VOWEL ASSIMILATION IN ÈKÌTÌ DIALECT OF YORÙBÁ LANGUAGE¹

TÈMÍTÓPÉ OLÚMÚYÌWÁ Adekunle Ajasin University (Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria)

Abstract – Vowel assimilation is a common phonological process in Yorùbá language and its numerous dialects. However, the occurrence of this process differs in the language and its dialects. It is against this backdrop that this study investigates vowel assimilation in Èkìti dialects of Yorùbá. The paper, which is basically descriptive, makes a careful comparison of the phonological process in the dialects and Standard Yorùbá language. The paper shows that unlike Standard Yorùbá, vowel assimilation is confined to certain constructions in Èkìtì dialects. The paper also posits that unlike Standard Yorùbá which employs two assimilation rules, Èkìtì dialects employ one assimilation rule. The rule is however, blocked when there need to maintain contrast.

Keywords: Èkìtì; Dialects; Yorùbá; Vowel; Assimilation.

1. Introduction

The inadequate attention paid to the study of Yorùbá dialects probably informs Awóbùlúyì's (1992, 1998) appeal to Yorùbá linguists to research more into these dialects. He believes such dialects deserve to be studied in their own right and thereby be preserved in written record for posterity. In addition to this, the linguist is of the opinion that the study of these dialects will provide some grammatical expositions that may teach us new things that would help to clarify some linguistic issues that are likely to remain hidden in Yorùbá language. Ever since the appeal, there have been a number of scholarly works on different Yorùbá dialects. This present study is also a response to Awóbùlúyì's call. The study which is basically descriptive affords us the opportunity to describe vowel assimilation as it were in Èkìtì dialects of Yorùbá language without being unduly hampered by the dictates of any phonological theories. We assume this would shed light on what is permitted and or disallowed in the dialects.

Èkìtì dialect is one of the dialects of the Central Yorùbá (CY) dialect group. According to Awóbùlúyì (1998:2), other dialects in the group are Ìjẹ̀ṣà, Ìfẹ̀ and Mo̓bà. Èkìtì dialect is spoken mainly in Èkìtì State as well as in some parts of Ondo State of Nigeria. In Ekiti State, Èkìtì dialect is spoken in fourteen local government areas of the sixteen local government areas in the State. These local governments are: Adó, Ìdó/Òsì, Gbónyìn, Ìjerò, Qyé, Ìko̓lé, Ìsẹ̀-Ọ̀rún, Ėfòn-Alààyè, Emùré, Ìkéré, Ìrépòdùn/Ìfélódùn, Èkìtì West, Èkìtì East and Èkìtì South West. Each town or village in these local governments speaks a variant of the dialect. Aggregation of these variants is regarded as Èkìtì dialects.

¹ This paper is a revised version of the earlier one (Vowel Assimilation in Èkìtì) presented at the conference of the West African Language Congress (WALC) University of Ibadan, July 31-August 2, 2013.



2. Assimilation

Crystal (2008, p. 39) says "assimilation occurs when there is influence of one distinct sound segment upon the articulation of another, so that the sounds become alike or identical" physically or intrinsically. Assimilation may be partial or total. It is partial when a sound segment acquires some of the phonological features of the segment that precedes or follows it. Consider (1) below:

1.	iN-balance	\rightarrow	imbalance
	iN-possible	\rightarrow	impossible

/N/ becomes [m] before bilabial consonants due to the effects of place of articulation of the consonants. In total assimilation, the two segments have identical features as in

2.	ilé +	ìwé →	ilé èwé	'school'
	house	book	house book	

Where vowel /i/ now becomes identical with vowel /e/ which influenced it.

Assimilation can also be classified in terms of direction in which it works. It can be regressive, that is, the changing or conditioned segment is modified due to the influence of the conditioning segment following it. This is right to left assimilation where $v_{1+}v_2 \rightarrow v_{2+}v_2$ in a word boundary. For Example:

3.	Yorùbá		
	$V_1 v_2$		v_2v_2
i.	aṣọ + òkè	\rightarrow	așoòkè
	cloth top		'native-women cloth'

where $o v_1$ takes all the features of $o v_2$.

	V1 V2		V_2V_2
ii.	kú àlẹ́	\rightarrow	káàlẹ
	greeting evening (verb)		'good evening'

where $v_1(\dot{u})$ takes all the segmental features of $v_2(\dot{a})$ but retains its prosodic feature, in this case, the tone.

Assimilation can also be progressive, that is, left to right type, where $v_1 + v_2 \rightarrow v_1 + v_1$ in a word boundary. In this case, the conditioning vowel comes before the conditioned vowel such that there is forward movement between the assimilating and the assimilated vowel as in (4).

4.	Yorùt	Dá		
i.	V ₁ ilé house	V2 işę́ work	\rightarrow	V ₁ V ₁ iléesé 'office'
ii.	V1 ệtù powder	V1 ìbọn gun	\rightarrow	V1V1 ètùùbọn 'gunpowder'

Oyèbádé (1998:58-62) identifies four major domains of assimilation. These are vowel-vowel assimilation; consonant-consonant assimilation, consonant-vowel assimilation and vowel-



consonant assimilation. Majorly, standard Yorùbá and its dialects operate vowel-vowel assimilation.

3. Vowel assimilation in Yorùbá

Vowel assimilation is a phonological process that has been well studied by many scholars of the language. Some of these scholars include Awóbùlúyì (1964, pp. 72-79, 1982; 1992); Bámgbósé (1965, pp. 160; 1990, pp. 47-50), (Oyèláràn (1971), Awóyalé (1985) and Oyèbádé (2007, pp. 227-233). Vowel assimilation is seen as the transformation of a distinct vowel to become similar to another vowel. There are two principal types of vowel assimilation that are known to occur at word boundary in Yorùbá. According to Awóbùlúyì (1982:1), they are schematized by the following two assimilation rules:

Assimilation Rule 1 $V1 + V2 \rightarrow V1 + V1$ Assimilation Rule 2

 $V1 + V2 \rightarrow V2 + V2$

Assimilation Rule 1, which holds for vowel /i/ only under normal circumstances, is preservative (progressive) because it shows that the second of the two juxtaposed vowel assume the form of the first vowel. In other words, the feature of V1 perseveres until it shows up on the following segment as witnessed in (5).

5.	Yorùba	á		
i.	Isé v1 work	ìlú v2 town	\rightarrow	iséèlú v1 v1 'community work'
ii.	erù	igi sticks	\rightarrow	erùugi 'load of firewood'

Assimilation rule 2 which is anticipatory (regressive) requires the first of two abutting vowels to take the form of the vowel occurring immediately to its right if the vowel stands for any oral vowel other than /i/as shown in (6) below:

6.	Yorùbá		
i.	ìyá ẹgbẹ v1 v2 mother union	\rightarrow	ìyé egbé v2 v2 'female leader'
ii.	ará oko _{v1 v2} fellow farm	\rightarrow	aróoko $v^2 v^2$ 'a rustic fellow'
iii.	owó èjè _{v1 v2} money blood	\rightarrow	owéèjè v2v2 'blood money'

4. Vowel assimilation in Èkìtì dialects

In this section, we shall examine the environments typical for occurrence of vowel assimilation in Èkitì dialects. These environments can be classified into two: (a) contiguous segment assimilation and (b) non-contiguous assimilation:

a. Contiguous Segment Assimilation

As in standard Yorùbá, this type of vowel assimilation occurs across word boundary. Èkìtì contiguous vowel assimilation typically occurs in the following environments:

- i. Grammatical elements and subject noun
- ii. Grammatical elements and subject pronoun
- iii. The greeting verb $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\hat{u}}$ and vowel initial noun
- iv. Subject NP and negation markers
- b. Non-Contiguous Assimilation
- i. Poly-morphemic words
- ii. Numerals

4.1 Contiguous segment assimilation

4.1.1. Grammatical elements and subject noun

In Èkìtì dialects, the grammatical elements $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{i}$ 'if' and $\mathbf{s}\mathbf{e}$ 'question marker' have their final vowels assimilated to that of the following vowel initial noun as exemplified below.

7. Kí	Ìkéré	
i.	kí úlé wó \rightarrow if house collapse	kú ulé wó 'if the house collapse'
ii.	kí adé á \rightarrow if Adé come	ká adé á 'if Adé comes'
iii.	kí elédè jé \rightarrow ^{if pig eat}	ké elédè jé if pig eats it
Şé		
i.	$\begin{array}{cc} \text{Sé olè ni} \\ \text{? thief foc} \end{array} \longrightarrow$	Só olè ni? 'is he a thief?'
ii.	Sé òbo lo pè á \rightarrow ? monkey foc call us	Số ộbọ lo pè á 'Do you think we are fools?'
iii.	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sé adé lo} & \longrightarrow \\ \text{? Adé go} & \end{array}$	Sá adé lọ 'Did Adé go? '

Assimilation rule 2: $(V_1 + V_2 \rightarrow V_2 + V_2)$ accounts for vowel assimilation in (7).

4.1.2. Grammatical elements and subject pronoun

Similar to what obtains in standard Yorùbá, the grammatical elements: **bí** 'if' and **ìjé**, **sé** (question markers) in Èkìtì dialects have their final vowel assimilated to that of the following pronouns in (8)

8. Bí	Ìdó – a dia	lect in Èl	cìtì	
i.	bí o gbe if you carry	\rightarrow	bó o gbe 'if you carry it'	
ii.	bí a lọ _{if we go}	\rightarrow	bá a lọ 'if we go'	
iii.	bí ọn lọ _{if they go}		bộn ọn lọ 'if they go'	
			T	



Ìsẹ̀ Nję́			
i.	Njé o lo ? you go	\rightarrow	Njó ọ lọ? 'Did you go?'
ii.	Njé a sùn ? we sleep	\rightarrow	Njá a sùn? 'Did we sleep?'
iii.	Njé ọn á ? they come	\rightarrow	Njón ọn á? 'Did they come?'
		la at int	11 \.\
Sé	Ìlúpéjú – a dia		K1t1
Şé i.	Sé o gbe ? you carry	\rightarrow	Só o gbe? 'Did you carryy it?'
Sé i. ii.	Şé o gbe	\rightarrow	Şó o gbe?

Like (7) above, the vowel assimilation rule in (8) is assimilation rule 2.

4.1.3 The greeting verb kú and vowel initial noun

Also, like Yorùbá, vowel assimilation occurs between the greeting verb $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\hat{u}}$ and the initial vowel of following noun in Èkìtì dialects. The vowel of the verb is assimilated to the initial vowel of the nominal as shown in (9)

9.	Qy <u>é</u>		
i.	kú ẹyẹ́ ? harmattan	\rightarrow	kéeye 'Greeting during harmattan'
ii.	kú òsín ? afternoon	\rightarrow	kóòsín 'good afternooon'
iii.	kú òúrò ? morning	\rightarrow	kóòúrò 'good morning'
iv	kú oriire ? luck	\rightarrow	kóoriire congratulations
v.	kú ulé ? house	\rightarrow	kúulé 'greetings for somebody met at home.'

Vowel assimilation does not occur in (9v) above for obvious reason. The vowel of the greeting verb and the initial vowel of the nominal are similar. Again, vowel assimilation rule 2 is applicable here.

4.1.4 Subject NP and negative marker

Olúmúyìwá (2006, p. 28; 2010, p. 3) says 'the negation markers in Èkìtì are **kè; i, rì; móò/móò**'. Two of these four negation markers: **kè** and **i** are relevant to our discussion on vowel assimilation in the dialects. Like in standard Yorùbá, the subject NP in Èkìtì may be a noun or pronoun.

4.1.5 The negation marker kè 'not'

When the consonant of the negativizing morpheme $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\hat{o}}$ 'not' gets deleted in Yorùbá, its standard vowel $\mathbf{\hat{o}}$ is assimilated to the vowel of the pronoun as shown in 10.

10.	Pronoun	Negative Maker	Verb		
	n	ò	lọ	\rightarrow n 'n lọ	'I didn't go'
	mi	ò	lọ	→ mi ì lọ	'I didn't go'
	ę	ò	lọ	→ ẹ è lọ	'You didn't go'
	а	ò	lọ	\rightarrow a à lọ	'We didn't go'
	wọn	ò	lọ	\rightarrow wọn òn lọ	'They didn't go'

Assimilation rule 2 would normally have been expected in (10) above but assimilation rule 1 is applied. Any attempt to make assimilation rule 2 apply willingly in (10) would end up creating an ambiguous output string of this form:

11. O ò lo - you did not go for (10) above which is diametrically opposed to the intended meaning.

This is why the application of vowel assimilation rule 2 is blocked to the advantage of Rule 1 for semantic reasons in order to avoid or at least minimize homonymy (Awóbùluyì 1992, p. 9).

Èkitì dialects also obligatorily delete the negator's consonant, thereby enabling its stranded vowel to assimilate to the last vowel of any preceding item as shown in 12 (a-c).

12.	Adó – a dialect in È	kìtì			
	Ι		II		III
a.	Sànyà kè sùn Sànyà NEG sleep	\rightarrow	Sànyà è sùn consonant deletion	\rightarrow	Sànyá à sùn 'Sànyà did not sleep'
b.	A kè sùn We NEG sleep	\rightarrow	A è sùn consonant Deletion	\rightarrow	Á à sùn 'We did not sleep'
c.	[NP Ø] ó kè sùn HTS NEG sleep		[NP Ø] ó è sùn HTS consonant sleep Deletion	\rightarrow	[NP Ø] é è sùn 'He did not sleep'

As Olúmúyìwá (2006, p. 38) says, 'Sàlàwú (1998, 2001) believes the tonal change in the last syllable of the subject NP in step III of 12(a-b) occurs because a verb phrase immediately follows it'. On the contrary, Olúmúyìwá (2010, p. 4) is also of the opinion that the tone in question is the high tone syllable (the HTS) $\mathbf{\acute{o}}$ which regularly occurs before the negation $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\check{e}}$ in $\mathbf{\check{E}}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{\check{t}}\mathbf{i}$ dialects. This is shown more clearly in (13).

13.

I Sànyà ó kè sùn Sànyà HTS NEG sleep	Base form	II A ó kè sùn We HTS NEG sleep
Sànyà ´ è sùn Sànyà HTS NEG sleep	HTS Vowel Deletion	A ´ è sùn We HTS NEg sleep
Sànyá è sùn Sànyà NEG sleep	Tone Transfer	Á è sùn We Neg sleep

Sànyá à sùn	Vowel Assimilation	Á à sùn
Sànyà NEG sleep		We NEG sleep
'Sànvà did not sleep'		'We did not sleep'

Vowel assimilation does not occur in the type of construction in 12a in Yorùbá. There is no utterance like 14.

*Sànyà à sùn/Sànyò ò sùn 14. Sànyà ò sùn \rightarrow

However, like Standard Yorùbá, if vowel assimilation rule 2 is not blocked to the advantage of rule 1 that occurs in 12(a-b) in Èkiti dialects, we shall have an ambiguous output string of this form.

15. Sànyé è sùn \rightarrow *é è sùn.

Just as it did in (10), the rule is actually appearing to serve the purpose of maintaining contrast by obviating homophony in the dialects.

As contained in Olúmúviwá (2010, p. 4), Ø in 12(c) indicates a phonetically null third person pronoun, which shows that the subject pronoun's position is vacant. As the pronoun never shows up in its position in this utterance type in Ekiti dialects, the HTS necessarily retains its vowel there. Only the consonant of the negator that gets deleted, and that deletion paved the way for the vowel of the HTS to assimilate to the vowel of the negator as in the derivation in (16) below.

16.

[NP Ø]	ó kè sùn HTS NEG sleep	Base form
[NP Ø]	Ó È SÙN HTS NEG sleep	Deletion
[NP Ø] s'He did 1	é è sùn HTS NEG sleep 10t sleep'	Assimilation

Just as we have in examples (7-9) above, vowel assimilation rule 2 accounts for assimilation in (16).

4.1.6 The negative marker i 'not'

Like kè, the HTS occurs regularly before the negation marker i in Èkiti dialects. Witness its occurrence in (17).

17.	Ìgèdè – a dialect in È	Ekìtì		
i.	Ayò ó i sùn Ayò HTS NEG sleep	\rightarrow	Ayò ' i sùn \rightarrow	Ayố i sùn Ayộ did not sleep
ii.	Sògo ó i sùn Şogo HTS NEG sleep	\rightarrow	Şògo′i sùn →	Sògó i sùn 'Sògo did not sleep'
iii.	[NP Ø] ó i sùn HTS NEG sleep	\rightarrow	$[NP \emptyset] \circ i s un \rightarrow$	[NP Ø] é i sùn 'He did not sleep'
iv.	[NP Ø] ó i se olè HTS NEG be thief	\rightarrow	$[NP \ \emptyset]$ ó i șe olè \rightarrow	[NPØ] é i șe olè 'He is not a thief'

As shown in 17 (i-ii) above, Olúmúyìwá (2010, p. 6) reports that the vowel of the HTS gets deleted with its tone subsequently transferred to the last syllable of the subject NP. However, in 17 (iii-iv) where the subject NP in the form of the third person singular subject pronoun fails to show up, the HTS retains it form. There is partial assimilation between the HTS and the negator **i**. This is shown more clearly in (18) below:

18. $\acute{0}+i \rightarrow \acute{e}+i \rightarrow ei$

This type of assimilation is known to operate extensively in Yorùbá dialects, especially the Òyó dialect, (Abímbólá and Oyèláràn 1975: 44-45) as shown in (19).

19. i.	òyìnbó	\rightarrow	òìnbó	\rightarrow	èèbó	'white man'
ii.	mo fi gé e	\rightarrow	mo í gé e	\rightarrow	me e gé e	'I used it to cut something'

4.2 Non-contiguous assimilation

Non-Contiguous assimilation occurs in some poly-morphemic words in Èkìtì dialects. These words are words with nominalizing prefix **oní** and some numerals.

4.2.1 Nominalization with agentive morpheme Oní

In Standard Yorùbá as well in Èkìtì dialects, the vowel **o** in **oní** is assimilated to the initial oral vowel of the following noun if the initial oral vowels in question are not **i** or **u**. Hence in both Standard Yorùbá and Èkìtì dialects, we have:

20.	Yorùba	á		Èkìtì (.	Ayédùn)	
	А	В	С	Α	В	С	
	Base	Deletion	Assimilation	Base	Deletion	Assimilation	
i.	oní igi	onígi		oní igi	onígi		'owner/seller of plank'
ii.	oní işu	oníșu		oní ușu	oníșu		'owner/seller of yam'
iii.	oní owó	olówo	olówo	oní eó	oleo	eléo	'rich person'
iv.	oní eja	oléja	eléja	oní eja	oléja	eléja	'owner/seller of fish'
v.	oní okò	olókò	olókò	oní ọkỳ	olókò	olókò	'driver'
vi.	oní aṣọ	oláșọ	aláșọ	oní aso	oláșọ	aláșọ	'owner/seller of cloth'

In Yorùbá and many of its dialects, Èkìtì inclusive, the popular view holds that **l** and **n** are allophones of the same phoneme, with the former occurring before oral vowels only and the later before nasal vowels. As noticed in 20 (i-ii), the rule by which the **o** gets assimilated to the oral vowel of the noun does not operate when the oral vowels in question are **i** or **u**. However, in 20 (iii-vi), the rule of vowel assimilation that often accompanies $\mathbf{l} \sim \mathbf{n}$ alternation is applied. Vowel assimilation rule 2 accounts for assimilation in 20 (iii-vi).

4.2.2 The numerals

The derivation of some numerals in Èkiti dialects involves vowel assimilation. Consider (21):

10

21.							
i.	I òkan lé èwá one plus ten	\rightarrow	II òkàn l [´] èwá	\rightarrow	III òkànléwá	\rightarrow	IV òkànlówá eleven
ii.	èjì lé èwà two plus ten	\rightarrow	èjì l [´] èwá	\rightarrow	èjìléwá	\rightarrow	èjìlówá twelve
iii.	èta lé èwá three plus ten	\rightarrow	èta l [´] èwá	\rightarrow	ètàl éwá	\rightarrow	ètàlówá thirteen
iv.	ệrìn lé ệwá four plus ten	\rightarrow	èrùn l' èwá	\rightarrow	èrìnléwá	\rightarrow	èrìnlówá fourteen

As the derivation of these four numeral shows in (21), \mathbf{e} in $\mathbf{\dot{e}w}\mathbf{\dot{a}}$ acquires (+ back) feature in \mathbf{a} (cf. Yusuf 2007:45) to become \mathbf{o} . However, in $\mathbf{\dot{E}kiti}$ dialects spoken in Arámoko and $\mathbf{\dot{E}rio}$, the assimilation is full. Vowel \mathbf{e} is assimilated to \mathbf{a} as witnessed in (22) below.

22.							
i.	òkàn lé èwá one plus ten	\rightarrow	òkan l´ éwá	\rightarrow	òkànléwá	\rightarrow	òkànláwá _{eleven}
ii.	èjì lé èwá two plus ten	\rightarrow	èjì l [´] èwá	\rightarrow	èjìléwá	\rightarrow	èjìláwá ^{twelve}
iii.	ètà lé èwá three plus ten	\rightarrow	ệtà l´ ệwá	\rightarrow	ètàl éwá	\rightarrow	ètàláwá thirteen
iv.	ệrìn lé ệwá four plus ten	\rightarrow	<pre>èrìn l´ èwá</pre>	\rightarrow	èrìnléwá	\rightarrow	èrìnláwá ^{fourteen}

Notice that vowel assimilation rule 2 also account for assimilation in (22).

5. Other environments of assimilation

There are other environments in Yorùbá where vowel assimilation occurs but not in Èkìtì dialects. These environments are: nominal + nominal junction and in mono-morphemic words.

5.1 Nominal + Nominal

Notice that we have shown in examples (4-6) above that vowel assimilation occurs in nominal + nominal junction in Yorùbá. For example:

23.			
i.	ìlé ìwé house book	\rightarrow	ilé èwé 'school'
ii.	ètù ìbọn powder gun	\rightarrow	ętuùbọn 'gunpowder'
iii.	owó èjè money blood	\rightarrow	OWĘŻJĘ blood money
iv.	erú owó slave money	\rightarrow	eróowó 'subservient of money/a slavish-yes man to money'

Ògúnşakin (1984, p. 12-13) is of the opinion that this type of vowel assimilation occurs in Èkitì dialect spoken in Ìkòlé as in:

24.	i.	ulé ìwé house book	\rightarrow	uléèwé 'school'
	ii.	ẹmu ìkộlé palmwine ìkộlé	\rightarrow	emuukòlé Ìkòle's palmwine

However, new findings as contained in Olúmúyìwá (1990:72) show that vowel assimilation does not occur in nominal junction in Èkìtì dialects. More so, Ìkòlé and most Èkìtì dialects exhibit the occurrence of /u/ word-initial (cf. Olúmúyìwá 2006:107). As such, the type of vowel assimilation described in (24) is not attestable in the dialects.

There is no utterance like:

25.	i.	ulé uwe house book	\rightarrow	*uléèwé/ulúùwé
	ii.	ẹmu ùkòlé	\rightarrow	*emuukolé
	iii.	irá òrun people heaven	\rightarrow	*iróòrun masquerade
	iv.	ùlé ẹjợ house case	\rightarrow	*uléejó 'counrt'

5.2 Mono-Morphemic words

In some mono-morpheme words in Yorùbá, vowel assimilation may occur, as witness in (26) below.

26.	Base		Deletion		Vowel Assimilation	
	agogo	\rightarrow	aogo	\rightarrow	aago	'clock'
	orirun	\rightarrow	oirun	\rightarrow	oòrùn	'sun'
	efífún	\rightarrow	eífín	\rightarrow	èéfín	'smoke'

The type of assimilation described (26) above is not attested in Èkitì dialects. What we have in such words in the dialects is consonant deletion. This is exemplified below:

27.	Emùré – a dialect in Èkìtì							
	Base	Deletion		Vowel Assimilation				
	agogo →	aogo	\rightarrow	*aago	'clock'			
	orìrùn →	oirùn	\rightarrow	*oorun	'sun'			
	àkíké →	àíke	\rightarrow	*àáké	'axe'			
	efífí →	èífí	\rightarrow	*èéfí	'smoke'			

6. CONCLUSION

An attempt has been made in this study to examine vowel assimilation in Èkìtì dialects. The paper explained the concept of assimilation and vowel assimilation in Yorùbá. It was able to show that Yorùbá employ two rules to account for vowel assimilation. Also, the paper shows the environments in which vowel assimilation occurs in Èkìtì dialects. As observed in the



study, these environments are classified into two: Contiguous segment assimilation and noncontiguous assimilation. Unlike standard Yorùbá, vowel assimilation does not occur in nominal + nominal junction and in mono-morphemic words in Èkìtì dialects. The conclusion to be drawn from the occurrence of vowel assimilation in the Èkìtì dialects shows that, unlike Standard Yorùbá which employs two vowel assimilation rules, Èkìtì dialects employ one assimilation rule: $V_1 + V_2 \rightarrow V_2 + V_2$. However, the rule is blocked whenever there is need to maintain contrast by obviating homophony in the dialects. In addition, the finding of this study have provided a baseline input for more linguistic data coming from Èkìtì dialects of Yorùbá for dialectal and dialectological studies.

References

- Abímbólá W. and Oyèláràn O. 1975, *Consonant Elision in Yorùbá*, in "African Languages Studies" 16, pp.17-60.
- Awóbùlúyì O. 1964, *The Phonology and Morphophonemics of Yorùbá*. Master's Thesis. Faculty of Philosophy, Columbia University, New York.
- Awóbùlúyì O. 1982, *Vowel Assimilation in Yorùbá*, Paper presented at 15th W.A.L Congress, Port Harcourt, April 4-10.
- Awóbùlúyì O. 1992, Aspect of Contemporary Standard Yorùbá in Dialectological Perspective, in Akínwùmí (ed.) New Findings in Yorùbá Studies, J.F. Odunjo Memorial Lecture Series No 3 Lagos, J.F Odúnjo Memorial Lecture Organizing Committee.
- Awóbùlúyì O. 1998, "*Àwon Èka-èdè Yorùbá*, Paper presented at YSAN Conference Pastoral Institute Bodija, Ibadan.
- Awóyalé Y. 1985, Vowel Assimilation and Elision in Yorùbá: The interface of Phonology and Syntax. 6th Annual Conference, Linguistic Association of Nigeria, A.B.U. Zaira.
- Bámgbósé A. 1966, *Assimilation and Contraction in Yorùbá*, in "Journal of West African Language" 1 [1], reprinted as Appendix IV in Bámgbósé A, *A Grammar of Yorùbá*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 160-165.
- Bámgbósé A. 1990, Fonólójì àti Gírámà Yorùbá, University Press, Ìbàdan.
- Crystal D. 2008, A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics, Blackwell Publishing London, Oxford.
- Ògúnşakin, J.O. 1984, *Mofólójì àti Síntáàsì Èdè Ìkòlé-Èkìtì gégé bí Èka-èdè Yorùbá*, B.A Long Essay, University of Ilorin.
- Olúmúyìwá T. 1990, Àyèwò Fonólójì Yorùbá Èkìtì, M.A. Thesis, University of Ìlorin.
- Olúmúyìwá T. 2006, *Àwon Wúnrèn Onítumò Gírámà Nínú Àwon Èka-èdè Àárín Gbùngbùn* Yorùbá, Ph.D Thesis, Adékúnlé Ajáşin University, Àkùngbá-Àkókó.
- Olúmúyìwá T. 2010, *Negation in Èkìtì: A Critique*, in "California Lin'gwistik Notes "35 [2], pp. 1-8.
- Owólábi K. 1989, *Ìjìnlè Ìtúpalè Èdè Yorùbá: Fonétíìkì àti Fonólójì*. Oníbonòjé Press and Books Nigeria Ltd, Ibadan.
- Oyèbádé F. 1998, A Course in Phonology, Shebiotimo Publications, Ìjębú-Ode.
- Oyèbádé F. 2007, Yorùbá Phonology, in Yusuf O. (ed.), Basic Linguistics for Nigerian Language Teachers, M&J Grand Orbit Communication Ltd, Port Harcourt, pp. 241-257.
- Oyèláràn O. 1971, Yorùbá Phonology, Stanford University, Ph.D dissertation.
- Sàláwù A.S. 1998, *Ìyísódì Nínú Èka-Èdè Èkìtì*, M.A. Thesis, Department of African Languages & Literatures. Obafemi Awolówò University, Ilé-Ifè.
- Sàláwù A.S. 2001, *Negation in Èkìtí*, in "Yorùbá: A Journal of the Yorùbá Studies Association of Nigeria" 2 [1], pp. 102-119.
- Yusuf O. 2007, *Phonetics*, in Yusuf O. (ed.) *Basic Linguistics for Nigerian Languages Teachers*, M&J. Grand Orbit Communication Ltd, Port Harcourt, pp. 29-50.

