b-a b-a\right)
$$

Using (6), we get, after simplification by $a-b \neq 0$ and $a-1 \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=a+1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B^{\prime \prime}$ be a line of $\Pi^{\prime}$ disjoint from $B^{\prime}$. The number of planes containing $B^{\prime \prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+v^{\prime} / b=1+a=m+b(\ell-m) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant m \leqslant \ell$ denotes the number of lines of $B$ which are coplanar with $B^{\prime \prime}$ By (6), (9) and (10), we get

$$
m(b-1)=b
$$

and $s 0 m=b=2, a=\ell=3$ and $|S|=18$.
Therefore every transversal line has size 3 , every $\Delta$-plane is the union of two disjoint lines of size 3 and the planes not belonging to $\Delta$ are punctured projective planes of order 2 or affine planes of order 3 according as their $\Delta$-lines have size 2 or 3 . This implies that the linear space $S$ is a Fischer space of 18 points. Moreover, it is easy to check that the smallest linear subspace of $S$ containing a punctured projective plane $\pi$ of order 2 and a point $x \notin \pi$ joined to a point of $\Pi$ by a line of size 3 is $S$ itself. Buekenhout [10] has proved that a Fischer space of 18 points having this property is necessarily isomorphic to $\mathrm{F}_{18}$. Moreover, there is a unique way to provide $\mathrm{F}_{18}$ with planes isomorphic to those of S . The planar space $\mathrm{S}_{18}$ constructed in this way from $F_{18}$ has the required properties.

Proposition 10.6. If $S=S^{*}$ and if all $\Delta$-lines have the same size $a$, then $S$ is obtained from $\mathrm{PG}(3, a)$ by deleting a line.

Proof. Any $\Delta$-plane $\pi$ is a Steiner system $S\left(2, a, v^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, if we denote by $b^{\prime}$ the number of lines of $\Pi$ and by $r^{\prime}$ the degree of any point in $\pi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}=r^{\prime}(a-1)+1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\prime}=v^{\prime} r^{\prime} / a \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha$ be a plane not belonging to $\Delta$ and let $x \in \alpha$. Counting in the same way as in Lemma 10.14 the number $n(x, \alpha)$ of planes of $S$ intersecting $\alpha$ in the point $x$ only, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x, \alpha)=b^{\prime}-a\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)-v^{\prime} / a \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the total number $p$ of planes of $S$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\ell+1+\ell\left(v^{\prime} / a-1\right)+a^{2}\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)+a \ell n(x, \alpha) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, every plane not belonging to $\Delta$ intersects $\pi$ in a line and every line of $\pi$ is contained in exactly $v^{\prime} / a$ planes not belonging to $\Delta$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=l+b^{\prime} v^{\prime} / a \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A$ ' be a line of $\pi$ disjoint from $A=\pi \cap \alpha$. The number of planes containing $A^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+v^{\prime} / a=n+a(\ell-n) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant n \leqslant \ell$ is the number of lines of $\alpha$ which are coplanar with $A^{\prime}$. Using (11), (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=\left(\ell a^{2}-a-1\right) /(a-1)-n a \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $a-1 \mid \ell-2>0$
and so $a-1 \leqslant \ell-2$.
On the other hand, the degree $a+1$ of a point in $\alpha$ cannot be less than the size $\ell$ of a transversal line, and so

$$
a+1 \geqslant \ell
$$

These two inequalities imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=a+1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=a^{2}-(n-2) a+1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13), (14), (15), (11), (12) and (18), we deduce, after some straightforward computation,

$$
\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)\left(r^{\prime}-a-1\right)\left(r^{\prime}-a^{2}-a\right)=0
$$

and so
$r^{\prime}=1, a+1$ or $a^{2}+a$
$r^{\prime}=1$ is clearly impossible and $r^{\prime}=a^{2}+a$ contradicts (19). Therefore $r^{\prime}=a+1$ and the $\Delta-p l a n e s$ are affine planes of order $a$. The planes not belonging to $\Delta$ have exactly $\ell a=a(a+1)$ points and are punctured projective planes of order a. It is now a simple matter to deduce that $S$ is obtained from P. $\mathrm{P}(3, a)$ by deleting one line.

Proposition 10.7. If $S \neq S^{*}$, then $S-S^{*}$ contains at least four nor-coplanar points.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $S-S^{*}$ is contained in a plane $\alpha$.
Consider first the case where $|\Delta|=2$. Let $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ be the two $\Delta-p l a n e s$. Since any plane which is not in $\Delta$ intersects both $\Pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ in a line, $A=\pi \cap \alpha$ and $A^{\prime}=\Pi^{\prime} \cap \alpha$ are two $\Delta$-lines. The planes (distinct from $\Pi$ ) containing $A$ determine a partition of $\Pi^{\prime}$ into lines. If this partition contains two lines $A^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{\prime \prime \prime}$ distinct from $A^{\prime}$, then $A \cup A^{\prime \prime}$ and $A \cup A^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are two planes of $S$ because
every point of $S-S^{*}$ is in the plane $\alpha$. Let $x \in \Pi-A$. The plane $\left\langle x, A^{\prime \prime \prime}>\right.$ must intersect the plane $A \cup A^{\prime \prime}$, but this is impossible since $A$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$ are coplanar. Therefore $\Pi^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}$, where $B^{\prime}$ is a line of $\Pi^{\prime}$ disjoint from $A^{\prime}$ and $A \cup B^{\prime}$ is a plane of S. Similarly $\Pi=A \cup B$, where $B$ is a line of $\Pi$ disjoint from $A$ and $A^{\prime} \cup B$ is a plane of $S$. The planes $A \cup B^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime} \cup B$ are disjoint, contradicting the assumption that $\pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ are the only disjoint planes in $S$.

Suppose now that $|\Delta|=\ell \geqslant 3$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be any two points of a $\Delta$-plane $\pi$. If $\Pi^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ are two $\Delta-p l a n e s$ distinct from $\pi$ and if $x_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a point of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$, the lines passing through $x_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ and intersecting $\pi$ determine, by Corollary 10.2.1, an isomorphism $\varphi_{1}$ from $\pi$ onto $\pi^{\prime}$. Let $x_{1}^{\prime}=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and let $x_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ be the point of intersection of the line $\left\langle x_{2}, x_{1}^{\prime}>\right.$ with the plane $\pi^{\prime \prime}$. The lines passing through $x_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and intersecting $\Pi^{\prime}$ determine an isomorphism $\varphi_{2}$ from $\Pi^{\prime}$ onto $\pi$. Since $\varphi_{2} \circ \varphi_{1}$ is an automorphism of $\pi$ mapping $x_{1}$ on $x_{2}$, all points of $\pi$ have the same degree $r^{\prime}$.

Let $A=\Pi \cap \alpha$ and let $\beta$ be a plane containing $A$, distinct from $\Pi$ and $\alpha$. Lemma 10.2 implies that any two coplanar $\Delta$-lines contained in two distinct $\Delta$-planes have the same size. Therefore, for any point $x \in \alpha^{*}=\alpha \cap S^{*}$ and for any point $y \in B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x, \alpha)=b^{\prime}-a\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)-v^{\prime} / a=n(y, \beta) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=|A|$ and $v^{\prime}$ (resp. $b^{\prime}$ ) is the number of points (resp. of lines) in $a$ $\Delta$-plane. Counting in two ways the number $p$ of planes in $S$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\ell+1+\ell\left(v^{\prime} / a-1\right)+a^{2}\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)+|\beta| n(y, \beta) \\
& =\ell+1+\ell\left(v^{\prime} / a-1\right)+a^{2}\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)+\left|\alpha^{*}\right| n(x, \alpha)+\sum_{z \epsilon_{\alpha-\alpha}^{*}} n(z, \alpha)+\sum_{L \in \alpha-\alpha^{*}}\left(p_{L}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{L}$ denotes the number of planes containing the line L. Using (20) and the fact that $\left|\alpha^{*}\right|=|\beta|=\ell a$, this implies

$$
\sum_{z \subset \alpha-\alpha^{*}} n(z, \alpha)+\sum_{L \in \alpha-\alpha^{*}}^{\sum}\left(p_{L}-1\right)=0
$$

Since $n(z, \alpha) \geqslant 0$ and $p_{L}-1 \geqslant 1$ for every line $L \subset \alpha-\alpha^{*}$, we conclude that $n(z, \alpha)=0$ for every point $z \in \alpha-\alpha^{*}$ and that there is no line contained in $\alpha-\alpha^{*}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 10.8, there is a line $B$ of $\Pi$ disjoint from A. If $z \in \alpha-\alpha^{*}$, the plane $\left\langle B, z>\right.$ is disjoint from $A$, thus also from $\alpha^{*}$. Therefore, either $\langle B, z>$ intersects $\alpha$ in the point $z$ only and $n(z, \alpha) \neq 0$, or $\langle B, z>$ intersects $\alpha$ in a line contained in $\alpha-\alpha^{*}$. In both cases, we have a contradiction.

