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Abstract. In this paper, we shall obtain the bounds for the derivative of a rational function in the supremum norm on the unit circle in both the directions by involving the moduli of all its zeros. The obtained results strengthen some recently proved results.

Keywords: Rational functions; Polynomial inequalities; Zeros; Poles; Blaschke product.
MSC 2020 classification: primary 30A10, 30C15, secondary 26D07

## 1 Introduction

Let $P_{n}$ denote the class of complex polynomials $p(z):=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ of degree at most $n$ and $p^{\prime}(z)$ is the derivative of $p(z)$. For any positive real number $k$, we denote $T_{k}=\{z:|z|=k>0\}$. Let $D_{k}^{-}$represents the set of all points inside $T_{k}$ and $D_{k}^{+}$represents the set of all points outside $T_{k}$. For $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $j=1,2, \ldots, n$, let

$$
w(z):=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-a_{j}\right), \quad B(z):=\frac{w^{*}(z)}{w(z)}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-\bar{a}_{j} z}{z-a_{j}}\right)
$$

where $w^{*}(z)=z^{n} \overline{w(1 / \bar{z})}$, and

$$
\Re_{n}=\Re_{n}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right):=\left\{\frac{p(z)}{w(z)}: p \in P_{n}\right\}
$$

The product $B(z)$ is known as Blaschke product and one can easily verify that $|B(z)|=1$ and $\frac{z B^{\prime}(z)}{B(z)}=\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $z \in T_{1}$. Then $\Re_{n}$ is the set of all rational

[^0]functions with at most $n$ poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and with finite limit at infinity. We observe that $B(z) \in \Re_{n}$. For $f$ defined on $T_{1}$ in the complex plane, we denote $\|f\|=\sup _{z \in T_{1}}|f(z)|$, the Chebyshev norm of $f$ on $T_{1}$. Throughout this paper, we always assume that all poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are in $D_{1}^{+}$.

For $p \in P_{n}$, the following result known as Bernstein inequality (for reference see [3]) is well known:

$$
\left\|p^{\prime}\right\| \leq n\|p\|
$$

For the class of polynomials $p \in P_{n}$ having all zeros in $T_{1} \cup D_{1}^{+}$, the following result was conjectured by Erdös and later verified by Lax [5]:

$$
\left\|p^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{n}{2}\|p(z)\| .
$$

In 1995, Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [6] have proved Bernstein-type inequalities for rational function $r(z) \in \Re_{n}$ with prescribed poles where they replaced $z^{n}$ by Blaschke product $B(z)$ and established the following results.

Theorem 1.1. If $r \in \Re_{n}$, and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1}^{+}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds for $r(z)=a B(z)+b$ with $|a|=|b|=1$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $r \in \Re_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all its zeros lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1}^{-}$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-(n-t)\right]|r(z)| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the number of zeros of $r$ with counting multiplicity. The above result is best possible and equality holds for $r(z)=a B(z)+b$ with $|a|=|b|=1$.
Remark 1.1. In particular, if $r$ has exactly $n$ zeros in $T_{1} \cup D_{1}^{-}$, then the inequality (1.2) yields Bernstein-type inequality, namely for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||r(z)| \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aziz and Shah [2] proved the following theorems which improves upon the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) by introducing $m=\min _{z \in T_{1}}|r(z)|$.

Theorem 1.3. If $r \in \Re_{n}$, with all its zeros lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1}^{+}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|(\|r\|-m) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\min _{z \in T_{1}}|r(z)|$. The result is best possible and equality attains for $r(z)=B(z)+h e^{i \alpha}$ with $h \geq 1$ and $\alpha$ real.

Theorem 1.4. Let $r \in \Re_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all its zeros lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1}^{-}$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|[|r(z)|+m] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\min _{z \in T_{1}}|r(z)|$. Equality attains for $r(z)=B(z)+h e^{i \alpha}$ with $h \leq 1$ and $\alpha$ real.

Recently, Arunrat and Nakprasit [1] proved the following results, which not only improve upon the inequalities (1.4) and (1.5), but also generalize them.

Theorem 1.5. Let $r \in \Re_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all its zeros lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{+}, k \geq 1$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{(n(1+k)-2 t)(|r(z)|-m)^{2}}{(1+k)(\|r\|-m)^{2}}\right](\|r\|-m) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the number of zeros of $r$ with counting multiplicity and $m=\min _{z \in T_{k}}|r(z)|$.
Theorem 1.6. Let $r \in \Re_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all its zeros lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{-}, k \leq 1$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+\frac{2 t-n(1+k)}{1+k}\right](|r(z)|+m), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the number of zeros of $r$ with counting multiplicity and $m=\min _{z \in T_{k}}|r(z)|$.

## 2 Main results

In this paper, we shall obtain bounds for the derivative of rational functions by involving the moduli of all its zeros. More precisely, we have the following:

Theorem 2.1. Let $r(z)=\frac{p(z)}{w(z)} \in \Re_{n}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ are the zeros of $r(z)$ all lying in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{+}, k \geq 1$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{2\left(\frac{n}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right)(|r(z)|-m)^{2}}{(\|r\|-m)^{2}}\right](\|r\|-m),
$$

where $m=\min _{z \in T_{k}}|r(z)|$.
If $r(z)$ has exactly $n$ zeros all lying in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{+}$, where $k \geq 1$, we obtain the following result from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. Let $r(z)=\frac{p(z)}{w(z)} \in \Re_{n}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are the $n$ zeros of $r(z)$ all lying in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{+}, k \geq 1$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{2\left(\frac{n}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{1+\mid b_{j}}\right)\right)(|r(z)|-m)^{2}}{(\|r\|-m)^{2}}\right](\|r\|-m), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\min _{z \in T_{k}}|r(z)|$.
Remark 2.1. As we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k \geq 1$. Using (2.2) in (3.3), we observe that Corollary 2.1 reduces to the following result:

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k-1)(|r(z)|-m)^{2}}{(1+k)(\|r\|-m)^{2}}\right](\|r\|-m)
$$

For $k=1$, we get inequality $(1.4$ from the above inequality.
Theorem 2.2. Let $r(z)=\frac{p(z)}{w(z)} \in \Re_{n}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ are the zeros of $r(z)$ all lying in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{-}, k \leq 1$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right](|r(z)|+m)
$$

where $m=\min _{z \in T_{k}}|r(z)|$.
If $r(z)$ has exactly $n$ zeros all lying in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{-}$, where $k \leq 1$, we get the following result from Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.2. Let $r(z)=\frac{p(z)}{w(z)} \in \Re_{n}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are the zeros of $r(z)$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k}^{-}, k \leq 1$. Then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right](|r(z)|+m) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\min _{z \in T_{k}}|r(z)|$.

Remark 2.2. As

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|} \geq \frac{1}{1+k}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|b_{j}\right| \leq k \leq 1$. Using (2.4) in (3.1), we see that Corollary 2.2 reduces to the following result due to Mir [7], which improves upon a result recently proved by Tripathi, Hans, and Tyagi [8]:

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+\frac{n(1-k)}{k+1}\right](|r(z)|+m)
$$

Also, for $k=1$, we get inequality 1.5 from the above inequality.

## 3 Lemmas

For the proof of these theorems, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [6].

Lemma 3.1. If $r \in \Re_{n}$ and $r^{*}(z)=B(z) \overline{r\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\| .
$$

This next lemma is due to Bidkham and Shahmansouri (4).
Lemma 3.2. If $z \in T_{1}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}\right)=\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2} .
$$

## 4 Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Assume that $r \in \Re_{n}$ has no zero in $|z|<k$, where $k \geq 1$. Let $m=\min _{|z|=k}|r(z)|$. If $r(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=k$, then $m=0$ and hence for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1$, we get $r(z)-\alpha m=r(z)$. In case $r(z)$ has no zero on $|z|=k$, we have for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1$ that $|-\alpha m|=|\alpha| m \leq|r(z)|$ for $|z|=k$. By Rouché's theorem $R(z)=r(z)-\alpha m$ and $r(z)$ have the same number of zeros in with $|z|<k$, that is, for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1, R(z)$ has no zeros in $|z|<k$. Let $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ are zeros of $R(z), t \leq n$, then $\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}=\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} & =\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}\right)-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right)
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
R e\left(\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}\right) & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $R^{*}(z)=B(z) \overline{R\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=B(z) \bar{R}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime} & =B^{\prime}(z) \bar{R}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)+B(z)\left(\bar{R}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =B^{\prime}(z) \bar{R}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)+B(z)\left(\bar{R}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)\left(-\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right) \\
& =B^{\prime}(z) \bar{R}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)-\frac{B(z)}{z^{2}}\left(\bar{R}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which further implies

$$
z\left(R^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}=z B^{\prime}(z) \bar{R}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)-\frac{B(z)}{z}\left(\bar{R}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)
$$

Since $z \in T_{1}$, we have $\bar{z}=\frac{1}{z},|B(z)|=1, \frac{z B^{\prime}(z)}{B(z)}=\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|$, hence

Also, $\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|$ is real, we get
$\left|z\left(R^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| R(z)-z R^{\prime}(z)\right|$. Then by inequality 4.1), we obtain

This implies that for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left|R^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||R(z)|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|\left(R^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{*}(z)=B(z) \overline{R\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}=r^{*}(z)-\bar{\alpha} m B(z)$. Moreover, $\left(R^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}=\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}-$ $\bar{\alpha} m B^{\prime}(z)$ and $R^{\prime}(z)=r^{\prime}(z)=(r(z)-\alpha m)^{\prime}$. On applying these relations into (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||r(z)-\alpha m|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
\leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}-\bar{\alpha} m B^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{4.3}
\end{array}
$$

for $z \in T_{1}$ and for $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1$. Choose the argument of $\alpha$ such that $\left|r^{*}(z)^{\prime}-\bar{\alpha} m B^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|r^{*}(z)^{\prime}\right|-m|\alpha|\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|$, for $z \in T_{1}$. Since $|r(z)-m \alpha| \geq$ $||r(z)|-m| \alpha|\mid$. Note that $||r(z)|-m \mid \alpha \|^{2}=(|r(z)|-m|\alpha|)^{2}$,
which implies that $|r(z)-m \alpha|^{2} \geq(|r(z)|-m|\alpha|)^{2}$,
which on using in 4.3), gives

$$
\left[\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|(|r(z)|-m|\alpha|)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|-m|\alpha|\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|
$$

Letting $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|(|r(z)|-m)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|-m\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By lemma 3.1, implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\right.} & \left.\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|(|r(z)|-m)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\|-\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|-m\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Further simplifying and squaring both sides, gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|(|r(z)|-m)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq(\|r\|-m)^{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-2(\|r\|-m)\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{(\|r\|-m)^{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{2(\|r\|-m)\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}-\frac{2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right]\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|(|r(z)|-m)^{2}}{2(\|r\|-m)\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{2\left[\frac{n}{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right)\right](|r(z)|-m)^{2}}{(\|r\|-m)^{2}}\right](\|r\|-m) .
$$

This proves inequality for $R(z) \neq 0$. In case $R(z)=0$, we obtain that $r^{\prime}(z)=0$. This implies that the above inequality is trivially true. Therefore, inequality holds for all $z \in T_{1}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Assume that $r \in \Re_{n}$ has no zeros in $D_{k}^{+}$where $k \leq 1$. Let $m=\min _{|z|=k}|r(z)|$, then $m \leq|r(z)|$ for $z \in T_{k}$. If $r(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=k$, then $m=0$, hence for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1$ we get $r(z)+\alpha m=r(z)$. In case $r(z)$ has no zeros on $|z|=k$, we have for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1$ that $|\alpha m|<|r(z)|$
for $|z|=k$. It follows by Rouché's theorem that $R(z)=r(z)+\alpha m$ and $r(z)$ have same number of zeros in $D_{k}^{-}$, that is, for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|<1, R(z)$ has no zero in $D_{k}^{+}$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ are zeros of $R(z), t \leq n$ and $\left|b_{j}\right| \leq k \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}=\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} & =\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $z \in T_{1}$, gives with the help of Lemma 3.2, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}\right)-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R(z) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}\right|=\left|\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}\right| \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z R^{\prime}(z)}{R(z)}\right) \geq \frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right) .
$$

This implies that

$$
\left|R^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq\left[\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right]|R(z)|, \text { for } z \in T_{1}
$$

As $R(z)=r(z)+\alpha m$, therefore, we get

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq\left[\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right]|r(z)+\alpha m|, \text { for } z \in T_{1}
$$

Note that this inequality is trivially true for $R(z)=0$. Therefore, this inequality holds for all $z \in T_{1}$. Choosing the argument of $\alpha$ suitably in the right side of
the above inequality and noting that the left side is independent of $\alpha$, we get that

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq\left[\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right](|r(z)|+|\alpha| m),
$$

for $z \in T_{1}$. Letting $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1$, we get for $z \in T_{1}$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| & \geq\left[\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right](|r(z)|+m) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)\right](|r(z)|+m),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the Theorem 2.2 .
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