A WEIERSTRASS TYPE REPRESENTATION FOR SURFACES IN HYPERBOLIC SPACE WITH MEAN CURVATURE ONE

CÉLIA CONTIN GÓES, M. ELISA, E.L. GALVÃO

Abstract. The subject of this paper is to give a Weierstrass type representation for mean curvature one surfaces in the hyperbolic space. This representation depends on the hyperbolic Gauss map. Some known examples are described and a new one, associated to the minimal Bonnet surface is constructed with this representation.

INTRODUCTION

A Weierstrass type formula for surfaces of prescribed mean curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 was given by Kenmotsu ([K]) in 1979. In 1987, R. Bryant ([B]) studied the surfaces of mean curvature one in hyperbolic space as local projections of null curves in the space of the 2×2 Hermitian symmetric matrices with its Cartan-Killing metric. Recently, Umehara and Yamada ([UY-1], [UY-2], [RUY]) produced an explicit tool to construct examples of these surfaces. They described the null curves in terms of a meromorphic function g and a holomorphic 1-form ω obtained as solutions of two ordinary differential equations.

The subject of this paper is to describe the surfaces in \mathbf{H}^3 with mean curvature one in a very similar manner as the minimal surfaces in \mathbf{R}^3 . It is already well known that these surfaces have a hyperbolic holomorphic Gauss map ([B]); in our work, the function h describes the holomorphic Gauss map. Its properties will give us a Weierstrass type representation.

From the main theorem we have the immersion $X: U \subset \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$ as

$$X(z) = \left(\frac{\phi_1(z) + \phi_2(z)}{2}, \Re e \, \phi_3(z), \Im m \, \phi_3(z), \frac{\phi_1(z) - \phi_2(z)}{2}\right)$$

where ϕ_j , j = 1, 2, 3 are solutions of the system:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1 \, \Phi_2 = 1 + |\Phi_3|^2 \\ \frac{\partial \, \Phi_1}{\partial z} = h \frac{\partial \, \overline{\Phi}_3}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \, \Phi_2}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \, \Phi_3}{\partial z} \end{cases}$$

whose integrability condition is that of

$$\Im m\{\,\overline{h}\Delta\varphi_3\,\}=0.$$

We also have a local integral representation:

$$X = \left(\Re e \int_{z_0}^{z} \left(h \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} \right) dz, \Re e \phi_3, \Re e \int_{z_0}^{z} \left(h \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} \right) dz \right)$$

In the last part of the paper we exhibit local solutions of this system for all functions h.

The hyperbolic Gauss map.

44

We consider the Lorentz space $\mathbb{E}^4 = \{x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4\}$ with the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = -x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3.$$

The Minkovsky model for the hyperbolic space is the submanifold

$$\mathbf{H}^3 = \{x \in \mathbf{L}^4 \mid \langle x, x \rangle = -1, \ x_0 > 0\}.$$

In \mathbf{H}^3 we will consider the induced orientation from \mathbf{L}^4 for which the vectors v_1 , v_2 , v_3 in $T_p\mathbf{H}^3$ form a positive oriented basis iff $\{p, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ forms a positive oriented basis of \mathbf{L}^4 .

Let $X: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$ be an isometric immersion of an orientable Riemann surface M in the hyperbolic space and N(p) the oriented unitary normal vector at $p \in M$. In local isothermical coordinates z = u + iv we have $||X_u|| = ||X_v|| = \lambda$, $\langle X_u, X_v \rangle = 0$, and N is such that $\{X(p), \frac{1}{\lambda}X_u, \frac{1}{\lambda}X_v, N(p)\}$ is a positive basis of $T_p \mathbf{L}^4$.

We will consider the map

$$\Phi: \mathbf{H}^3 \longrightarrow D$$

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \longrightarrow (1, \frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_2}{x_0}, \frac{x_3}{x_0})$$

and the vector $\Phi_*(N(p))$ where

$$D = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_0 = 1, x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1\}.$$

This map is the natural isometry between \mathbf{H}^3 and the Klein model for the hyperbolic space given by unitary disc with the appropriated metric.

The boundary of D can be identified with the Riemann two sphere S^2 .

Definition. The hyperbolic Gauss map of an immersion $X: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$ is

$$n: M \longrightarrow \partial D$$

given by

$$n(p) = \Phi(X(p)) + t\Phi_*(N(p))$$

where t > 0 and $n(p) \in \partial D$.

It follows immediately:

Lemma 1.
$$n = \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}(X + N)$$
.

Proof. For $X(p) = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $N = (N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3)$

$$\Phi_*(N) = -\frac{N_0}{x_0^2}X + \frac{1}{x_0}N.$$

As $n(p) = \Phi(X(p)) + t\Phi_*(N(p))$ is in the cone $-x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0$ we have

$$\langle n, n \rangle = -\frac{(x_0 - tN_0)^2}{X_0^4} + \frac{t^2}{X_0^2} = 0.$$

The solution t with t > 0 is $t = x_0 / (x_0 + N_0)$.

Remarks. 1. Since the vector X + N is also in the cone there exists $\psi : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\psi(p) = -\frac{1}{\langle n, X \rangle} = x_0 + N_0 = -\langle X + N, e_0 \rangle, \ e_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$$

such that

$$\psi(p) n(p) = X(p) + N(p), \ \forall p \in U$$

and

$$N = -\frac{1}{\langle n, X \rangle} n - X.$$

2. The coefficients of the second fundamental form for the immersion X can be calculated as

$$h_{ij} = -\langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_j \rangle, i, j = 1, 2$$

with $e_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} X_u$ and $e_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} X_v$. We have

$$N_u = -h_{11}X_u - h_{12}X_v,$$

$$N_v = -h_{12}X_u - h_{22}X_v.$$

The mean curvature in the choosen normal direction and the gaussian curvature have, respectively, the expressions

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(h_{11} + h_{22})$$
 and $K = h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}^2 - 1$.

3. In isothermical parameters

$$\langle X_{\bar{z}z}, N \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 H$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 = \langle X_z, X_{\overline{z}} \rangle.$$

The mean curvature H is equal to one if and only if

$$\langle X_{z\overline{z}}, N \rangle = \langle X_z, X_{\overline{z}} \rangle$$

or

$$\langle X_{z\overline{z}}, -\frac{1}{\langle n, X \rangle} n - X \rangle = \langle X_z, X_{\overline{z}} \rangle.$$

We will have H = 1 if and only if

$$\langle X_z, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle = 0.$$

4. Taking z = u + iv isothermical parameters in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have the diagram:

$$M \xrightarrow{n} \partial D \approx S^2$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad$$

with Π the stereographic projection; then

$$n(z) = \left(1, \frac{2\Re e \, h}{|h|^2 + 1}, \frac{2\Im m \, h}{|h|^2 + 1}, \frac{|h|^2 - 1}{|h|^2 + 1}\right),\,$$

and n is holomorphic if and only if h is holomorphic.

This hyperbolic Gauss map behaves as the classical Gauss map for minimal surfaces in an euclidean space, that is, we have the following theorem ([B]):

Theorem 1. Let $n: M \longrightarrow \partial D$ be the hyperbolic Gauss map of a surface $X: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$, n non constant. The map $n: M \longrightarrow \partial D$ is conformal iff the immersion X either has mean curvature H constant and equal to one (in which case n preserves the orientation) or X is totally umbilic (in which case n reverses the orientation).

Proof. From
$$n = \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}(X + N)$$
 we have
$$n_u = \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_u (X + N) + \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}(X_u + N_u) = \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_u (X + N) + \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}[(1 - h_{11})X_u - h_{12}X_v]$$
and
$$n_v = \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_u (X + N) + \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}(X_v + N_v) = \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}[(1 - h_{11})X_u - h_{12}X_v]$$

 $= \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_v (X + N) + \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0} [-h_{12}X_u + (1 - h_{22})X_v].$ Consequently,

$$||n_u||^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} [(1 - h_{11})^2 + h_{12}^2]$$

$$||n_{\nu}||^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} [(1 - h_{22})^2 + h_{12}^2]$$

$$\langle n_u, n_v \rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} (-h_{12})(2 - h_{11} - h_{22})$$

and for H = 1 or for umbilic immersions we will have $||n_u||^2 = ||n_v||^2 \ge 0$ and $||n_u|| > 0$. We also observe that $||n_u|| = ||n_v|| = 0$ if and only if the immersion is umbilical and $||n_u|| = 1$; in this case we have a horosphere and the hyperbolic Gauss map |n| is constant.

Considering the complex differentiation

$$n_{\overline{z}} = \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_{\overline{z}} (X + N) + \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0} (X_{\overline{z}} + N_{\overline{z}})$$

it follows that

(1)
$$\langle n_{\overline{z}}, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} (H - 1) [(h_{11} - h_{22}) + 2 i h_{12}],$$

from

$$n(z) = (1, \frac{2\Re e \, h}{|h|^2 + 1}, \frac{2\Im m \, h}{|h|^2 + 1}, \frac{|h|^2 - 1}{|h|^2 + 1})$$

we have

$$n_{\overline{z}} = \left(\frac{1}{|h|^2 + 1}\right)_{\overline{z}} \widetilde{n} + \frac{1}{|h|^2 + 1} \widetilde{n}_{\overline{z}}$$

where

$$\widetilde{n} = (|h|^2 + 1, h + \overline{h}, -i(h - \overline{h}), |h|^2 - 1)$$

and

$$\widetilde{n}_{\overline{z}} = h_{\overline{z}}(\overline{h}, 1, -i, \overline{h}) + \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}(h, 1, i, h).$$

With these calculations we conclude that

(2).
$$\langle n_{\overline{z}}, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle = \frac{4h_{\overline{z}} \ \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}}{(|h|^2 + 1)^2}$$

From (1) and (2)

$$\frac{4h_{\overline{z}} \ \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}}{(|h|^2+1)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0+N_0)^2} (H-1)[(h_{11}-h_{22})+2 \, i \, h_{12}]$$

and the hyperbolic Gauss map is conformal iff either H = 1 or the immersion is umbilical. In both cases the induced metric is given by

$$\langle dn, dn \rangle = \langle n_z dz + n_{\overline{z}} d\overline{z}, n_z dz + n_{\overline{z}} d\overline{z} \rangle = 2 \langle n_z, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle |dz|^2$$

or

$$\langle dn, dn \rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} [2H(H - 1) - K]|dz|^2.$$

When H = 1 we have

$$< dn, dn > = \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} (-K) |dz|^2,$$

if the immersed surface is different from a horosphere 2H(H-1)-K>0 and -K>0.

Finally we compare the orientations of $X: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{L}^4$ and $n: M \longrightarrow \partial D \subset \mathbb{L}^4$, when the immersion X is distinct from the horosphere.

The stereographic projection $\Pi: \partial D \approx S^2 \longrightarrow \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{E}^4$ induces a positive

orientation in S^2 in which the normal vector is the internal one. Let $\{X(p), \frac{1}{\lambda}X_u, \frac{1}{\lambda}X_v, N\}$ and $\{e_0, n_u, n_v, e_0 - n\}$, $e_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ be orthogonal frames adaptated to X(M) and n(M), respectively.

These frames are related by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_u & \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_v & x_0 - \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} < X_u, e_0 > & \frac{\lambda(1 - h_{11})}{x_0 + N_0} & \frac{-\lambda h_{12}}{x_0 + N_0} & \frac{1}{\lambda} < X_u, e_0 > \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} < X_v, e_0 > & \frac{-\lambda h_{12}}{x_0 + N_0} & \frac{\lambda(1 - h_{22})}{x_0 + N_0} & \frac{1}{\lambda} < X_v, e_0 > \\ -N_0 & \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_u & \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_v & -N_0 - \frac{1}{x_0 + N_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

whose determinant is

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_0 & \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_u & \left(\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0}\right)_v & -\frac{1}{x_0 + N_0} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} < X_u, e_0 > & \frac{\lambda(1 - h_{11})}{x_0 + N_0} & \frac{-\lambda h_{12}}{x_0 + N_0} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} < X_v, e_0 > & \frac{-\lambda h_{12}}{x_0 + N_0} & \frac{\lambda(1 - h_{22})}{x_0 + N_0} & 0 \\ -(x_0 + N_0) & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$=-\frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0+N_0)^2}[(1-h_{11})(1-h_{22})-h_{12}^2]=\frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0+N_0)^2}[-K+2(H-1)].$$

It is easy to see that the determinant is positive if H = 1 in which case n preserves the orientation (that is, n is holomorphic); in the umbilic case the determinant is negative, nreverses the orientation and is antiholomorphic.

Remark. We observe that

$$\langle n_z, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle = \frac{2}{(1+|h|^2)^2} [|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2].$$

When H = 1

$$< dn, dn > = 2 < n_z, n_{\overline{z}} > |dz|^2 = \frac{4|h_z|^2}{(|h|^2 + 1)^2} |dz|^2 = -K \frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2} |dz|^2,$$

and

(3)
$$-K = \frac{4|h_z|^2}{(|h|^2 + 1)^2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

A Representation Theorem.

Working with a holomorphic hyperbolic Gauss map, that is, with surfaces with constant mean curvature equal to one, we have a local representation theorem similar to the Weierstrass representation for minimal surfaces in the euclidean space.

Theorem 2. Let $X: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$ be a non-umbilic immersion in \mathbf{H}^3 with mean curvature one and

$$n(z) = \left(1, \frac{2\Re eh}{1+|h|^2}, \frac{2\Im mh}{1+|h|^2}, \frac{|h|^2-1}{|h|^2+1}\right)$$

its hyperbolic Gauss map. Denoting $X(z) = (x_0(z), x_1(z), x_2(z), x_3(z))$, the real functions $\phi_1(z) = x_0(z) + x_3(z)$ and $\phi_2(z) = x_0(z) - x_3(z)$ and the complex function $\phi_3(z) = x_1(z) + i$ $x_2(z)$ satisfy

(*)
$$\begin{cases} \phi_1 \, \phi_2 = 1 + |\phi_3|^2 \\ \frac{\partial \, \phi_1}{\partial z} = h \frac{\partial \, \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \, \phi_2}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \, \phi_3}{\partial z} \end{cases}$$

Conversely, given a holomorphic non-constant function $h: U \subset \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, two real functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 ($\phi_2 > 0$) and a complex function ϕ_3 satisfying (*) in the simply connected domain U, then

$$X(z) = \left(\frac{\phi_1(z) + \phi_2(z)}{2}, \Re e \,\phi_3(z), \Im m \,\phi_3(z), \frac{\phi_1(z) - \phi_2(z)}{2}\right)$$

defines a conformal immersion in \mathbf{H}^3 with constant mean curvature one and hyperbolic Gauss map n given by h as above.

Proof. First of all we observe that

$$X(z) = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{H}^3 \iff -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = -1 \iff \phi_1 \phi_2 = 1 + |\phi_3|^2$$

from the first equivalence it also follows that if $\phi_2 = x_0 - x_3$ then $\phi_2 > 0$.

Given ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , ϕ_3 as above we have

$$X(z) = \left(\frac{\phi_1(z) + \phi_2(z)}{2}, \Re e \, \phi_3(z), \Im m \, \phi_3(z), \frac{\phi_1(z) - \phi_2(z)}{2}\right)$$

and $\langle X_z, n \rangle = 0$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-1 - \frac{|h|^2 - 1}{|h|^2 + 1}\right)\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 + \frac{|h|^2 - 1}{|h|^2 + 1}\right)\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{1 + |h|^2}\left[\Re e\,h\,\left(\frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \overline{\phi_3}}{\partial z}\right) + i\,\Im h\,\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\phi_3}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z}\right)\right] = 0$$

or

(4)
$$\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial z} + |h|^2 \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z} - h \frac{\partial \overline{\phi_3}}{\partial z} - \overline{h} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} = 0$$

The assumption on the mean curvature gives us

$$H = 1 \iff \langle X_z, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle = \langle X_z, \widetilde{n}_{\overline{z}} \rangle = 0$$

where

$$n(z) = \left(1, \frac{2\Re eh}{1+|h|^2}, \frac{2\Im mh}{1+|h|^2}, \frac{|h|^2-1}{|h|^2+1}\right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{n} = (1 + |h|^2, h + \overline{h}, -i(h - \overline{h}), |h|^2 - 1).$$

We have in this case h holomorphic and therefore

$$\widetilde{n}_{\overline{z}} = (h \ \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}, \ \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}, \ i \ \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}, \ h \ \overline{h}_{\overline{z}}),$$

as h is nonconstant $(h_z \neq 0)$ it follows

$$H = 1 \iff \langle X_z, n_{\overline{z}} \rangle = 0 \iff$$

$$-h\left(\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \overline{\phi_3}}{\partial z}\right) + h\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\phi_1}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}\right) = 0 \iff$$

$$\frac{\partial \, \phi_3}{\partial z} = h \, \frac{\partial \, \phi_2}{\partial z}.$$

Returning with this last equation in (4), finally we have

$$\frac{\partial \, \phi_1}{\partial z} = h \, \frac{\partial \, \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z}.$$

Let $p \in M$ be a zero of h with order 1; we have from (5) that p is a zero of $\frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z}$ whose order is greater or equal to 1 and we can write

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_2}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \Phi_3}{\partial z}.$$

Let now be

$$X(z) = \left(\frac{\phi_1(z) + \phi_2(z)}{2}, \Re e \, \phi_3(z), \Im m \, \phi_3(z), \frac{\phi_1(z) - \phi_2(z)}{2}\right)$$

with ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , ϕ_3 verifying (*). It is easy to see that

(6)
$$X_z = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{h}, 1, -i, -\frac{1}{h} \right) + \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} (h, 1, i, h) \right]$$

From the fact that $\langle X_z, X_z \rangle = 0$ it follows that we have isothermical parameters.

Let now consider

$$\widehat{n}(z) = \left(1, \frac{2\Re eh}{1+|h|^2}, \frac{2\Im mh}{1+|h|^2}, \frac{|h|^2-1}{|h|^2+1}\right)$$

with h the holomorphic function from (*). The vector

$$\widehat{N} = -\frac{1}{\langle \widehat{n}, X \rangle} \widehat{n} - X$$

has norm equal to one, verifies $\langle X_z, \widehat{N} \rangle = 0$, $\langle X, \widehat{N} \rangle = 0$ and

$$-\frac{1}{<\widehat{n},X>}\widehat{n}=X+\widehat{N}$$

therefore \widehat{N} is exactly the normal vector N and \widehat{n} the hyperbolic Gauss map n of the immersion X. With some calculations we obtain

$$\langle n_{\overline{z}}, X_z \rangle = \frac{h_{\overline{z}}}{(1+|h|^2)} \left[\frac{\partial \overline{\varphi}_3}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \varphi_3}{\partial z} \frac{\overline{h}}{h} \right].$$

From the fact that h is holomorphic it follows that $\langle n_{\overline{z}}, X_z \rangle = 0$ which implies H = 1; h non-constant gives us a non-umbilic immersion.

Remarks.

1. The compatibility condition for the two partial differential equations in (*) is the same and writes

$$\Im m\{\overline{h}\ \Delta \phi_3\} = 0.$$

This follows from the fact that each differential equation of (*) is as

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = F(z)$$

or as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} = 2F_1(u, v) \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v} = -2F_2(u, v) \end{cases}$$

with z = u + iv, $F(z) = F_1(u, v) + iF_2(u, v)$, $\partial / \partial z = \frac{1}{2} (\partial / \partial u - i \partial / \partial v)$.

The integrability condition for this system is:

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial v} = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial u} \iff \Im m\{\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}}\} = 0$$

Returning to the system (*), each equation will have its integrability condition respectively given by:

$$\Im m\{h \ \frac{\partial^2 \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial \bar{z} \, \partial z}\} = 0$$

and

$$\Im m \left\{ \frac{1}{|h|^2} \frac{\overline{h} \, \partial^2 \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial \bar{z} \, \partial z} \right\} = -\frac{1}{|h|^2} \Im m \left\{ h \, \frac{\partial^2 \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial \bar{z} \, \partial z} \right\} = 0$$

Consequently, the two compatibility conditions are verified if and only if, locally,

$$\Im m\{\overline{h}\ \Delta\varphi_3\}=0$$

2. Choosing h and ϕ_3 such as to verify (7) we will have ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 given locally by

$$\phi_1 = 2 \Re e \int_{z_0}^z h \, \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} \, dz$$

and

$$\phi_2 = 2 \Re e \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} dz.$$

3. An integral formula can be written from (6):

$$(I) \quad X = \left(\Re e \int_{z_0}^z \left(h \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} \right) dz, \Re e \phi_3, \Im m \phi_3, \Re e \int_{z_0}^z \left(h \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z} \right) dz \right).$$

4. The metric $ds^2 = \lambda^2 |dz|^2$ is such that $\lambda^2 = 2 < X_z, X_{\overline{z}} >$, from (6) we have

(8)
$$\lambda^{2} = \left[\left| \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial z} \right|^{2} + \left| \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial \overline{z}} \right|^{2} - 2 \Re e \left(\frac{\overline{h}}{h} \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial \overline{z}} \right) \right]$$

and from this last expression we can conclude that p is a regular point if the derivatives $\frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial \overline{z}}$ do not vanish simultaneously at p.

We also can write:

$$\lambda^{2} = \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\overline{\Phi}_{3} - \overline{h} \Phi_{2} \right) \right|^{2} = \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \left(\Phi_{3} - h \Phi_{2} \right) \right|^{2}$$

5. From Lemma 1 we have:

$$-\frac{1}{\langle n, X \rangle} = x_0 + N_0 = -\langle X + N, e_0 \rangle,$$

some calculations give us:

$$1 + |\phi_3 - h\phi_2|^2 = \phi_2(\phi_1 + |h|^2\phi_2 - \overline{h}\phi_3 - h\overline{\phi}_3)$$

and

$$\langle n, X \rangle = \frac{1}{|h|^2 + 1} (-\phi_1 - |h|^2 \phi_2 + \overline{h} \phi_3 + h \overline{\phi}_3) = -\frac{1 + |\phi_3 - h \phi_2|^2}{\phi_2 (|h|^2 + 1)}$$

The total curvature is

$$c = \int_{M} K \, dA$$

and from (3) it follows that

$$K = -\frac{4|h_z|^2}{(|h|^2 + 1)^2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{(x_0 + N_0)^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

In local coordinates

(9)
$$c = -\int \frac{4|h_z|^2}{(\phi_1 + |h|^2 \phi_2 - \overline{h}\phi_3 - h\overline{\phi}_3)^2} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge \overline{dz} = -\int \frac{4|h_z|^2 \phi_2^2}{(1 + |\phi_3 - h\phi_2|^2)^2} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge \overline{dz} = -\int \frac{4\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\phi_3 - h\phi_2)\right|^2}{(1 + |\phi_3 - h\phi_2|^2)^2} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge \overline{dz}$$

6. Given the immersion $X: U \subset \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ in isothermal coordinates, calling $F = \lambda^2 / 2$, the gaussian curvature can also be calculated as

$$K = -\frac{\partial \,\overline{\partial} \log F}{F}.$$

If we denote

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2}[(h_{11} - h_{22}) - 2ih_{12}] = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \langle X_{zz}, N \rangle$$

the Gauss equation can be written as

$$|\psi|^2 = -K - \frac{4}{\lambda^2} < X_{z\bar{z}}, X_{z\bar{z}} > = -K + H^2 - 1.$$

By using (*) we get

$$|\psi|^2 = \frac{4|h_z|^2 \phi_2}{\lambda^2 (1 + |\phi_3 - h\phi_2|^2)^2} = -K$$

that means, we have the Gauss' equation verified.

We will call the Hopf's form ([H]) the quadratic form

$$\Psi = \psi \,\lambda^2 \,dz^2.$$

As in ([H]) the Codazzi equations can be written in a complex form and we have

$$\frac{\partial(\lambda^2\psi)}{\partial\bar{z}} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial z}$$

With some calculations we can show that (*) implies that $\lambda^2 \psi$ is holomorphic (Proposition 2 in [B]) and the Codazzi equations are also verified.

Examples.

To exhibit some examples we need to get two real functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , $\phi_2 > 0$ and a complex function ϕ_3 , solutions of the the system:

(*)
$$\begin{cases} \phi_1 \, \phi_2 = 1 + |\phi_3|^2 \\ \frac{\partial \, \phi_1}{\partial z} = h \frac{\partial \, \overline{\phi}_3}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \, \phi_2}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \, \phi_3}{\partial z} \end{cases}$$

To find solutions, we begin with some important remarks.

- 1. First of all we will analyse the solutions that correspond to ϕ_3 holomorphic (or antiholomorphic); we will have that ϕ_1 (resp. ϕ_2) is constant. As $\phi_1 \phi_2 = 1 + |\phi_3|^2$ the constant cannot be zero; it is easy to see that ϕ_1 (resp. ϕ_2) constant implies that the surface is umbilical and $x_0 + x_3$ (resp. $x_0 x_3$) is constant; in this case the functions x_1 and x_2 will be harmonical conjugates.
- 2. Given the function h we can search solutions as

$$\phi_3 = h(z)F(|z|^2),$$

with F a one real variable differentiable function.

Since

$$\bar{h} \Delta \phi_3 = z \bar{h} h_z F'(|z|^2) + |h|^2 F'(|z|^2) + |z|^2 |h|^2 F''(|z|^2)$$

the compatibility condition is

$$\Im m\{\,\overline{h}\,\,\Delta\varphi_3\,\}=0\iff\Im m\{\,z\,\overline{h}\,h'\}=0.$$

The last condition is satisfied by all the functions $h(z) = z^{\alpha}$, for real α .

3. If $\phi_3 = h(z)F(|z|^2)$ then metric (6) will be

(10)
$$\lambda^2 = |h_z|^2 F^2(|z|^2).$$

Example 1. We have an immersion with constant mean curvature one

$$X: \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^3$$

solving (*) with h(z) = z, $F_{\alpha}(t) = t^{\alpha}$ and

$$\phi_3(z) = h(z) [A F_{\alpha}(|z|^2) + B F_{\beta}(|z|^2)].$$

Now, the integrability condition is satisfied (remark 2) and the solutions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are:

$$\phi_1(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} A |z|^{2\alpha + 2} + \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1} B |z|^{2\beta + 2}$$

and

$$\phi_2(z) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} A |z|^{2\alpha} + \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta} B |z|^{2\beta}$$

with α and β both distinct from zero and -1.

The condition $\phi_1 \phi_2 = 1 + |\phi_3|^2$ is verified under the restrictions:

$$\alpha + \beta = -1$$

and

(11)
$$AB\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\right)^2 = 1$$

therefore

$$2\alpha + 1 \neq 0$$
.

In this case the solutions of (*) are:

(12)
$$\begin{cases} \phi_1(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} A |z|^{2\alpha + 2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} B |z|^{-2\alpha} \\ \phi_2(z) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} A |z|^{2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} B |z|^{-2\alpha - 2} \\ \phi_3 = z [A |z|^{2\alpha} + B |z|^{-2\alpha - 2}] \end{cases}$$

Writing $z = r e^{i\theta}$:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1(r,\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} A r^{2\alpha+2} + \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} B r^{-2\alpha} = f_1(r) \\ \phi_2(r,\theta) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} A r^{2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} B r^{-2\alpha-2} = f_2(r) \\ \phi_3(r,\theta) = r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta) (A r^{2\alpha} + B r^{-2\alpha-2}) = f_3(r) e^{i\theta} \end{cases}$$

Now it is easy to see that all these surfaces are rotational surfaces generated by the curve

$$C(r) = (c_0(r), c_1(r), 0, c_3(r)) = \left(\frac{f_1(r) + f_2(r)}{2}, f_3(r), 0, \frac{f_1(r) - f_2(r)}{2}\right),$$

 $C(r) \subset \mathbf{H}^3 \mathcal{P}^3$, with $\mathcal{P}^3 = [e_0, e_1, e_3]$. We have a spherical rotation and

$$X(r,\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ 0 \\ c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \cos\theta \\ c_1 \sin\theta \\ c_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using (9) and (11) we can have the total curvature

$$c = \int_{\mathbb{C} - \{0\}} \frac{4\alpha^2 (\alpha + 1)^2 |z|^{4\alpha}}{(A|z|^{4\alpha + 2} + B)^2} \frac{i}{2} \, dz \overline{dz} = -4(2\alpha + 1)\pi$$

As in (10) we have

$$ds^{2} = (A|z|^{2\alpha} + B|z|^{-2\alpha-2})^{2}|dz|^{2}$$

and the surface is complete.

The minimal catenoid in the euclidean space has a Weierstrass representation given by

$$g(z) = \mu z \qquad \eta = f(z) dz = \gamma z^{-2} dz.$$

The k-recovering of a minimal catenoid has Weierstrass data

$$g(z) = \mu z^k \qquad \eta = f(z) dz = \gamma z^{-k-1} dz$$

and its induced metric is given by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{4} [\nu |z|^{-k-1} + \nu \mu^{2} |z|^{k-1}]^{2} |dz|^{2}.$$

Given a rotational hyerbolic mean curvature one surface (consequently, given A, B and α), there exists a minimal catenoid isometric to this surface.

Choosing μ and ν such that $A = \nu \mu^2 / 2$, $B = \nu / 2$ the hyperbolic rotational surface is isometric to the $k = (2\alpha + 1)$ -recovering of the minimal catenoid.

Conversely, it is easy to see that a k-recovering of a minimal catenoid is isometric to one immersion in the family of rotational surfaces exhibited above. This immersion is such that $A = v \mu^2 / 2$, B = v / 2,

$$\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\right)^2 = \frac{4}{\nu^2\mu^2}$$

and $k = 2 \alpha + 1$.

The rotational hyperbolic mean curvature one surfaces are called "the catenoid cousins".

Example 2. The system (*) also admits solutions as

$$\phi_3(z) = F(z) \, \overline{G(z)}$$

with F and G holomorphic functions. In this case if

(13)
$$F'(z) = h(z)G'(z)$$

the integrability condition (7) is verified.

The two last equations in (*) can be integrated and the solutions are

$$\phi_1(z) = |F(z)|^2$$

and

$$\phi_2(z) = |G(z)|^2.$$

We will modify these solutions to have the first equation satisfied; in this way, we will take F_1, G_1, F_2, G_2 as in (13), A and B real constants such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1 = A|F_1|^2 + B|F_2|^2 \\ \phi_2 = A|G_1|^2 + B|G_2|^2 \\ \phi_3 = AF_1 \overline{G}_1 + BF_2 \overline{G}_2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$AB(\overline{F}_1\overline{G}_2 - \overline{F}_2\overline{G}_1)(F_1G_2 - F_2G_1) = 1.$$

The surfaces called "Enneper Cousins" are corresponding to

$$h(z) = \tanh \lambda z,$$

$$G'_1(z) = \cosh \lambda z$$

$$G'_2(z) = z \cosh \lambda z,$$

consequently, by (13) and (14),

$$F_1(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cosh \lambda z$$

$$F_2(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(z \cosh \lambda z - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sinh \lambda z \right)$$

$$G_1(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sinh \lambda z$$

$$G_2(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(z \sinh \lambda z - \frac{1}{\lambda} \cosh \lambda z \right)$$

and

$$AB = |\lambda|^6, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The total curvature can be calculated by (9), observing that

$$\Phi_1 + |h|^2 \Phi_2 - \overline{h} \Phi_3 - h \overline{\Phi}_3 = A |F_1 - hG_1|^2 + B |F_2 - hG_2|^2 = \frac{(A + B |z|^2)}{|\lambda|^2 |\cos h |z|^2}$$

and

$$K = -\int \frac{4|\lambda|^6}{A^2 \left(1 + \frac{B}{A}|z|^2\right)^2} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge \overline{d}z = -\int \frac{4}{\left(1 + |w|^2\right)^2} \frac{i}{2} dw \wedge \overline{d}w = -4\pi.$$

It is also easy to see that the metric

$$ds^{2} = \left[\frac{A}{\lambda} + \frac{B}{\lambda} |z|^{2}\right]^{2} |dz|^{2}$$

is complete.

The classical Enneper surface is given by the Weierstrass data

$$g(z) = \mu z$$
 $f(z)dz = \nu dz$

and has the metric:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma + \gamma \mu^{2} |z|^{2}]^{2} |dz|^{2}.$$

The corresponding isometric Enneper cousin will be given by λ , A and B such that $\lambda^2 = \nu \mu / 2$, $A = \lambda \nu / 2$ and $B = \lambda \nu \mu^2 / 2$.

Example 3. By taking

$$h(z) = \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}z\right) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha_1 z) + \sinh(\alpha_2 z)}{\cosh(\alpha_1 z) + \cosh(\alpha_2 z)},$$

with
$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$$
 and $\alpha_2 = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ and

$$\phi_3 = A F_1 \overline{G}_1 + B F_2 \overline{G}_2,$$

we can obtain the "Bonnet Cousins" ([GN]) corresponding to the solutions:

$$F_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} cosh(\alpha_{1}z) + \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} cosh(\alpha_{2}z)$$

$$G_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} sinh(\alpha_{1}z) + \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} sinh(\alpha_{2}z)$$

$$F_{2}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} sinh(\alpha_{1}z) - \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} sinh(\alpha_{2}z)$$

$$G_{2}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} cosh(\alpha_{1}z) - \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} cosh(\alpha_{2}z),$$

$$AB = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{2}^{2} - \alpha_{1}^{2})^{2}} = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2})^{2}}.$$

The metric in this case is given by

$$ds^{2} = 4 \left[A(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}) | \cosh z / 2 |^{2} + B(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}) | \sinh z / 2 |^{2} \right]^{2} |dz|^{2} =$$

$$= 4 \left[A(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) | \cosh z / 2 |^2 + \frac{1}{A(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} | \sinh z / 2 |^2 \right]^2 |dz|^2$$

and the surface is regular, complete and isometric to a homothety of the classical Bonnet minimal surface given by the Weierstrass data

$$g(z) = -i \alpha \tanh \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \quad and \quad f(z)dz = \frac{2i}{\alpha} \cosh^2 \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) dz, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \quad , \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1+a}{1-a}}, \quad 0 < a < 1$$

and metric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} |\cosh \frac{z}{2}|^{2} + \alpha |\sinh \frac{z}{2}|^{2} \right]^{2} |dz|^{2}.$$

To get new examples we have to find solutions of

$$\Im m\left\{\overline{h}\,\Delta\varphi_3\right\}=0$$

and a linear combination of this solutions in order to have

$$\phi_1\phi_2 = 1 + |\phi_3|^2$$

that is, in order to have the corresponding immersion in L^4 contained in H^3 .

The classification of these immersions depends on the description of all the solutions of this problem.

REFERENCES

- [B] Bryant, R. L. Surfaces of mean curvature one in hyperbolic space, Astérisque, 155 (1987), (exposé XVI), 321-347.
- [GN] Galvão, M. Elisa E. L., Nelli, B., Hyperbolic Mean Curvature One Surfaces associated to Minimal Surfaces with Planar Curvature Lines, in preparation.
 - [H] Hopf, H., Differential Gometry in the Large, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1000, Springer Verlag, 1983.
 - [K] Kenmotsu, K. Weierstrass Formula for Surfaces of Prescribed Mean Curvature, Math. Ann., 245, (1979), 89-99.
 - [O] Oliveira, M. C. A. Superfícies com curvatura média constante um no espaço hiperbólico, Dissertação de Mestrado, IME-USP, 1994.
- [RUY] Rossman, W., Umehara, M., Yamada, K., Irreducible CMC-c Surfaces in $H^3(-c^2)$ with positive genus, preprint, 1995.
- [UY-1] Umehara, M., Yamada, K., Complete surfaces of constant mean curvature-1 in the hyper-bolic 3-space, Annals of Math., 137, (1993), 611-638.
- [UY-2] Umehara, M., Yamada, K., Surfaces of constant mean curvature c in $H^3(-c^2)$ with prescribed Gauss map, Math. Ann., 304, (1996), 203-224.

Celia C. Góes (goes@ime.usp.br)
M. Elisa E.L. Galvão (elisa@ime.usp.br)
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTAÍSTICA
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
Rua do Matão 1010
Cidade Universitária
Caixa Postal 66281 - Ag. Cidade de São Paulo
CEP 05889-970
SÃO PAULO - BRASIL