Note di Matematica Note Mat. **38** (2018) no. 2, 21–33.

Certain results on N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

Krishanu Mandal

Department of Mathematics, K. K. Das College, GRH-17, Baishnabghata-Patuli, Kol- 700084, West Bengal, INDIA. krishanu.mandal013@gmail.com

Dhananjoy Mandal

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kol- 700019, West Bengal, INDIA. dmandal.cu@gmail.com

Received: 23.2.2018; accepted: 12.7.2018.

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds. Also we study η -Ricci solitons on three-dimensional N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds. Finally, we study gradient Ricci solitons on three-dimensional contractible N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds.

Keywords: N(k)-paracontact, conformally flat, η -Ricci soliton, gradient Ricci soliton, para-Sasakian manifold.

MSC 2000 classification: primary 53B30, 53C15, 53C25, 53C50, secondary 53D10, 53D15

1 Introduction

In 1985, Kaneyuki and Williams [20] introduced the notion of paracontact geometry. After that many authors [1, 2, 13, 16] contribute to study paracontact geometry. A systematic study of paracontact metric manifolds was given by Zamkovoy [31]. More recently, Cappelletti-Montano et al. [10] introduced a new type of paracontact geometry, so-called paracontact metric (k, μ) -spaces, where k and μ are some real constants. Also Martin-Molina [23, 24] obtained some classification theorems on paracontact metric (k, μ) -spaces and constructed some examples (see also [5]).

The conformal curvature tensor C is invariant under conformal transformations and vanishes identically for three-dimensional manifolds. Using this fact several authors [14, 21, 22] studied various types of three-dimensional manifolds.

http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/ © 2018 Università del Salento

A Ricci soliton is a natural generalization of an Einstein metric [3]. In a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M a Ricci soliton is a triplet (g, V, λ) , with g, a pseudo-Riemannian metric, V a vector field (called the potential vector field) and λ a real constant such that

$$\pounds_V g + 2S + 2\lambda g = 0,\tag{1}$$

where \pounds_V is the Lie derivative with respect to V and S is the Ricci tensor of type (0, 2). The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady or expanding according as λ is negative, zero or positive, respectively. The compact Ricci solitons are the fixed points of the Ricci flow $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -2S$ projected from the space of metrics onto its quotient modulo diffeomorphisms and scalings, and often arise as blow-up limits for the Ricci flow on compact manifolds. For details, we refer to Chow and Knopf [12].

If the complete vector field V is the gradient of a potential function -f, then g is said to be a gradient Ricci soliton and equation (1.2) takes the form

$$\operatorname{Hess} f = S + \lambda g,\tag{2}$$

where Hess f denotes the Hessian of a smooth function f on M and defined by $\text{Hess} f = \nabla \nabla f$.

A Ricci soliton on a compact manifold has constant curvature in dimension 2 (Hamilton [18]) and also in dimension 3 (Ivey [19]). It is well known [29] that a Ricci soliton on a compact manifold is a gradient Ricci soliton. Ricci solitons have been studied by several authors such as Sharma [7], Cho and Kimura [11], De and Mandal [15], Ghosh [17] and many others. A complete classification of Ricci solitons of non-reductive homogeneous 4-spaces was given by Calvaruso and Zaeim [7].

For a manifold M an η -Ricci soliton (g, V, λ, ν) , with g, a pseudo-Riemannian metric is defined by [11]

$$\pounds_V g + 2S + 2\lambda g + 2\nu \eta \otimes \eta = 0, \tag{3}$$

where \pounds_V is the Lie derivative with respect to the potential vector filed V, S is the Ricci tensor of type (0, 2), λ and ν are real scalars. In particular, if $\nu = 0$, then an η -Ricci soliton (g, V, λ, ν) reduces to a Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) .

A Riemannian or, pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension n is said to be special manifold [28] with the associated symmetric tensor B, denoted by $(\psi B)_n$, if the (0, 4)-type tensor R' satisfies the condition

$$R'(X, Y, Z, W) = B(X, W)B(Y, Z) - B(Y, W)B(X, Z),$$
(4)

where $B(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$, for some constants a and b. Now we recall some useful lemmas. N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

Lemma 1. [14, Proposition 2.2] A three-dimensional N(k)-paracontact metric manifold is a manifold of constant curvature k if and only if the scalar curvature r = 6k.

Lemma 2. [28, Theorem 5.4] A three-dimensional para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $M^3(g,\xi,\lambda,\mu)$ is a $(\psi B)_3$ with associated symmetric tensor B given by

$$B(X,Y) = \sqrt{\frac{(\mu-\lambda)}{2}}g(X,Y) - \mu\sqrt{\frac{2}{(\mu-\lambda)}}\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

In [26], Olszak proved that any contact metric manifold of constant curvature and of dimension > 3 has constant curvature 1 and is Sasakian. Also it is known [25] that every Sasakian conformally flat manifold of dimension > 3 is of constant curvature 1.

In this circumstances, it is interesting to study conformally flat N(k)-paracontact manifolds of dimension > 3 and also for dimension three.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some basic results of N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds. Section 3 is devoted to study conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds and we prove that there does not exist any conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifold M of dimension > 3. The next section deals with η -Ricci solitons on three-dimensional N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds. Finally, we study gradient Ricci solitons on three-dimensional contractible N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds.

2 Preliminaries

A smooth odd dimensional manifold M^n (n > 1) is said to an almost paracontact manifold if it admits a (1, 1)-type tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying the following conditions [20]

(i) $\phi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi$,

(ii) $\phi(\xi) = 0, \ \eta \circ \phi = 0, \ \eta(\xi) = 1$, and

(iii) on each fibre of $\mathcal{D} = \ker(\eta)$ the tensor field ϕ induces an almost paracomplex structure, that is, the eigendistributions \mathcal{D}_{ϕ}^+ and \mathcal{D}_{ϕ}^- of ϕ corresponding to the respective eigenvalues 1 and -1 have same dimension n. Here ξ is called the Reeb vector field.

An almost paracontact manifold M is said to be an *almost paracontact metric* manifold if there is a pseudo-Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{5}$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, and (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be an *almost paracontact metric* structure. Here the signature of g is necessarily (n + 1, n). An almost paracontact structure is said to be a *paracontact* structure if $\Phi(X,Y) = d\eta(X,Y)$ [31], the fundamental 2-form is defined by $\Phi(X,Y) = g(X,\phi Y)$; almost paracontact structure is said to be *normal* [31] if the (1, 2)-type torsion tensor $N_{\phi} = [\phi,\phi] - 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$, where $[\phi,\phi](X,Y) = \phi^2[X,Y] + [\phi X,\phi Y] - \phi[\phi X,Y] - \phi[X,\phi Y]$.

Any almost paracontact metric manifold $(M^n, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ admits (at least, locally) a ϕ -basis [31], and thus in particular a three dimensional almost paracontact metric manifold, any (local) pseudo-orthonormal basis of ker (η) gives a ϕ -basis, up to sign. If $\{e_2, e_3\}$ is a (local) pseudo-orthonormal basis of ker (η) , with e_3 , time-like, so by (5) vector field $\phi e_2 \in \text{ker}(\eta)$ is time-like and orthogonal to e_2 . Therefore, $\phi e_2 = \pm e_3$ and $\{\xi, e_2, \pm e_3\}$ is a ϕ -basis [6]. For a paracontact metric manifold we can easily get a symmetric, trace-free (1, 1)-tensor $h = \frac{1}{2}\pounds_{\xi}\phi$ satisfying the following formulas [9, 31]

$$\phi h + h\phi = 0, \quad h\xi = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X + \phi h X,\tag{7}$$

for all $X \in \chi(M)$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Furthermore, if ξ is a Killing vector field (or equivalently *h* vanishes identically) then the manifold $(M^n, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called a *K*-paracontact manifold.

The following definition follows from Cappelletti-Montano and Di Terlizzi [9]:

Definition 1. A paracontact metric manifold is said to be a paracontact (k, μ) -manifold if the curvature tensor R satisfies

$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY),$$
(8)

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and k, μ are real constants.

If $\mu = 0$, then the paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold reduces to an N(k)-paracontact metric manifold and hence for an N(k)-paracontact metric manifold we get the following formula

$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y), \tag{9}$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and k is a real constant. In an N(k)-paracontact metric manifold of dimension (2n + 1) the Ricci operator is given by

$$QY = 2(1-n)Y + 2(n-1)hY + [2(n-1) + 2nk]\eta(Y)\xi, \quad \text{for } k \neq -1.$$
(10)

N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

Replacing Y by ξ in the above equation we have

$$Q\xi = 2nk\xi. \tag{11}$$

Making use of (11), we get

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(X, \phi Y) - g(hX, \phi Y).$$
(12)

The following results hold for an N(k)-paracontact metric manifold $(M^3, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ [4, 14, 27]

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k\right)X + \left(-\frac{r}{2} + 3k\right)\eta(X)\xi, \quad k \neq -1,$$
(13)

$$S(X,Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k\right)g(X,Y) + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y),\tag{14}$$

$$Q\xi = 2k\xi,\tag{15}$$

$$S(X,\xi) = 2k\eta(X),\tag{16}$$

$$R(X,Y)Z = \left(\frac{r}{2} - 2k\right) \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\} + \left(-\frac{r}{2} + 3k\right) \{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi\},$$
(17)

where X, Y, Z are any vector fields on M, Q denotes the Ricci operator of M, r is the scalar curvature of M. We have the following result due to Cappelletti-Montano et al. [10, p.686].

Lemma 3. A paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold of dimension three is Einstein if and only if $k = \mu = 0$.

Though any paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold of dimension three is Einstein if and only if $k = \mu = 0$, it always admits some compatible Einstein metrics [8].

3 Conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

In this section we study conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold M of dimension (2n + 1) is conformally flat if and only if the Weyl conformal curvature tensor filed C defined by

$$C(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1} \{S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY\} + \frac{r}{2n(2n-1)} \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}$$
(18)

K. Mandal, D. Mandal

vanishes for n > 1, and

$$(\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X = \frac{1}{4}\{(Xr)Y - (Yr)X\}$$
(19)

for n = 1. The Weyl conformal curvature tensor field vanishes identically for n = 1.

Thus for a conformally flat manifolds M for n > 1, C = 0 implies

$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{1}{2n-1} \{ S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY \} - \frac{r}{2n(2n-1)} \{ g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y \}.$$
(20)

Putting $Y = Z = \xi$ in (18) and using (15), (15) and (16), we get

$$R(X,\xi)\xi = \frac{1}{2n-1} \{ 2nkX - 2nk\eta(X)\xi + QX - 2nk\eta(X)\xi \} - \frac{r}{2n(2n-1)} \{ X - \eta(X)\xi \}.$$
(21)

Form the above equation, we get the following,

$$QX = \left\{\frac{r}{2n} - k\right\} X - \left\{\frac{r}{2n} - 2nk - k\right\} \eta(X)\xi.$$
 (22)

Taking covariant derivative of the above equation along and arbitrary vector field Y, we obtain

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = \frac{Y(r)}{2n} X - \frac{Y(r)}{2n} \eta(X)\xi - \left\{\frac{r}{2n} - 2nk - k\right\} \{(\nabla_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi\}.$$
(23)

Now using (12) in (21), we obtain

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = \frac{Y(r)}{2n} \{X - \eta(X)\xi\} - \{\frac{r}{2n} - 2nk - k\} \{g(\phi hY, X)\xi - g(\phi Y, X)\xi - \eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(X)\phi hY\}.$$
(24)

Interchanging X and Y in (22), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X Q)Y = \frac{X(r)}{2n} \{Y - \eta(Y)\xi\} - \left\{\frac{r}{2n} - 2nk - k\right\} \{g(\phi hX, Y)\xi - g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X + \eta(Y)\phi hX\}.$$
(25)

Since C = 0, we have divC = 0 or equivalently,

$$(\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X = \frac{1}{4n} \{ (X(r))Y - (Y(r))X \}.$$
 (26)

Thus from (22), (23) and (24), we have

$$\frac{X(r)}{2n} \{Y - \eta(Y)\xi\} - \frac{Y(r)}{2n} \{X - \eta(X)\xi\} - \left\{\frac{r}{2n} - 2nk - k\right\} \{2g(X, \phi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi hX + \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(X)\phi hY\} = \frac{1}{4n} \{(Xr)Y - (Yr)X\}.$$
(27)

Contracting Y in (25), we get

$$(n-1)X(r) + \xi r\eta(X) = 0.$$
 (28)

Substituting $X = \xi$ in the above equations yields,

$$\xi r = 0. \tag{29}$$

Now using this in (26), we get Xr = 0 and hence r is constant. Thus by (25), we have

$$\left(\frac{r}{2n} - 2nk - k\right) 2g(X, \phi Y) = 0.$$
(30)

Making use of (28) in (20), we obtain

$$QX = 2nkX, \quad \text{for all } X \in \chi(M).$$
 (31)

Taking trace of the above equation we have

$$r = 2n(2n+1)k. (32)$$

Applying (29) and (32) in (18), we finally get

$$R(X,Y)Z = k\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\},$$
(33)

for any vector fields X, Y and $Z \in \chi(M)$. But as a consequence of Corollary 4.14 and Corollary 5.12 of [10] we conclude that a N(k)-paracontact metric manifold of constant curvature can not exist for dimension > 3. Thus we can state the following:

Theorem 1. There does not exist any conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifold M of dimension > 3.

Now we study conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds for dimension three. Thus from (17) we have

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = \frac{1}{4} \{ (Xr)g(Y,Z) - (Yr)g(X,Z) \}.$$
 (34)

K. Mandal, D. Mandal

Taking the covariant derivative of (15) along an arbitrary vector field Y and using (11) and (12) we have

$$(\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = \frac{dr(Y)}{2} \{g(X,Z) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\} + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \{g(Y,\phi X)\eta(Z) - g(hY,\phi X)\eta(Z) - \eta(X)g(\phi Y,Z) + \eta(X)g(\phi hY,Z)\}.$$
(35)

Interchanging X and Y in (35) we infer that

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = \frac{dr(X)}{2} \{g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\} + \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \{g(X,\phi Y)\eta(Z) - g(hX,\phi Y)\eta(Z) - \eta(Y)g(\phi X,Z) + \eta(Y)g(\phi hX,Z)\}. (36)$$

Making use of (35) and (36) in (34) we have

$$\frac{X(r)}{2} \{g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\} - \frac{Y(r)}{2} \{g(X,Z) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\}
+ \left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \{2g(X,\phi Y)\eta(Z) - g(\phi X, Z)\eta(Y)
+ g(\phi hX, Z)\eta(Y) + g(\phi Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(\phi hY, Z)\eta(X)\}
= \frac{1}{4} \{X(r)g(Y,Z) - Y(r)g(X,Z)\}.$$
(37)

Substituting ξ for both X and Z in the above equation it follows that

$$Y(r) = 0, (38)$$

for all vector fields Y. Applying (38) in (37) we obtain

$$\left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \{2g(X, \phi Y)\eta(Z) - g(\phi X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(\phi hX, Z)\eta(Y) + g(\phi Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(\phi hY, Z)\eta(X)\} = 0.$$
 (39)

Putting ϕY for Y in (39) yields

$$\left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right) \left\{2g(X, Y)\eta(Z) + g(Y, Z)\eta(X) + g(hY, Z)\eta(X) - 3\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\right\} = 0.$$

$$(40)$$

Let $\{e_i\}$, i = 1, 2, 3 be a ϕ -basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold. Then substituting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (40) and taking summation over i, $1 \le i \le 3$, we get

$$\left(3k - \frac{r}{2}\right)\eta(X) = 0. \tag{41}$$

This gives r = 6k (since $\eta(X)$ does not vanish for all vector fields X), which implies by Lemma 1 that the manifold is of constant curvature k. This leads to the following:

Theorem 2. A conformally flat N(k)-paracontact metric manifold M of dimension three is of constant curvature k.

4 η -Ricci solitons on N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

This section is devoted to study η -Ricci solitons on three-dimensional N(k)paracontact metric manifolds. Now the equation (31) implies that

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + 2\lambda g(X,Y) + 2\nu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0.$$
(42)

For an N(k)-paracontact metric manifold, we have

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) = 2g(\phi hX,Y). \tag{43}$$

Thus the equation (32) reduces to

$$S(X,Y) = -g(\phi hX,Y) - \lambda g(X,Y) - \nu \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$
(44)

Substituting ϕX for X in (34) we infer that

$$S(\phi X, Y) = g(hX, Y) - \lambda(\phi X, Y).$$
(45)

Replacing X by ϕX in (15) yields

$$S(\phi X, Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k\right) g(\phi X, Y).$$
(46)

Equating the right hand sides of (45) and (46) we have

$$g(hX,Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k + \lambda\right) g(\phi X,Y).$$
(47)

Interchanging X and Y in (47) we get

$$g(hY,X) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k + \lambda\right)g(\phi Y,X).$$
(48)

Adding (47) and (48) we obtain g(hX, Y) = 0, that is, h = 0. Now we know that h = 0 holds if and only if ξ is a Killing vector field and consequently M is a K-paracontact metric manifold. For three-dimensional case, a K-paracontact metric manifold is a paraSasakian manifold. Thus M is a paraSasakian manifold. Using Lemma 2 we get the following result.

Theorem 3. If a three-dimensional N(k)-paracontact metric manifold admits a η -Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is the Reeb vector field ξ , then the manifold is a $(\psi B)_3$ with associated symmetric tensor B given by

$$B(X,Y) = \sqrt{\frac{(\nu-\lambda)}{2}}g(X,Y) - \nu\sqrt{\frac{2}{(\nu-\lambda)}}\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

5 Gradient Ricci solitons on three-dimensional contractible N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

In this section we study gradient Ricci solitons on three-dimensional contractible N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds. Let M be a three-dimensional contractible N(k)-paracontact metric manifold and g a gradient Ricci soliton. Then the equation (2) can be put in of the form

$$\nabla_Y Df = QY + \lambda Y,\tag{49}$$

for all vector fields $Y \in \chi(M)$, where D denotes the gradient operator of the pseudo-metric g.

From (49), we have

$$R(X,Y)Df = (\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X.$$
(50)

Making use of (14) in (50) we obtain

$$R(X,Y)Df = \frac{dr(X)}{2} \{Y - \eta(Y)\xi\} - \frac{dr(Y)}{2} \{X - \eta(X)\xi\} - \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3k\right) \{2g(X,\phi Y)\xi + \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X + \eta(Y)\phi hX - \eta(X)\phi hY\}.$$
(51)

Substituting ξ for X in (51) we infer that

$$R(\xi, Y)Df = \frac{dr(\xi)}{2} \{Y - \eta(Y)\xi\} - \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3k\right) \{\phi Y - \phi hY\}.$$
 (52)

Taking inner product of (52) with ξ yields

$$g(R(\xi, Y)Df, \xi) = 0.$$
(53)

Again from (17) it follows that

$$g(R(\xi, Y)Z, \xi) = k\{g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\}.$$
(54)

Thus from (53) and (54) we get

$$k\{g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\} = 0.$$
 (55)

Case 1: Let us suppose that k = 0. From Lemma 3 we conclude that the manifold is an Einstein manifold and hence, being three-dimensional, a space of

N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds

constant curvature.

Case 2: Let $g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) = 0$. From which it follows that

$$g(Y, Df) = \xi f \eta(Y),$$

that is,

$$Df = (\xi f)\xi. \tag{56}$$

Again using (11) and (56) we obtain

$$g(\nabla_Y Df, X) = Y(\xi f)\eta(X) - (\xi f)\{g(\phi X, Y) - g(\phi hY, X)\}.$$
 (57)

Noticing (49) and (57) we infer that

$$S(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = Y(\xi f)\eta(X) - (\xi f)\{g(\phi X,Y) - g(\phi hY,X)\}.$$
 (58)

Putting $X = \xi$ and using (15) yields

$$Y(\xi f) = (\lambda + 2k)\eta(Y).$$
(59)

Therefore we have from (59) and (57)

$$g(\nabla_Y Df, X) = (\lambda + 2k)\eta(X)\eta(Y) - (\xi f)\{g(\phi X, Y) - g(\phi hY, X)\}.$$
 (60)

Applying Poincaré's lemma: On a contractible manifold, all closed forms are exact. Therefore $d^2 f(X, Y) = 0$, for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. Thus we have

$$XY(f) - YX(f) - [X, Y]f = 0,$$

from which we have,

$$Xg(\operatorname{grad} f, Y) - Yg(\operatorname{grad} f, X) - g(\operatorname{grad} f, [X, Y]) = 0.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\nabla_X g(\operatorname{grad} f, Y) - g(\operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_X Y) - \nabla_Y g(\operatorname{grad} f, X) + g(\operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_Y X) = 0.$$

Since $\nabla g = 0$, the above equation implies

$$g(\nabla_X \operatorname{grad} f, Y) - g(\nabla_Y \operatorname{grad} f, X) = 0,$$

that is, $g(\nabla_X Df, Y) = g(\nabla_Y Df, X)$. Applying this in (60), we have (ξf) $g(\phi X, Y) = 0$, that is, $(\xi f) d\eta(X, Y) = 0$. Since $d\eta \neq 0$, it follows that $\xi f = 0$. Consequently from (56) we obtain Df = 0. Hence from (49) we have

$$S(X,Y) = -\lambda g(X,Y).$$

Substituting ξ for both X and Y in the above equation we obtain

$$\lambda = -2k. \tag{61}$$

Thus we obtain S(X, Y) = 2kg(X, Y), that is, the manifold becomes Einstein and hence, being three-dimensional, a space of constant curvature.

Combining the above two cases we have the following:

Theorem 4. If the pseudo-Riemannian metric g of a three-dimensional contractible N(k)-paracontact metric manifold is a gradient Ricci soliton, then the manifold is of constant curvature.

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- D.V. ALEKSEEVSKI, V. CORTÉS, A.S. GALAEV AND T. LEISTNER: Cones over pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and their holonomy, J. Reine Angew. Math. 635(2009), 23–69.
- [2] D.V. ALEKSEEVSKI, C. MEDORI AND A. TOMASSINI: Maximally homogeneous para-CR manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 30(2006), 1–27.
- [3] C. CALIN AND M. CRASMAREANU: From the Eisenhart problem to Ricci solitons in f-Kenmotsu manifolds, Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. 33(2010), 361–368.
- [4] G. CALVARUSO: Homogeneous paracontact metric three-manifolds, Illinois J. Math. 55(2011), 697–718.
- [5] G. CALVARUSO AND V. MARTIN-MOLINA: Paracontact metric structures on the unit tangent sphere bundle, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 194 (2015), 1359–1380.
- [6] G. CALVARUSO AND A. PERRONE: Ricci solitons in three-dimensional paracontact geometry, J. Geom. Phys. 98(2015), 1–12.
- [7] G. CALVARUSO AND A. ZAEIM: A complete classification of Ricci and Yamabe solitons of non-reductive homogeneous 4-spaces, J. Geom. Phys. 80 (2014), 15–25.
- [8] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, A. CARRIAZO AND V. MARTIN-MOLINA: Sasaki-Einstein and paraSasaki-Einstein metrics from (k, μ) -stuctures, J. Geom. Phys. **73**(2013), 20–36.
- [9] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO AND L. DI TERLIZZI: Geometric structures associated to a contact metric (k, μ) -space, Pacific J. Math. **246**(2010), 257–292.
- [10] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, I. KÜPELI ERKEN AND C. MURATHAN: Nullity conditions in paracontact geometry, Diff. Geom. Appl. 30(2012), 665–693.
- [11] J.T. CHO AND M. KIMURA: Ricci solitons and Real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, Tohoku Math. J. 61(2009), 205–212.
- [12] B. CHOW AND D. KNOPF: The Ricci flow: An introduction, Mathematical surveys and Monographs, American Math. Soc. 110(2004).

- [13] V. CORTÉS, M.A. LAWN AND L. SCHÄFER: Affine hyperspheres associated to special para-Kähler manifolds, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 3(2006), 995–1009.
- [14] U.C. DE, S. DESHMUKH AND K. MANDAL: On three-dimensional N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds and Ricci solitons, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 43(2017), 1571–1583.
- U.C. DE AND K. MANDAL: Certain results on generalized (k, μ)-contact metric manifolds, J. Geom., 108 (2017), 611–621.
- [16] S. ERDEM: On almost (para) contact (hyperbolic) metric manifolds and harmonicity of (ϕ, ϕ') -holomorphic maps between them, Huston J. Math. **28**(2002), 21–45.
- [17] A. GHOSH: An η -Einstein Kenmotsu metric as a Ricci soliton, Publ. Math. Debrecen **82**(2013), 591–598.
- [18] R.S. HAMILTON: The Ricci flow on surfaces, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math. 71, American Math. Soc., (1988), 237–262.
- [19] T. IVEY: Ricci solitons on compact 3-manifolds, Diff. Geom. Appl.3(1993), 301-307.
- [20] S. KANEYULI AND F.L. WILLIAMS: Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 99(1985), 173–187.
- [21] K. MANDAL AND U.C. DE: Para-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain curvature conditions, Lobachevskii J. Math., 37(2016), 146–154.
- [22] K. MANDAL AND U.C. DE: On some classes of 3-dimensional normal almost paracontact metric manifolds, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 41(2017), 231–238.
- [23] V. MARTIN-MOLINA: Paracontact metric manifolds without a contact metric counterpart, Taiwanese J. Math. 19(2015), 175–191.
- [24] V. MARTIN-MOLINA: Local classification and examples of an important class of paracontact metric manifolds, Filomat 29(2015), 507–515.
- [25] M. OKUMURA: Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure, Tohoku Math. J., 14(1962), 135–145.
- [26] Z. OLSZAK: On contact metric manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 31(1979), 247–253.
- [27] D.G. PRAKASHA AND K.K. MIRJI: On φ-symmetric N(k)-paracontact metric manifolds, J. Math., 2015(2015), 1–6.
- [28] D.G. PRAKASHA AND B.S. HADIMANI: η-Ricci solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds, J. Geom., 108(2017), 383–392.
- [29] G. PERELMAN: The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/02111159.
- [30] R. SHARMA: Certain results on K-contact and (k, μ) -contact manifolds, J. Geom. **89**(2008), 138–147.
- [31] S. ZAMKOVOY: Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 36(2009), 37–60.