Weakly normal subgroups and classes of finite groups

James C. Beidleman

Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0027, USA clark@ms.uky.edu

Received: 1.3.2012; accepted: 13.7.2012.

Abstract. A subgroup K of a group G is said to be weakly normal in G if $K^g \leq N_G(K)$ implies $g \in N_G(K)$. In this paper we establish certain characterizations of solvable *PST*-groups using some weakly normal subgroups.

Keywords: Weakly normal subgroups, solvable PST-groups

MSC 2000 classification: 20D10, 20D20

1 Introduction and statements of results.

All groups are finite.

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be weakly normal in G provided that if $g \in G$ and $H^g \leq N_G(H)$, then $g \in N_G(H)$. It is not difficult to see that His weakly normal in G if and only if whenever $H \lhd \langle H, H^g \rangle$, then $H \lhd \langle H, g \rangle$. For each group G let WN(G) denote the set of all weakly normal subgroups of G. Notice that if H is normal in G or $N_G(H) = H$, then $H \in WN(G)$. Thus WN(G) contains all maximal subgroups of G. Moreover, WN(G) contains all the pronormal subgroups of G but there are weakly normal subgroups of G which are not pronormal (see [2, p. 28]). Also the join of two pronormal subgroups of a group need not be pronormal but belongs to WN(G) (see [2, p. 28]).

In [5] the authors introduce the concept of a \mathcal{H} -subgroup of a group and prove a number of very interesting results about such subgroups. A subgroup X of a group G is called a \mathcal{H} -subgroup provided that $X^g \cap N_G(X) \leq X$ for all $g \in G$. The authors of [5] denote by $\mathcal{H}(G)$ the set of all \mathcal{H} -subgroups of G. Assume that $X \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ and $X^g \leq N_G(X)$. Then $g \in N_G(X)$ so that $\mathcal{H}(G) \subseteq WN(G)$. In particular, by Proposition 3 of [5], a Sylow subgroup of a normal subgroup of G belongs to WN(G). Moreover, a Hall subgroup of G also is a WN-subgroup of G.

Example 1. ([3]). Let $G = S_4$ and $H = \langle (1\,2\,3\,4) \rangle$. Then $N_G(H) = \langle (1\,2\,3\,4), (1\,3) \rangle$, and if $g = (1\,2\,3), H^g = (1\,4\,2\,3)$, whence $H^g \cap N_G(H) = \langle (1\,2)(3\,4) \rangle \leq H$.

http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/ © 2012 Università del Salento

Thus H is not an \mathcal{H} -group of G. Note that $N_G(H)$ has a unique cyclic group of order 4. Consequently, if $H^x \leq N_G(H)$, x an element of G, then $H^x = H$ and H is weakly normal in G.

However, Ballester-Bolinches and Esteban-Romero [3] proved the following very interesting results.

Theorem 1. Let H be a weakly normal subgroup of the supersolvable group G. Then

- (a) If H is a p-group, p a prime, then H is an \mathcal{H} -subgroup of G.
- (b) If every subgroup of H is weakly normal in G, then H is an H-subgroup of G.

A group G is called a T-group if whenever H and K are subgroups of G such that $H \triangleleft K \triangleleft G$, then $H \triangleleft G$. W. Gaschütz [7] introduced the class of finite T-groups and characterized solvable T-groups. He proved that a solvable group G is a T-group if and only if the nilpotent residual L of G is a normal abelian Hall subgroup of G such that G acts by conjugation on L as power automorphisms and G/L is a Dedekind group.

Bianchi, Mauri, Herzog, and Verardi [5], Ballester-Bolinches and Esteban-Romero [3], and Csörgö and Herzog [6] provide a number of extensions of Gaschütz's [7] characterization of solvable T-groups.

Theorem 2. ([3, 5]). Let G be a group. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) G is a solvable T-group.
- (b) Every subgroup of G belongs to $\mathcal{H}(G)$.
- (c) Every subgroup of G belongs to WN(G).

Theorem 3. ([6]) Let G be a solvable group. Then G is a T-group if and only if there exists a subgroup L of G such that

- (a) L is a normal Hall subgroup of G.
- (b) G/L is a Dedekind group.
- (c) Every subgroup of L of prime power order belongs to $\mathcal{H}(G)$.

Throughout this paper $S_p(G)$ denotes the set of cyclic subgroups of a group G of prime order or of order 4. Also $\overline{S_p}(G)$ denotes the set of subgroups of G of prime power order. Note that $S_p(G) \subseteq \overline{S_p}(G)$.

Theorem A. Let G be a group. Then

- (a) If $S_p(G) \subseteq WN(G)$, then G is supersolvable.
- (b) If $\overline{S_p}(G') \subseteq WN(G)$, then G is supersolvable.

Theorem B. Let G be a group. Then $S_p(G) \leq WN(G)$ if and only if there exist subgroups L and D of G such that

- (a) $G = L \rtimes D$, the semidirect product of L by D.
- (b) L and D are nilpotent Hall subgroups of G.
- (c) $S_p(L) \subseteq WN(G)$.
- (d) $S_p(D) \subseteq WN(D)$.

Theorem C. A group G is a solvable T-group if and only if G has subgroups L and D such that

- (a) $G = L \rtimes D$, the semidirect product of L by D.
- (b) L and D are nilpotent Hall subgroups of G.

(c)
$$\overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq WN(G)$$
.

(d) $\overline{S_p}(D) \subseteq WN(D)$.

One of the purposes of this paper is to determine if a theorem like Theorem C might be proven for some classes of groups related to solvable T-groups. Such classes include solvable PST- and PT-groups. Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is said to permute with a subgroup K of G if HK is a subgroup of G. H is said to be S-permutable if it permutes with all the Sylow subgroups of G. Kegel [8] showed that an S-permutable subgroup of G is subnormal in G. Kegel's result generalized Ore's result [11] that a permutable subgroup of G is subnormal in G. A group G is called a PST-(resp. PT-)group if S-permutability (resp. permutability) is a transitive relation in G, that is, if $H \subseteq K$ are subgroups of G such that H is S-permutative (resp. permutable) in K and K is S-permutable (resp. permutable) in G, then H is S-permutable (resp. PT-)group if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable (resp. PT-)group if G. By Kegel's (resp. Ore's) result G is a PST-(resp. PT-)group if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable (resp. permutable) in G. By Kegel's (resp. Ore's) result G is a PST-(resp. PT-)group if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable (resp. permutable) in G. By Kegel's (resp. Ore's) result G is a PST-(resp. PT-)group if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable (resp. permutable) in G. By Kegel's (resp. Ore's) result G is a PST-(resp. PT-)group if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable (resp. permutable) in G. PST-groups have been studied in detaiil in [1, 2, 4].

Solvable PST- and PT-groups have been characterized by Agrawal [1]. He proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let G be a group. Then

- (a) G is a solvable PST-group if and only if it has a normal abelian Hall subgroup L such that G/L is nilpotent and G acts by conjugation on L as power automorphisms.
- (b) G is a solvable PT-group if and only if it is a solvable PST-group with Iwasawa Sylow subgroups.

G. Zacher [13] proved part (b) of Theorem 4 in 1964. An Iwasawa group is one in which every subgroup is permutable.

Theorem D. A group G is a solvable PST-group if and only if it has subgroups L and D such that

- (a) $G = L \rtimes D$.
- (b) L and D are nilpotent Hall subgroups of G.
- (c) $\overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq WN(G)$.

Theorem E. Let L be a normal Hall subgroup of G such that

- (a) G/L is a *PST*-group.
- (b) Every subnormal subgroup of L is weakly normal in G.

Then G is a PST-group.

Theorem F. A group G is a solvable PST-group if and only if it has a normal nilpotent Hall subgroup L such that G/L is a solvable PST-group and $\overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq WN(G)$.

2 Preliminary results.

By Lemma 2.1 of [10] and Lemma 3 of [3] we have **Lemma 1.** Let H, K and N be subgroups of G. Then

- (a) If $H \leq K$ and $H \in WN(G)$, then $H \in WN(K)$.
- (b) Let $N \leq H$. Then $H \in WN(G)$ if and only if $H/N \in WN(G/N)$.
- (c) If $H \leq \leq K$ and $H \in WN(G)$, then $H \leq K$.

Lemma 2. Let G be a group.

(a) Let M and L be subgroups of G such that ML = LM, (|L|, |M|) = 1 and $G = MN_G(L)$. Then $L \in WN(G)$.

Weakly normal subgroups and classes of finite groups

(b) Let $N \triangleleft G$ and P a p-subgroup of G such that (p, |N|) = 1. If $P \in WN(G)$, then $PN \in WN(G)$ and $PN/N \in WN(G/N)$.

Proof. The exact same proofs of Lemmas 5 and 6 of [6] establish parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.

The next lemma contains results established by Li in [9].

Lemma 3. Let G be a group, p a prime and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

- (a) If p > 2 and every minimal subgroup of P lies in the center of $N_G(P)$, then G is p-nilpotent.
- (b) If p = 2 and every cyclic subgroup of P of order 2 or 4 is normal in $N_G(P)$, then G is 2-nilpotent.
- (c) If G possesses a normal 2-complement N and if every minimal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup R of N is normal in $N_G(R)$, then G is supersolvable.

3 Proofs of the Theorems.

Proof of Theorem A. (a) Assume that $S_p(G) \subseteq WN(G)$. Let P be a Sylow psubgroup of G, p a prime and let $U \leq P$ be a subgroup of order p or 4 if p = 2. Then $U \in S_p(G) \subseteq WN(G)$ and $U \lhd \lhd N_G(P)$. By part (c) of Lemma 1 $U \lhd N_G(P)$. Hence for every prime p the subgroups of $S_p(P)$ are normal in $N_G(P)$. Thus G is supersolvable by parts (b) and (c) of Lemma 3. Thus (a) is true. We also note (a) follows from Theorem 3.1 of [10].

Assume that $\overline{S_p}(G') \subseteq WN(G)$. We may assume that $G' \neq 1$. Note that $S_p(G') \subseteq \overline{S_p}(G') \subseteq WN(G')$ by part (a) of Lemma 1 and hence G' is supersolvable. Clearly G is solvable. Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in G'. M is an elementary abelian q-group for some prime q. Let $\langle x \rangle$ be a subgroup of M of order q. Then $\langle x \rangle \in WN(G)$ and by part (c) of Lemma 1 $\langle x \rangle \triangleleft G$. Consider $G/\langle x \rangle$ and note $G'/\langle x \rangle = (G/\langle x \rangle)'$. By part (b) of Lemma 1 and part (b) of Lemma 2 $\overline{S_p}(G'/\langle x \rangle) \leq WN(G/\langle x \rangle)$. Hence, by induction, $G/\langle x \rangle$ is supersolvable and so G is also supersolvable. Thus (b) is also true.

Proof of Theorem B. Assume that $S_p(G) \subseteq WN(G)$. By part (a) of Theorem A G is supersolvable. Thus $S_p(G) \subseteq WN(G) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(G)$ by part (a) of Theorem 1. By Theorem 10 of [6] there are subgroups L and D of G which satisfy L and D are Hall nilpotent subgroups of G such that $L \triangleleft G$ and $G = L \rtimes D$. Also $S_p(L)$ consists of normal subgroups of G and $S_p(D)$ consists of normal subgroups of D. Therefore, (a)–(d) holds. Conversely, assume that G has Hall subgroups L and D which satisfy (a)– (d). By part (c) of Lemma 1 the subgroups in $S_p(L)$ are all normal in G and the subgroups in $S_p(D)$ are normal in D. Thus, by Theorem 10 of [6], $S_p(G) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(G)$. But $\mathcal{H}(G) \subseteq WN(G)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

QED

Proof of Theorem C. Assume G satisfies (a)–(d) and let X be a q-subgroup of L, q a prime. By (b) L is nilpotent and so $X \lhd \lhd G$. By (c) $X \in WN(G)$ and hence, by part (c) of Lemma 1, $X \lhd G$. Thus L is a Dedekind group. Now let us assume X has order q. Then L/X is a Hall nilpotent subgroup of G/X and $\overline{S_p}(L/X) \subseteq WN(G/X)$ by part (b) of Lemma 1. Also DX/X is a nilpotent Hall subgroup of G/X and it is isomorphic to D. Thus $\overline{S_p}(DX/X) \subseteq WN(DX/X)$. This means that G/X satisfies (a)–(d) with respect to L/X and DX/X. By induction on the order of G, G/X is supersolvable and so G is supersolvable. This means L is abelian and G acts on L as power automorphisms. By Gaschütz's Theorem [7] it is enough to show D is a Dedekind group. By part (a) of Theorem $1 \ \overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(G)$ and $\overline{S_p}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(D)$. Let r be a prime divisor of the order of D and let R be the Sylow r-subgroup of D. Note that $\overline{S_p}(R) = \mathcal{H}(R)$. Let Y be a subgroup of R. Then $Y \lhd R$ by part (c) of Lemma 1. Thus D is a Dedekind group.

Conversely, assume that G is a solvable T-group. Then, by Gaschütz's Theorem [7], the nilpotent residual L of G is a normal abelian Hall subgroup of Gon which G acts as power automorphisms and G/L is a Dedekind group. Let Dbe a system normalizer of G. By the Gaschütz, Shenkman and Carter Theorem, Theorem 9.2.7 of [12, p. 264], $G = L \rtimes D$. Thus (a) and (b) hold. Since all the subgroups of L are normal in G it follows that $\overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq WN(G)$. Likewise, since D is a Dedekind group, $\overline{S_p}(D) \subseteq WN(D)$. This completes the proof. QED

Proof of Theorem D. Assume that G is a solvable PST-group and let L be the nilpotent residual of G. By part (a) of Theorem 4 L is an abelian normal Hall subgroup of G on which G acts by conjugation as power automorphisms. Let D be a system normalizer of G. By Theorem 9.2.7 of [12, p. 264] $G = L \rtimes D$. Note that D is a nilpotent Hall subgroup of G. Let X be a subgroup of L. Then $X \triangleleft G$ and so $\overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq WN(G)$. Therefore, (a), (b) and (c) are true.

Conversely, assume (a), (b) and (c) hold for the group G. Then G has nilpotent Hall subgroups L and D such that $L \triangleleft G$ and $G = L \rtimes D$. Clearly G is solvable. Note that G/L is nilpotent and hence is a PST-group. Let X be a subgroup of L of prime power order. Then $X \in \overline{S_p}(L) \subseteq WN(G)$ and $X \triangleleft \triangleleft G$. By part (c) of Lemma 1 $X \triangleleft G$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4 of [1] G is a solvable PST-group. QED

120

Weakly normal subgroups and classes of finite groups

Proof of Theorem E. Let L be a normal Hall subgroup of G such that G/L is a *PST*-group and every subnormal subgroup of L belongs to WN(G). Let X be a subnormal subgroup of L. Then X is subnormal in G and hence X is normal in G by part (c) of Lemma 1. Thus G is a *PST*-group by Theorem 2.4 of [1]. QED

Proof of Theorem F. This follows from Theorem 2.3 of [1] and Theorem E. QED

References

- R.K. AGRAWAL: Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1975), 77–83.
- [2] M. ASAAD, A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO: Products of finite groups, volume 53 of de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2010.
- [3] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO: On finite T-groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 75 (2003), 181–191.
- [4] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO: On finite soluble groups in which Sylow permutability is a transitive relation, Acta Math. Hungar., **101** (2003), 193–202.
- [5] M. BIANCHI, A.G.B. MAURI, M. HERZOG, L. VERARDI: On finite solvable groups in which normality is a transitive relation, J. Group Theory, 3 (2000), 147–156.
- [6] P. CSÖRGÖ, M. HERZOG: On supersolvable groups and the nilpotator, Comm. Algebra, 32 (2004), 609–620.
- [7] W. GASCHÜTZ: Gruppen, in denen das Normalteilersein transitiv ist, J. Reine Angew. Math., 198 (1957), 87–92.
- [8] O.H. KEGEL: Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z., 78 (1962), 205–221.
- [9] SHIRONG LI: On minimal subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra, 22 (1994), 1913– 1918.
- [10] XIANHUA LI, TAO ZHAO: Weakly normal subgroups of finite groups, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (2010), 745–753.
- [11] O. ORE: Contributions to the theory of groups of finite order, Duke Math. J., 5 (1939), 431–460.
- [12] D.J.S. ROBINSON: A course in the theory of groups, volume 80 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1996.
- [13] G. ZACHER: I gruppi risolubili finiti in cui i sottogruppi di composizione coincidono con i sottogruppi quasi-normali, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8), 37 (1964), 150–154.