

ON STRONGLY NON-NORMING SUBSPACES

V.B.MOSCATELLI (\*)

ABSTRACT. A Banach space  $X$  has a strongly non-norming subspace in its dual if and only if  $X$  has infinite codimension in its second dual.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A closed subspace  $M$  of the dual  $X'$  is said to be *total* if it is  $w^*$ -dense in  $X'$ .  $M$  is said to be *norming* if its unit ball is  $w^*$ -dense in some multiple of the unit ball of  $X'$ . Clearly, this is equivalent to  $M^1 = X'$ , where  $M^1$  (= the *derived set* of  $M$ ) is the collection of all limits of  $w^*$ -convergent and bounded nets in  $M$ . In any case, we may define the successive derived sets  $M^n$  of  $M$  by  $M^n = (M^{n-1})^1$  and we shall call  $M$  *strongly non-norming* if  $M^n \neq X'$  (i.e.,  $M^n \neq M^{n+1}$ ) for all  $n$ .

In [3] Davis and Lindenstrauss proved, in a constructive and elegant way, that a total non-norming subspace exists in  $X'$  if and only if  $X$  is non-quasi-reflexive (i.e.,  $\dim X''/X = \infty$ ).

In [4] S. Dierolf and the author showed that a certain problem in Fréchet-space theory (the so-called Bellenot-Dubinsky problem) was intimately connected with the Banach-space question of the existence of strongly non-norming subspaces and we refer to [4] for a detailed analysis. Now the latter question has a long history which goes back to Banach himself, who already observed in his

---

(\*) Partially supported by the Italian Ministry of Education (MPI).

book [1, p.213] that there are (many!) quotients of  $c_0$  with strongly non-norming subspaces.

However, the question of the existence of strongly non-norming subspaces is of interest in its own right and in full generality, and led the author to conjecture (cf. [5], Problem 17) that a strongly non-norming subspace exists in the dual of every non-quasi-reflexive Banach space.

The purpose of this note is to settle the conjecture in the affirmative.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with  $\dim X''/X = \infty$ . Then  $X'$  contains a strongly non-norming subspace.*

*Proof.* We accomplish the proof in two steps.

1) First we show inductively that for every  $n$  there is a subspace  $M$  of  $X'$  such that  $M^n \neq X'$ . For  $n=1$  this is already proved in [3].

Now it follows from [2, Corollary 3] and the proof of the theorem in [3] that there are a separable subspace  $Y$  in  $X$  and an infinite-dimensional subspace  $Z$  in  $X''$  such that  $\dim Y''/Y = \infty$  and  $Z \cap (Y'' + X) = \{0\}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $Y'$  contains a total subspace  $V$  with  $V^n \neq Y'$ . If  $q: X' \rightarrow Y'$  is the map which assigns to every  $f \in X'$  its restriction to  $Y$ , it is easily seen that the subspace  $N = q^{-1}(V)$  is total and satisfies  $N^n \neq X'$ .

Since  $Y$  is separable, the  $w^*$ -closure of the unit ball of  $V$  is compact and metrizable in the  $w^*$ -topology, hence is separable and, consequently,  $V$  is  $w^*$ -separable. Let  $(y'_k)$  be a sequence of unit vectors in  $V$  whose linear span is  $w^*$ -dense in  $V$  (hence in  $Y'$ ).

As in the proof of Lemma 1 of [3] we define a map  $T:Y'' \rightarrow Z$  by  $Ty'' = \sum_k \epsilon_k y''(y'_k) z_k$ , where  $(z_k)$  is a normalized basic sequence in  $Z$  and the sequence  $(\epsilon_k)$  satisfies  $\epsilon_k > 0$ ,  $\sum_k \epsilon_k \leq 1/2$ . Put  $U = \{y'' + Ty'' : y'' \in Y''\}$  and  $M = U^\circ$  (polar in  $X'$ ). Clearly  $\ker T \subset U$  and hence  $M \subset (\ker T)^\circ$  (polar in  $X'$ )  $\subset N$ . Now let  $f \in M^1$ : there exists a bounded net  $(f_i)$  in  $M$  such that  $f = w^* - \lim_i f_i$ . Denote by  $p: X' \rightarrow Z'$  the map which associates with each  $f \in X'$  its restriction to  $Z$  and let  $T_Y$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $Y$ . Then  $T_Y$  is compact and hence so is  $T'_Y: Z' \rightarrow Y'$ . Since  $f_i \in M$  we have  $f_i(y) = -f_i(T_Y y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ , i.e.  $q(f_i) = -T'_Y p(f_i)$ . Thus, for a subnet  $(f_j)$  we have that  $q(f_j)$  converges in norm to  $q(f)$  and hence weakly:  $q(f)(y'') = \lim_j q(f_j)(y'')$  for all  $y'' \in Y''$ . Now take any  $y'' \in \ker T$ . Since  $M \subset (\ker T)^\circ$ ,  $q(f_j)(y'') = f_j(y'') = 0$  for all  $j$ , hence  $f(y'') = q(f)(y'') = 0$  and, therefore,  $f \in (\ker T)^\circ \subset N$ .

We have shown that  $M^1 \subset N$ , hence  $M^{n+1} \subset N^n \neq X'$ .

2) By [2, Theorem 2 and Corollary 3]  $X$  contains a basic sequence  $(x_n)$  for which there is a partition  $(N_k)$  of the positive integers into mutually disjoint infinite subsets such that neither  $[x_n : n \in N_k]$  nor  $Z/[x_n : n \in N_k]$  are quasi-reflexive, where  $Z = [x_n]$ . If  $(x'_n)$  is the sequence in  $Z'$  of biorthogonal functionals associated to the sequence  $(x_n)$ , for every  $k$  denote by  $Z'_k$  the  $w^*$ -closure in  $Z'$  of  $\text{span}(x'_n : n \in N_k)$ . By 1) each  $Z'_k$  contains a total subspace  $M_k$  with  $(M_k)^k \neq Z'_k$ . Put  $V = \sum_k M_k$ . If for some  $k$  we had  $V^k = Z'$ , it would follow  $(M_k)^k = Z'_k$  which is impossible. Thus  $V^k \neq X'$  for all  $k$  and  $V$  is strongly non-norming in  $Z'$ . But then so is  $M = q^{-1}(V)$  in  $X'$ , if  $q: X' \rightarrow Z'$  is the canonical quotient map.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.BANACH: "*Théorie des opérations linéaires*". Chelsea, New York 1955.
- [2] W.J.DAVIS and W.B.JOHNSON: "Basic sequences and norming subspaces in non-quasi-reflexive Banach spaces". *Israel J.Math.* 14, 353-367 (1973).
- [3] W.J.DAVIS and J.LINDENSTRAUSS: "On total nonnorming subspaces". *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31, 109-111(1972).
- [4] S.DIEROLF and V.B.MOSCATELLI: "A note on quojections". *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 17, 131-138(1987).
- [5] *Open Problems*, Presented at the Ninth Seminar (Poland-GDR) on Operator Ideals and Geometry of Banach Spaces, Georgenthal, April 1986.

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università - C.P. 193  
I-73100 LECCE  
ITALY