Bayesian inference and forecasts with full range autoregressive time series models
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Abstract: This paper describes the Bayesian inference and forecasting as applied to the full range autoregressive (FRAR) model. The FRAR model provides an acceptable alternative to the existing methodology. The main advantage associated with the new method is that one is completely avoiding the problem of order determination of the model as in the existing methods.

Keywords: Full range autoregressive model, Posterior distribution, Bayesian analysis, Bayesian predictive distribution.

1. Introduction
The Bayesian approach to the analyses of the FRAR model consists in determining the posterior distribution of the parameters of the FRAR model and the predictive distribution of future observations. From the former, one makes posterior inferences about the parameters of the FRAR model including the variance of the white noise. From the latter, one may forecast future observations. All these techniques are illustrated by Broemeling (1985) for autoregressive models. This paper will develop posterior and predictive distributions of the FRAR model, introduced by Venkatesan et. al. (2008).

An outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2 the FRAR model is described and the stationarity conditions are given. In section 3 and 4 the posterior analysis of the FRAR model is discussed and the predictive density of a single future observation is derived. In section 5 the summary and conclusion is given.

2. The Full Range Autoregressive Model
The FRAR model, introduced by Venkatesan et. al. (2000) and defined by a discrete time stochastic process \( X_t \) is given by
\[
X_t = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r X_{t-r} + e_t, \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...
\]
where \( a_r = \left( \frac{k}{\alpha^r} \right) \sin(r\theta)\cos(r\phi), \) \( k, \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \) are parameters, \( e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots \) are independent and identically distributed normal random variables with mean zero and variance \( \sigma^2 > 0 \). That is \( (X_t / X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \ldots) \) has the normal distribution with mean \( \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r X_{t-r} \) and variance \( \sigma^2 \). It is assumed that the domain of the parameters is \( S \) given by
\[
S = \{ k, \alpha, \theta, \phi \mid k, \alpha \in (1, \infty), \theta \in [0, \pi), \phi \in [0, \pi/2] \},
\]
which ensures that the model is identifiable and the FRAR model is asymptotically stationary up to order 2 provided \( 1 - \alpha < k < \alpha - 1 \).
The problem is to estimate the unknown parameters $k$, $\alpha$, $\theta$, $\phi$ and $\sigma^2$, using the Bayesian methodology on the basis of a past random realization of $\{X_i\}$ say $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$.

3. The Posterior Analysis

The joint probability density of $X_1, X_2, ..., X_N$ is given by

$$P(X/\Theta) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-N/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{r=1}^{N} (x_r - k \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r \cdot x_{r-r})^2 \right]$$

(2)

where $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$, $\Theta = (k, \alpha, \theta, \phi, \sigma^2)$ and $a_r = (1/\alpha^2) \sin(r\theta) \cos(r\phi)$.

Here $P$ is used as a general notation for the probability density function of the random variables given within the parentheses following $P$ and $X_0, X_1, X_2, ..., X_N$ are the past realizations on $X$, which are unknown. Following Priestley (1981) and Broemeling (1985), these are assumed to be zero for the purpose of deriving the posterior distribution of $\Theta$. Therefore, the range for the index $r$, viz., $1$ through $\infty$, reduces to $1$ through $N$ and so, in the joint probability density function of the observations given by (2), the range of the summation $1$ through $\infty$ can be replaced by $1$ through $N$.

By expanding the square in the exponent and simplifying, one gets

$$P(X/\Theta) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-Q/2\sigma^2\right)$$

(3)

where $\Theta \in S$, $Q = T_{00} + k^2 \sum_{r=1}^{N} a_r^2 T_{rr} + 2k^2 \sum_{r<s,r,s=1}^{N} a_r a_s T_{rs} - 2k \sum_{r=1}^{N} a_r T_{r0}$, $T_{rs} = \sum_{r'=1}^{N} x_{r'-r} x_{r'-s}$, $r, s = 0, 1, ..., N$.

The prior distribution for the parameters are assigned as follows:

1. $\alpha$ is distributed as the displaced exponential distribution with parameter $\beta$, that is, $P(\alpha) = \beta \exp[-\beta(\alpha - 1)]; \alpha > 1, \beta > 0$.

2. $\sigma^2$ has the inverted gamma distribution with parameter $\nu$ and $\delta$, that is, $P(\sigma^2) \propto \nu^{\nu/2} (\sigma^2)^{-\nu/2-1}; \sigma^2 > 0, \nu > 0, \delta > 0$.

3. $k$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ are uniformly distributed over their domain, that is, $P(k, \theta, \phi) = C$, a constant, $0 < \theta < \pi$, $0 < \phi < \pi/2$.

So, the joint prior density function of $\Theta (\Theta \in S)$ is given by

$$P(\Theta) \propto \beta \exp\left[-\beta(\alpha - 1) - \nu/\sigma^2\right] (\sigma^2)^{-\nu/2-1}$$

(4)

Using (3), (4), and Bayes’ theorem, the joint posterior density of $k$, $\alpha$, $\theta$, $\phi$ and $\sigma^2$ is obtained as

$$P(\Theta/X) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-N/2} \exp\left(-Q/2\sigma^2\right) \exp\left[-\beta(\alpha - 1) - \nu/\sigma^2\right] (\sigma^2)^{-\nu/2-1} \alpha \exp\left[-\beta(\alpha - 1)\right] \alpha \exp\left[-1/2\sigma^2 (Q + 2\nu)\right] (\sigma^2)^{-\nu/2-1} (N/2+\delta+i)$$

(5)

Integrating (5) with respect to $\sigma^2$, the joint posterior density of $k$, $\alpha$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ is obtained as

$$P(k, \alpha, \theta, \phi/X) \propto \exp\left[-\beta(\alpha - 1)\right] (Q + 2\nu)^{-\nu/2-1}$$

(6)

where $(\theta \in S)$, $(Q + 2\nu) = ak^2 - 2k\theta + T_{00} + 2\nu = C[l + A(k - B_1)^2]$, and $C = T_{00} - B^2/A + 2\nu$.

$B = \sum_{r=1}^{N} a_r T_{0r}$, $A = \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} a_r^2 T_{rr} + 2\sum_{r,s=1}^{N} a_r a_s T_{rs}$, $A_i = A/C$, $B_1 = B/C$.

Thus, the above joint posterior density of $k$, $\alpha$, $\theta$, $\phi$ can be rewritten as

$$P(k, \alpha, \theta, \phi/X) \propto \exp\left[-\beta(\alpha - 1)\right] \left[C[l + A_i(k - B_1)^2]\right]^{-d}$$

(7)

where $(\theta \in S)$, $d = (N/2) + \delta$.

This shows that, given $\alpha$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ the conditional distribution of $k$ is $t$ located at $B_1$ with $(2d-1)$ degrees of freedom.
For proper Bayesian inference on \( k, \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \) one needs their marginal distributions. The joint posterior density of \( \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \), namely \( P(\alpha, \theta, \phi / x) \), can be obtained by integrating (7) with respect to \( k \). Thus, the joint posterior density function of \( \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \) is obtained as

\[
P(\alpha, \theta, \phi / x) = \exp(-\beta(\alpha - 1)) C^{-d} A^{1/2} \alpha \exp\left(-\beta(\alpha - 1)\right) C^{-d} A^{1/2}
\]

(8)

with \( \alpha > 1, \ 0 < \theta < \pi \) and \( 0 < \phi < \pi / 2 \).

The above joint posterior density of \( \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \) in (8) is a very complicated expression and is analytically intractable. For instance, it seems impossible to integrate it with respect to \( \alpha \) and \( \phi \) in order to obtain the marginal posterior density of \( \theta \) and similarly for \( \phi \), which are essential for the purposes of posterior inference. One way of solving the problem is to find the marginal posterior density of \( \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \) from the joint density (8) using numerical integration.

4. One-step-ahead prediction

In order to forecast \( x_{N+1} \) using the random realization \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N \) on \( (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N) \), one must find the conditional distribution of \( X_{N+1} \) given the past observations. This is the predictive distribution of \( X_{N+1} \) and will be derived by multiplying the conditional density of \( X_{N+1} \) given \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N \), \( \Theta \) and the posterior density of \( \Theta \) given \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N \) and then integrating with respect to \( \Theta \).

That is,

\[
P(X_{N+1} / X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N) = \int_{\Theta} P(X_{N+1} / X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N, \Theta) P(\Theta / X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N) d\Theta.
\]

In the present context, from (1) and (7), with \( x_{N+1} \in R \), we get

\[
P(x_{N+1} / x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N, \Theta) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(x_{N+1} - k \sum_{i=1}^{\phi} a_i x_{N+1-i}\right)^2 \right]
\]

(9)

The square in the exponent in the above expression, say \( Q_1 \), can be rewritten, after expanding the square, as

\[
Q_1 = x_{N+1}^2 + k^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\phi} a_i^2 P_i^2 + 2k^2 \sum_{i<j} a_i a_j P_i P_j - 2k \sum_{i=1}^{\phi} a_i P_i X_{N+1}
\]

where \( P_i = X_{N+1-i} \) and \( P_j = X_{N+1-j} \). Now multiplying (9) by the joint posterior density of \( \theta \) and integrating over the parameter space \( \Theta \), we obtain,

\[
P(x_{N+1} / x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \propto \int \exp(-\beta(\alpha - 1))(1/\sigma^2)^{[N/2]-\delta([1/2]-1)} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Q + Q_1 + 2\nu) \right] d\Theta
\]

(10)

First, integrating out \( \sigma^2 \) in (10), one gets the joint distribution of \( x_{N+1}, k, \alpha, \theta \) and \( \phi \) as

\[
P(x_{N+1,k,\alpha,\theta,\phi}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \propto \exp(-\beta(\alpha - 1)) (Q + Q_1 + 2\nu)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}
\]

(11)

where

\[
(Q + Q_1 + 2\nu) = k^2 (d_1 + d_2) - 2k (d_3 + d_4 x_{N+1}) + \left(x_{N+1}^2 + T_{00} + 2\nu\right),
\]

\[
d_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\phi} a_i^2 T_{ii}, \quad d_2 = \sum_{i<j} a_i a_j T_{ij}, \quad d_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{\phi} a_i x_{N+1}, \quad d_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{\phi} a_i P_i.
\]

Therefore,

\[
Q + Q_1 + 2\nu = C_1 \left[1 + E_1 (k - C_2)^2\right],
\]

where

\[
C_1 = \left[x_{N+1}^2 + T_{00} + 2\nu - \left(d_3 + d_4 x_{N+1}\right)^2 / (d_1 + d_2)\right], \quad C_2 = \left(d_3 + d_4 x_{N+1}\right) / (d_1 + d_2),
\]

\[
E_1 = (d_1 + d_2) / C_1.
\]

Hence,

\[
P(x_{N+1,k,\alpha,\theta,\phi}) \propto \exp(-\beta(\alpha - 1)) C_1 \left[1 + E_1 (k - C_2)^2\right]^d
\]

(12)
Further, integrating out $k$ from (12) we get

$$P(x_{N+1},k|\alpha,\theta,\phi/x_1,x_2,...,x_N) \propto \exp(-\beta(\alpha-1)) \cdot C_1^{-d}E_{1/2}$$

with $d=(\nu+1)/2$ which is the conditional predictive distribution of $x_{N+1}$ given $\alpha$, $\theta$ and $\phi$.

Further elimination of the parameters $\alpha$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ from (13) is not possible analytically. So the marginal posterior density of $x_{N+1}$ cannot be expressed in a closed form. Since the distribution in (13) is analytically not tractable, a complete Bayesian analysis is possible only by numerical integration technique or by viewing (13) as the conditional distribution of $x_{N+1}$ given $\alpha$, $\theta$ and $\phi$.

Suppose one wants a point estimate of $x_{N+1}$, then one should compute the marginal posterior density of $x_{N+1}$ from (13) and use it to calculate the marginal posterior mean of $x_{N+1}$. Thus four dimensional numerical integration is necessary in order to estimate $x_{N+1}$. But it is a very difficult problem.

Practically, to perform four dimensional numerical integration is very difficult and therefore to reduce the dimensions of the numerical integration one may substitute the estimators $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ respectively in the place of $\alpha$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ and then perform one dimensional numerical integration to find the conditional mean of $X_{N+1}$. That is, one may eliminate the parameters as much as possible by analytical methods and then use the conditional estimates for the remaining parameters to compute the marginal posterior mean of the future observation.

5. Summary and conclusion

The Full Range Autoregressive model provides an acceptable alternative to the existing methodology. The main advantage associated with the new method is that one is completely avoiding the problem of order determination of the model as in the existing methods. Thus, it is not unreasonable to claim the FRAR model proposed by Venkatesan et. al. (2008) and its Bayesian analysis presented above certainly provides a viable alternative to the existing time series methodology, completely avoiding the problem of order determination.

Bibliography