

ON A THEOREM OF EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS FOR THE  
ELASTOSTATICS OF A NON LINEAR DIELECTRIC <sup>(°)</sup>

Carlo BORTONE - Gabriele PELLICIARDI <sup>(°°)</sup>

*Summary.* In this paper we shall prove a theorem of existence and uniqueness of the solution for the equilibrium equations of a non linear elastic dielectric, provided that for the connected linear problem an existence and uniqueness theorem is valid <sup>(°°°)</sup>.

To this purpose we shall apply a functional analysis method which has been previously used by Van Buren [1] and Stoppelli [2] for a non linear elastic system. The underneath scheme will be the continuum-elastic one.

1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an elastic dielectric and  $\mathcal{C}$  an equilibrium configuration for it, under the action of given forces and electric fields.

If  $\mathcal{C}_*$  is a reference configuration, the deformation that  $\mathcal{B}$  will

---

(°) The research here described was carried out under the auspices of G.N.F.M. of Italian Research Council (C.N.R.).

(°°) Dipartimento di Matematica - Università degli Studi di Lecce (Italia).

(°°°) Such a theorem is proved in [7].

experience from  $\mathcal{C}_*$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ , is expressed by the displacement:

$$\underline{u} = \chi(\underline{X}) \in C^2(*\mathcal{C}_*)$$

where  $\underline{X} + \underline{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{C}_*$ ; that is, if  $(u^i)$  are the Eulerian coordinates and  $X^L$  the Lagrangian coordinates of any point  $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{C}_*$  the deformation will be expressed by the scalar functions

$$u^i = \chi^i(X^L) \in C^2 \quad i, L = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let  $\underline{T}_*$  be the Piola-Kirchhoff tensor,  $\underline{Q}_*$  the Lagrangian induction vector with respect to the reference configuration,  $^{(1)}\zeta$  the electric potential,  $\rho_*$  the reference mass density,  $\underline{b}$  the specific force,  $\zeta$  the specific enthalpy,  $\epsilon_L = \phi_{,L}$  the Lagrangian electric field.

The fundamental system of the problem for which we are searching a theorem of existence and uniqueness is:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Div } \underline{T}_*(u^i_{,L}; \phi_{,L}) + \rho_* \epsilon \underline{b} = 0 \\ \text{Div } \underline{Q}_*(u^i_{,L}; \phi_{,L}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{C}'_* \\ \underline{T}_* \cdot \underline{n} = \epsilon \underline{t}_* & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{C}''_* = \partial \mathcal{C}_* - \partial \mathcal{C}'_* \\ \phi = \bar{\mu} \phi & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{C}_* \end{cases}$$

<sup>(1)</sup> Concerning the quantities which appear in Maxwell's equations we refer the reader to [6].

where  $\underline{n}_*$  is the unity exterior vector of the normal to the surface  $\partial \mathcal{C}''$ ,  $\mu\bar{\phi}$  is the electric boundary potential which we assume sectionally constant on  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$  and the real parameters  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  are supposed to controll the boundary conditions. Finally we shall suppose that  $\mathcal{C}_*$  is a *natural* configuration. Moreover, we shall use the following thermodynamic relations:

$$T_*^{iL} = \rho_* \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial u^i} = T_*^{iL}(u^i, L; \phi, L)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_*^L = -\rho_* \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \phi, L} = \mathcal{D}_*^L(u^i, L; \phi, L)$$

which are established in [6].

Let us set

$$\underline{u} = (\underline{u}, \mathcal{S}').$$

The boundary problem (1.1) (1.2) becomes:

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Div } T_*(u^\alpha, L) + \rho_* \epsilon \underline{b} = \underline{0} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\ \text{Div } \mathcal{D}_*(u^\alpha, L) = 0 \\ \underline{u} = (\underline{0}, \mu\bar{\phi}) \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{C}' \\ T_* \cdot \underline{n}_* = \epsilon \underline{t}_* \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{C}'' \end{array} \right.$$

and so we are led to search for the two parameters family  $\underline{u} = \underline{u}(X, \epsilon, \mu)$  of solutions of (1.3), provided that the corresponding problem for the infinitesimal elasticity has one and only one differentiable solution.

## 2. FUNCTIONAL SPACES AND HYPOTHESES OF THE THEOREM..

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normed vectorial space whose elements are fourdimen-

sional fields  $\underline{u}(X) = (\underline{u}(X), \phi(X))$ , where  $\underline{u}(X)$  vanishes on  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$  and  $\phi(X)$  is sectionally constant on  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$ , moreover we assume that  $\underline{u}$  is regular and having norm  $\|\underline{u}\|$  to be later suitably chosen. Similarly, let  $\mathcal{S}'$  be a normed vectorial space, to be later defined, whose elements are the couples  $(\underline{h}, \underline{g})$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{h} &= [\text{Div } \underline{T}_*(\underline{u}^\alpha, L) + \rho_* \in \underline{b}, \text{Div } \mathcal{D}(\underline{u}^\alpha, L)] \\ \underline{g} &= [-\underline{T}_*(\underline{u}^\alpha, L) \cdot \underline{n}_* + \varepsilon \underline{t}_*, -\phi + \mu \bar{\phi}]. \end{aligned}$$

The equations system (1.3) may be interpreted as a non linear functional

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$$

such that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(\underline{u}) = (\underline{h} ; \underline{g}) .$$

The field  $\underline{u} = \underline{0}$  certainly is an element of  $\mathcal{S}$  to which the couple  $[(\rho_* \varepsilon \underline{b}, 0); \varepsilon \underline{t}_*, \mu \phi_*]$  corresponds; moreover  $\mathcal{F} \in C^1$  is a neighborhood  $I_0$  of  $\underline{0}$  and if the Frèchet differential  $D_0 \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  evaluated at  $(\underline{0})$  is an isomorphism, by means of the theorem on the inverse mapping, a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\underline{0}$  will exist such that the correspondence between  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$  is one to one.

Therefore if one proves that the element  $[(\underline{0}, 0); (\underline{0}, 0)] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$ , one and only one displacement  $\underline{u}_*$  will exist such that  $\mathcal{F}(\underline{u}_*) = [(\underline{0}, 0); (\underline{0}, 0)]$ .

Taking into account the given definition for the couple  $(\underline{h}, \underline{g})$  the displacement  $\underline{u}$  will be the solution of (1.3), and consequently

a theorem of local existence and uniqueness of the solution of system (1.3) will remain proved.

After all, in order to prove by means of the inverse mapping theorem, a theorem of existence and uniqueness for the solution of the system (1.3) we need define the spaces  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}'$  and to prove that:

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}$  as defined in (2.1) belongs to  $C^1$ ;
- 2)  $D_0 \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism;
- 3)  $[(0,0);(0,0)] \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ .

We shall assume that the following regularity conditions hold:

- i) the dielectric  $\mathcal{B}$  is homogeneous and the reference configuration  $\mathcal{C}_*$  is a homogeneous natural state of  $\mathcal{B}$ ;
- ii) the region  $\mathcal{C}_*$  is bounded;
- iii) the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$  is a  $C^{2+\lambda}$  differentiable surface, that is, can be covered with an atlas of local coordinates  $(v^1, v^2, v^3)$  such that the displacement  $X^L = X^L(v^i) \in C^2$  and has second derivatives Hölder-continuous with index  $\lambda$ ; moreover  $(v^1, v^2, v^3) \in \mathcal{C}_*$  if and only if  $v^3 > 0$  otherwise  $(v^1, v^2, v^3) \in \partial \mathcal{C}_*$  if and only if  $v^3 = 0$  and in this case  $(v^1, v^2)$  becomes a local coordinates system of  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$ ;
- iv) the function  $[\underline{T}_*(\underline{u}^\alpha, L), \underline{Q}_*(\underline{u}^\alpha, L)] \in C^3$  is a 12-dimensional space region of the matrices  $(\underline{u}^\alpha, L)$  which exhibits the property

$$\| \underline{u}^\alpha, L \| \leq \gamma \quad (\gamma > 0)$$

- v)  $\underline{b} \in C^{0+\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_*$ ;

- vi) the elasticities of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_*$  are such that a solution of

class  $C^{2+\lambda}$  of the problem with boundary conditions of positions in linear elasticity, exists. When all these hypotheses are fulfilled, the Banach space  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as the set of all the vectorial fields  $\underline{u}(X) \in C^{2+\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_f$  with respect to the norm:

$$(2.2) \quad \|\underline{u}\| = \sum_{\alpha} \max_{\underline{X} \in \mathcal{C}_*} |\tau^{\alpha}(\underline{X})| + \sum_{\alpha, L} \max_{\underline{X} \in \mathcal{C}_*} |\tau^{\alpha, L}(\underline{X})| + \\ + \sum_{\alpha, L, M} (\max_{\underline{X} \in \mathcal{C}_*} |u^{\alpha, LM}(\underline{X})| + B_{LM}^{\alpha}),$$

where  $B_{LM}^{\alpha}$  is the coefficient of Hölder of  $u^{\alpha, LM}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_* \cup \partial \mathcal{C}_*$ .

Analogously  $\mathcal{S}'$  is defined as the Banach space of all the couples  $(\underline{h}, \underline{g})$ , where  $\underline{h} \in C^{0+\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_*$  and  $\underline{g} \in C^{2+\lambda}$  on  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$  and vanishing on  $\partial \mathcal{C}'_*$ , with norm

$$(2.3) \quad \|\underline{h}, \underline{g}\| = \|\underline{h}\|_{C^{0+\lambda}(\mathcal{C}_*)} + \|\underline{g}\|_{C^{2+\lambda}(\partial \mathcal{C}_*)}$$

where the norms in the right side of (2.3) are defined as in (2.2). We shall call  $I$  the open set in  $\mathcal{S}$ , whose elements are such that  $\|\tau^{\alpha, L}\| < \gamma$  when  $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{C}_*$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

In order to prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a local diffeomorphism of class  $C^1$  in  $I$ , let us first observe that:

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\underline{u} + \Delta \underline{u}) - \mathcal{F}(\underline{u}) = (\Delta \underline{h}; \Delta \underline{g}) = \\ = \{ [\text{Div } \underline{T}_* (\tau^{\alpha, L} + \Delta \tau^{\alpha, L}) + \rho_* \varepsilon \underline{b}, \text{Div } \underline{D}_* (\tau^{\alpha, L} + \Delta \tau^{\alpha, L})] \};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(-T_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} + \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_* + \varepsilon \mathfrak{t}_*), (-\phi - \Delta \phi + \mu \bar{\phi})] - \{ [\text{Div } \mathfrak{T}_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) + \\
 & + \rho_* \varepsilon b, \text{Div } \mathfrak{Q}_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L})] ; [(-T_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_* + \varepsilon \mathfrak{t}_*), (-\phi + \mu \bar{\phi})] \} = \\
 & = \{ [\text{Div}(\mathfrak{T}_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} + \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) - \mathfrak{T}_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L})), \text{Div}(\mathfrak{Q}_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} + \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) - \\
 & - \mathfrak{Q}_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}))] ; [(-T_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} + \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_* + T_*(\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_*), (-\Delta \phi)] \} = \\
 & = \{ [\text{Div}(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*}{\partial \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}), \text{Div}(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{Q}_*}{\partial \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L})] ; [(-\frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*}{\partial \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}), (-\Delta \phi)] \} + o(\|\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $o(\|\Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}\|)$  is an higher order infinitesimal as compared with the norm of  $\mathfrak{u}$ .

We observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial X^M} \left( \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} \right) = \\
 & = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^M} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,LM} = \\
 & = \frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\partial \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} \partial \mathfrak{u}^\beta_{,N}} \mathfrak{u}^\beta_{NM} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,LM} = \\
 & = \left( \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{T}_*^{iM}}{\partial \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L} \partial \mathfrak{u}^\beta_{,N}} \mathfrak{u}^\beta_{NM} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L} \right) \Delta \mathfrak{u}^\alpha_{,L}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we define:

$$(3.2)_1 \quad \Lambda_{\alpha}^{iML} \equiv \frac{\partial T_{*}^{iM}}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{,L}} = (L_j^{iML}, P^{iML})$$

where

$$(3.2)_2 \quad L_j^{iML} = \frac{\partial T_{*}^{iM}}{\partial u^j_{,L}}$$

is the elasticity tensor and

$$(3.2)_3 \quad P^{iML} = \frac{\partial T_{*}^{iM}}{\partial \phi_{,L}}$$

is the piezoelectric tensor; if we set

$$B_{\alpha\beta}^{iLMN} = \frac{\partial^2 T_{*}^{iM}}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{,L} \partial u^{\beta}_{,N}},$$

(3.2) becomes

$$(3.3) \quad \left( \Lambda_{\alpha}^{iLM} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + B_{\alpha\beta}^{iLMN} u^{\beta}_{,NM} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L} \right) \Delta u^{\alpha}.$$

Moreover we observe that:

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial X^M} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}^*}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{,L}} \Delta u^{\alpha}_{,L} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X^M} \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}^*}{\partial u_{,L}} \right) \Delta u^{\alpha}_{,L} +$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta u^\alpha_{,LM} &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha_L \partial u^\beta_{,N}} u^\beta_{,NM} \Delta u^\alpha_{,L} + \\
 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha_{,L}} \Delta u^\alpha_{,LM} &= \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha_{,L}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha_{,L} \partial u^\beta_{,M}} u^\beta_{,NM} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^M} \right) \Delta u^\alpha_{,L}
 \end{aligned}$$

If we set:

$$(3.5)_1 \quad \phi_\alpha^{ML} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha} = (\Omega_j^{ML}, \epsilon^{ML})$$

where

$$(3.5)_2 \quad \Omega_j^{ML} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^j_{,L}}$$

$$(3.5)_3 \quad \epsilon^{ML} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial \phi_{,L}}$$

is the piezoelectric tensor, and we use the notation:

$$(3.5)_4 \quad \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{MLN} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}_*^M}{\partial u^\alpha_{,L} \partial u^\beta_{,N}}$$

equation (3.4) becomes:

$$(3.6) \quad \left( \phi_\alpha^{ML} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{MLN} u^\beta_{,NM} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L} \right) \Delta u^\alpha.$$

Taking into account (3.4) and (3.6), (3.1) becomes:

$$\Delta \mathcal{F} = \left\{ \left[ (A_{\alpha}^{iML} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + B_{\alpha\beta}^{iLMN} \tau_{,NM}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L}) \Delta u^{\alpha}, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. (\phi_{\alpha}^{ML} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{MLN} \tau_{,NM}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L}) \Delta u \right]; \left[ (-A_{\alpha}^{iML} \Delta u^{\alpha}_{,L} n_{*L}), (-\Delta\phi) \right] \right\} + O(\|\Delta u_{,L}\|)$$

We observe that the operator  $\mathcal{F}$  is Fréchet derivable for every  $\underline{u} \in \mathcal{F}$ , in fact the operator

$$D_{\underline{u}} \mathcal{F} = \left[ (A_{\alpha}^{iML} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + B_{\alpha\beta}^{iLMN} \tau_{,NM}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L}), (\phi_{\alpha}^{NL} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} + \right. \\ \left. + \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{MLN} \tau_{,NM}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L}) \right]; \left[ (-A_{\alpha}^{iML} n_{*L} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^L}), (-\delta_{\alpha^4}) \right]$$

is bounded and it coincides with the Fréchet derivative of  $\mathcal{F}$  at the point  $\underline{u}$ ; if we calculate  $D_{\underline{u}} \mathcal{F}$  in  $\underline{u} = \underline{0}$ , we shall obtain a system where only the second order derivatives of  $\Delta \underline{u}$  will appear, since

$$\frac{\partial^2 \underline{u}}{\partial X^L \partial X^M} = 0.$$

Therefore if we recall (3.2)<sub>2</sub>, (3.2)<sub>3</sub>, (3.3)<sub>2</sub>, (3.3)<sub>3</sub> and denote by  $\text{Grad } \underline{u}$  the gradient of  $\underline{u}$  with respect to  $X^L$ , the application  $D_0 \mathcal{F} \Delta \underline{u} = (\Delta \underline{h}; \Delta \underline{g}) \equiv [(\Delta h_1, h_2); (\Delta g_1, \Delta g_2)]$  where  $\Delta g_2$  is sectionally constant, can be written as follows:

$$(3.7) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Div}[\underline{L} \cdot \text{Grad} \Delta \underline{u} + \underline{P} \cdot \text{Grad} \Delta \phi] = \Delta h_1 \\ \text{Div}[\underline{\Omega} \cdot \text{Grad} \Delta \underline{u} + \underline{\epsilon} \cdot \text{Grad} \Delta \phi] = \Delta h_2 \\ \Delta \underline{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{C}'_* \quad , \quad -\Delta \phi = \Delta g_2 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{C}_* \\ -[\underline{L} \cdot \text{Grad} \Delta \underline{u} + \underline{P} \cdot \text{Grad} \Delta \phi] \cdot \underline{n}_{*L} = \Delta g_1 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{C}''_* \end{array} \right. \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_*$$

which expresses the equilibrium mixed boundary value problem for a linear elastic dielectric subject to a specific force  $-\Delta h_1$ , surface traction  $-\Delta g_1$ , charge density  $\Delta h_2$  and with potential  $-\Delta g_2$  sectionally constant on  $\partial \mathcal{C}_*$ . Since there is a theorem <sup>(3)</sup> on the existence and uniqueness of the solution for (3.7), then  $D_0 \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism.

By means of the inverse mapping theorem,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a local diffeomorphism of class  $C^1$  in the neighborhood of  $0$ .

This means that  $\mathcal{F}$  generates a one to one mapping between a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}(0)$  and the neighborhood  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$  of

$$\mathcal{F}(0) = [(\rho_*, \epsilon b, 0); (\epsilon t_+, -\mu \bar{\phi})] .$$

Therefore if  $|\epsilon|$  is sufficiently small,  $[(0,0);(0,0)] \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$  and correspondly only one point  $\underline{u}_* \in \mathcal{N}$  exists such that  $\mathcal{F}(\underline{u}_*) = [(0,0);(0,0)]$ . We may then state the following theorem for system (1.3) with mixed boundary conditions for an elastic dielectric.

**THEOREM.** *If conditions i;ii,...,iv) hold, then it is possible to find two positive numbers  $\xi, \tau$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  for which  $\epsilon < \xi$  system (1.3) exhibits one and only one solution*

(3) cfr. [7]

$u_*$  e  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfying condition  $\|u_*\| < \varepsilon$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAFIA

- [1] F. STOPPELLI: "Un teorema di esistenza ed unicità relativo all'equazione dell'elastostatica isoterma per deformazioni finite. *Ricerche di Matematica* Vol. III-1954.
- [2] F. STOPPELLI: "Sulla sviluppabilità in serie di potenze di un parametro delle soluzioni delle equazioni dell'elastostatica isoterma. *Ricerche di Matematica* Vol. IV-1955.
- [3] W. VAN BUREN: "On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to boundary value in finite elasticity. Thesis of Math. Carnegie, Mellon, University 1968. - Cfr. Truesdell e Wang - *Rational elasticity* Noordhoff Intern. publishing 1973.
- [4] A. SIGNORINI: "Sulle deformazioni termodinamiche finite". *Proc. 8th. Int. Congr. - Appl. mecc.* (2) 1950.
- [5] A. SIGNORINI: "Deformazioni elastiche finite: elasticità di II° grado".
- [6] D. IANNECE - A. ROMANO: "A Variational Principle to derive the different boundary problems of equilibrium of elastic dielectrics". *Meccanica of the Italian association of theoretical and applied mechanics*, 1979.
- [7] D. IANNECE: "A stability criterion for the equilibrium of a thermoelastic dielectric in the presence of conductors". *Rend. Acc. Naz. dei Lincei, Serie VIII, Vol. LXVIII, (1980)*.

Lavoro pervenuto alla Redazione il 27 Febbraio 1981  
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 2 Marzo 1981  
su parere favorevole di G. Andreassi e A. Romano